THE CASE

FOR

INDIAN HOME RULE

Being a general introduction to the Congress-League Scheme of political reforms in India

"A Nation's liberty could not be meted as a parcelled out in gratitude. No man can be grateful at the expense of his consence, no woman at the expense of her honour no nation at the expense of its liberty.

—IL Grattan

BY

NABSINHA CHINTAMAN KELKAR, B A. LL.B.

Secretary, Indian Home Rule League, Poona

(Published under the authority of the Indian Home! Rule League, Poons)

POONA -

PRINTED AT THE ARYABHUSHAN PRESS

1917.

Price Rs 2,

Appendix

III -IMPERIAL LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

- The strength of the Imperial Legislative Council shall be 150
- 2 Four fifths of the members shall be elected
- 3 The franchise for the Imperial Legislative Council should be widened as far as possible on the lines of the electorates for Mubammadans for the Provintial Legislative Councils, and the elected members of the Provincial Legislative Councils should also form an electorate for the return of members to the Imperial Legislative Council
- 4 One third of the Indian elected members should be Muhammadans elected by separate Muhammadan electorates in the several Provinces in the proportion as nearly as may be in which they are represented on the Provincial Legislative Councils by separate Muhammadan electorates

Vide provisos to section I clause 4

- 5 The President of the Council shall be elected by the Council itself
- 6 The right of asking supplementary questions shall not be restricted to the member putting the original question but should be allowed to be exercised by any other member
- 7 A special meeting of the Council may be summoned on a requistion by not less than one eighth of the members
- ance with rules made in that behalf by the Council itself and the consent of the Executive Government should not be required therefor
- 9 All Bulls passed by the Council shall have to receive the assent of the Coverner General hefore they become law
- 10 All financial proposals relating to sources of moome and items of expenditure shall be embodied in Bills. Every such Bill and the Budget as a whole shall be submitted for the vote of the Imperial Legislative Connact.
 - 11 The term of office of members shall be five years
- 12 The matters mentioned herein below shall be exclusively under the control of the Imperial Legislative Council
- (a) Matters in regard to which uniform legislation for the whole of India is desirable
- (b) Provincial legislation in so far as it may affect inter provincial fiscal relations.
- (c) Questions affecting purely Imperial Revenue excepting tributes from Indian States
- (d) Questions affecting purely Imperial expenditure except that no resolution of the Imperial Legislative Council shall be linding on the Governor General in Council in respect of military chatages for the defence of the country
- (e) The right of revising Indian traffic and customs duties of imposing altering or removing any tax or cess modifying the existing system of currency and banking and granting any aids or bountes to any or all deserving and naccent
- industries of the country

 (f) Resolutions on all matters relating to the administration of the country as a whole
- 13 A Resolution passed by the Logislative Council should be binding on the Executive Government unless veteed by the Governor Ceneral in Council provided however that if the resolution is again passed by the Council after an interval of not less than one year it must be given effect to
- 14 A motion for adjournment may be brought forward for the discussion of a definite matter of urgent public supportance if supported by not less than one eighth of the members present
- 15 When the Crown chooles to see case the power of veto in regard to a Bill passed by a Provincial Legilative Council it should be exercised with twelve you roun the date on which it is pussed and the Bill shall cases to have effect as from the date on which the fact of such veto is made known to the Legislative Council concerned.

16 The Imperial Legislative Council shall have no power to interfere with the Government of India s direction of the military affairs and the foreign and political relations of India including the declaration of war the making of peace and the entering into treaties

IV THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

- 1 The Governor General of India will be the head of the Government of India
 - 2 He will have an Executive Council half of whom shall be Indians
- 3 The Indian members should be elected by the elected members of the Imperial Legislative Council
- Imperial Legislative Council

 4 Members of the Indian Civil Service shall not ordinarily be appointed
 to the Executive Council of the Governor General
- 5 The power of making all appointments in the Imperial Civil Services shall vest in the Government of India as constituted under this scheme due regard being paid to existing intorests subject to any laws that may be made by the Imperial Legislative Council
- 6 The Government of India shall not ordinarily interfere in the local affairs of a Province and powers not specifically given to a Provincial Government shall be deemed to be vested in the former The authority of the Government of Ind a will ordinarily be limited to general supervision and superintendence over the Provincial Governments.
- 7 In legislative and administrative matters the Government of India as constituted under this scheme shall as far as possible be independent of the Secretary of State
- Secretary of State

 B Asystem of indepedent sudit of the accounts of the Government of India at outlibe to the second state of the second seco
 - V-THE SECRETARY OF STATE IN COUNCIL
 - 1 The Council of the Secretary of State for India should be abelished
- 2 The salary of the Secretary of State should be placed on the British Estimates
- 3 The Secretary of State should as far as possible occupy the same position in relation to the Government of India as the Secretary of State for the Colonies does in relation to the Government of the Self-Governing Dominions
- 4 The Secretary of State for India should be assisted by two permanent Under Secretaries one of whom should always be an Indian

VI -INDIA AND THE EMPIRE

- 1 In any Council or other body which may be constituted or convened for extilement or control of Imperial affairs India shall be adequately represented in ike manner with the Dominions and with equal rights
- 2 Indians should be placed on a footing of equality in respect of status and rights of citizenship with other subjects of His Majesty the King throughout the Empire

VII -MILITARY AND OTHER MATTERS

- 1 The military and naval services of His Majesty both in their commissioned and non-commissioned ranks should be thrown open to Indians and adequate provision should be made for their selection training and instruction in India.
 - Indians should be allowed to enlist as volunteers
- 3 Executive Officers in India shall have no jud cal powers entrusted to them and the judiciary in every Province shall be placed under the highest Court of the Province.

PREFACE

~C.1.5~

THE present pamphlet is assued under the authority of the Indian Home Rule
League Poona It is an attempt to put together some of the main arguments in
what may be called in forensio language the Case for Self Government or Home
Rule in India

Copious literature is being issued for the last two or three years on the subject. But of literature of this sort there can never perhaps be too much. The whole country is waiting for political education. Even 'enlightened statesmen in England apparently require an amount of enlightenment on this particular topic.

The welcome vast of the State Secretary for India has naturally given one moves vibrations to the advisorable of India Winter State to your things arguments on paper. Mr Montagu while in India will probably make it a point to collect preserve and digest everything that is intended to be read by him with reference to the object of hie visit. May we not hope that he will be able to bring a fresh and an unbiased mind to bear upon whatever he reads in this connection?

The present pamphiet had to be produced practically under an inexorable time limit of ten weeks. Being myself hustled. I could not help hustling my readers over this panoramic subject.

I should like to express my thanks to my assistants Messrs V M Bhat, B a, J S Karandikar B A LLB and D V Gobale B A LL B and particularly to Mr Bhat for carefully seeing the pamphlet through the press

Home Rule League Office, Poona, 20th December 1917

N. C. KELKAR B A, LL B

Abstract of Contents

Chapter I

The Ancient Civilisation of India

PAGES 1-15

Chapter II

India Under British Rule

PAGES 16-33

Paras I Introductory 2 A little speculation 3 Bain of Mogal Empire 4 Imperal, policy of the Marathas 5 Possibity of progress. 6 Biesangs of British rule 7 New political Education 8 National run 9 Iodies low tarable capacity. 10 Probable reasons. 11 Gradual rune procretiumnes traced 12 Reas of Prices 13 Dockman independence industrial 18 Surplines 15 Bonomio drain 16-17 History of Lordan Army 11 The standing army 29 Batto of British and other progress 20 Mintary Expenditors 24 High cost and Low standerd 25 British monopoly of Minitary diffices 25 Deficits of the system 27 Views of General Chasmy 25 Dayasinfable districts, 28 Recal distinction 30 Not reclaims in pre-Printis times 31 Listest Concession 37 Volunteering, 35 Machavedli out-Machia relief 34 A nation distarced 35 Indian helplessees 36 Emasculation

Chapter III

Failure of Parliamentary Control

PAGES 34-47

Paras 1 Introductory 2 E I Company trading ground: 3 Progress of factory building. Parliament and Trade monopoly 5 Company scentronal soremitting 5 Catter of territorial acquisitions 7 Company and Parliament. 8 Mail administration and injury 9 The Regulating Act of 18 Burke and impresement of Hastungs. 11 Prict Act 12 Progress of Charter Acts 13 Caster Act of 1833 its real character 14 The good side of the Act 15 Underlying selfathness. 16 Section (87) of the Act 17 New machinery of Parliamentary Control. 18 Act of 1838 19 Dulaston the Act 20 Washing enthusiam. 21 Parcett's rowsing exertions. 23 Indian Parliamentery Committee 23 Mr Montage on Perliamentary undifference 24 Character 40 Dulaston the real remedy 27 Development of the government in India 28 Double government and medictual control of the Section 18 Development of the government in India 28 Double government and medictual control of the Section 18 Development of the government in India 28 Double government and medictual control of the Section 18 Development of the government in India 28 Double government and medictual control of the Section 18 Development of the government in India 28 Double government and medictual control of the Section 18 Development of the government in India 28 Double 20 Development in India 28 Development in India 28 Double 20 Development in India 28 Development in India 28

Chapter IV

Failure of the Government in India

PAGES 48-65

Paras 1 A special ples for the Staw Secretary 2 His wesk position in Cabinet and Parliament 3 Tha diversifies of the India Council 4 The suggested reform. 5 No destructive consequences. 6 Advantages of importing governors. 7 Dead wall of Cavilian opposition. 8 Six W Medeburn a testimory 9 Trubies to the Civil Service 10 Lovernament by report writing 11 A faulty system. 12 Excessive contradication. 13 Bernard Roughton a Criticism. 14 Negation of responsibility 15 A falley system. 18 Excessive Contradiction. 13 Bernard Roughton a Criticism. 14 Negation of responsibility 15 A falley system. 18 Experiment 11 The fact of registry. 17 Court Service evenue the Indian National Congress. 18 Separation of the paint at and the executive 13 The desput of the Congress. 20 Order Community 2 The Service Paras 11 The fact of Lord Report 20 The Service Paras 12 The Council C

Abstract of Contents.

Chapter V

Declared Intentions and their Fulfilment rages 66-89

Paras I Introductory 2 Tha imperial et 2 Conquest by convent 4 Political mutocles 5 Pitts autocustion of intentions. 6 Polity underlying charter Aust 7 Further, reconstitution, 8 The Frontination of 1833 9 The Iodian Convenis Act 10 The Proclamation of 1877 11 The Proclamation of 1933. 12 Emiociation is not fulfillined 13 Indian cliterachy 14 Hemotic outcoming 15 The 18 Indian convenience 13 Indian cliterachy 15 The Indian 18 Right of public assembly 19 The two most important clements. 20 The right to hold office 21 Gradge operation of the Charter Act 22 Jesloudy or leandscence 23 Whom does the Compeliute a point broadf. 12 The disqualification of the Indian 23 Practice versus precept 25 The P 8 Continusion of 1912. The right for properties of the Indian 25 Practice versus precept 25 The P 8 Continusion of 1912. The right for properties of the Indian 25 Practice versus precept 25 The P 8 Continusion of 1912. The right for properties of the Indian 25 Practice versus precept 25 The P 8 Continus of 1912. The 18 Indian 18 In

Chapter VI

The Shibboleth of Unfitness

1AGES 90-106

Porce I Introductory 2 Deable and espirations. I Edibble Despirition 4 Line letteem Eastand Wist. 5 Publicy of distinction 6 Environment and Desclopment 7 Representative government between 8 March of democracy in the East 9 Public all experience of State 15 Time-tried Indian related Institut one, 11 Want of opportunity 13 A Track of the Track, 13 Indian administrators in Public States and Indian administrators in Entith territories, 13 Disqualification to be Indian 16 Fitness of other countries 17 Education 18 Educated classes and misses 19 Racial and clinical differences. 10 Canada and South Africa 11 Public sport 12 Local self government 13 Libertory of Percentation 24 Sociel and indicated the Canada and South africa 11 Public sport 12 Local self government 13 Libertory of Percentation 24 Sociel and indicated the Canada and South 15 Effect of political 1 berry on social life

Chapter VII

India and the Empire

PAGES 107-125

Form 1 Introductory 2 Engined a mentar position. 3 Daws of Imperial appraished. 4 Irm vable at que six 6 Introductory 2 Engined a mentar position 11 Specialistics of preservation 9 Imperial Conference 10 India a position 11 Specialisto of a foreign conquert 12 Can India defend herself 1 12 Can India a foreign conquert 13 India under America or France* 16 India prefers British Rule. 17 India a recommendal importance to Engined 13 Trade and commerce within and coulded cample. 19 India a recommercial importance 120 India as a marked for British manufactories. 13 Sir Charles Dikte on India at the India of Carles Carles on India at Carles India of Carles India of Carles India of Carles India of India

٠

THE CASE.

OR.

INDIAN HOME RULE

Chapter I

The Ancient Civilisation of India

THE Resolution passed by the Indian National Congress at Lucknow in December 1916, on the subject of Self government for India, runs thus—

- (a) That having regard to the fact that, the great communities of India are the inheritors of ancient civilisation and have shown great capacity for government and administration, and to the progress of education and public spirit made by them during a century of British rule, and further having regard to the fact that the present system of government does not satisfy the legitimate aspirations of the people and has become unsuited to the existing conditions and requirements, this Congress is of opinion that time has come when His Majesty should be pleased to issue a proclamation announcing that it is the aim and intention of British policy to confer self government on India at an early date
 - (b) That this Congress demands that a definite step should be taken towards self government by granting the reforms contained in the scheme prepared by the All-India Congress Committee, in concert with the Reform Committee appointed by the All India Moslem League
 - (c) That in the reconstruction of the Empire, India shall be lifted from the position of a dependency to that of an equal partner in the Empire with the self governing dominions
- 2 This resolution puts the demand of the Indian Nation for self government in a nutshell An analysis of the resolution shows that it contains the following propositions—
 - (1) That Indians have deserved self government
 - (2) That their present position is a negation of self government
 - (3) That a declaration of a policy of self-government should be made at once
 - (4) That, as an earnest of carrying out that policy, a first instalment of a minimum of political reforms should be granted as embodied in the scheme
- 3 It is a matter for satisfaction that one at least of these demands has been already granted, in that, in an extra ordinary issue of the Gazette of India at Simila on 20th August last, the following announcement was declared to have been made by the Secretary of State for India in the House of Commons —

"The policy of His Majesty's Government, with which the Government of India are in complete accord, is that of increasing the association of Indians' in every branch of administration and the gradual development of self-governing institutions with a view to the progressive realisation of responsible government in India, as an integral part of the British Empire. They have decided that substantial steps in this direction should be taken as soon as possible, and that it is of the highest importance, as a preliminary to considering what these steps should he, that there should be a free and informal exchange of opinion between those in authority at Home and in India. His Majesty's Government have accordingly dedieded, with His Majesty's approval, that I should accept the Viceroy's invitation to proceed to India to discuss these matters with the Viceroy and the Government of India, to consider with the Viceroy the views of Local Governments and to

progress in this policy can only be achieved by successive stages. The British Government and the Government of India, on whom the responsibility lies for the welfare and advancement of the Indian peoples, must be judges of the time and measure of each advance, and they must be guided by the co-operation received from those upon whom new opportunities of service will thus be conferred, and by the extent to which it is found that confidence can be reposed in their sense of responsibility. Ample opportunity will be afforded for the public discussion of the proposals, which will be submitted in due course to Parliament?

- A But it yet remains to be seen whether, and how far, the Secretary of State for India accepts the other positions and grants the demands in the above analysis It'is, therefore, proposed in the following pages to examine them with a view to see how far they are both valid and solid
- 5 If there is one thing more than another, which has passed unchallenged by the most unfriendly critic of India, it is the claim that India inherits a great and ancient civilisation. In an introductory lecture addressed to the candidates for the Indian Civil Service in 1882, Professor Max Muller passed the following panegyric upon India "If I were,' he said, "to look over the whole world to find out the country most richly endowed with all the wealth, power, and beauty that Nature can bestow-in some parts a very Paradise on earth.-I should point to India If I were asked under what sky, the human mind has most fully developed some of its choicest gifts, has most deeply pondered on the greatest problems of life, and has found solutions of some of them which will deserve the attention even of those who have studied Plato and Kant, I should point to India And if I were to ask myself from what literature we, here in Europe, we who have been nurtured almost exclusively on the thoughts of Greeks and Romans, and of one Semitic race the Jewish, may draw that corrective which is most wanted in order to make out . inner life more perfect, more comprehensive, more universal, in fact more truly human-a life not for this life only, but a transfigured and eternal life-again I should point to India "

"Whatever sphere of the human mind you select for your special study, whether it be language, or religion, or mythology, or philosophy, whether it be laws or oustoms, primitive art or primitive science everywhere you have to go to India, whether you like it or not because some of the most valuable and most instructive materials in the history of man are treasured up in India and in India only '

- 6 It may perhaps be contended that Prof Max Muller was only an absentee and there of India, being morbidly enslaved by the grace and the charm of Indian Literature and Philosophy, and that Literature and Philosophy is not after all the whole of a nation s civilisation. We shall therefore give holow a brief review of the position and the achievements of the Indian people, including the Aryan as well as the non Aryan races who have permanently sottled in India and made this country their home, in respect of civilisation, i e everything that goes to make up civilisation, and by which any claim to civilisation may be tested
- 7 And first with regard to the Hindus. The antiquity of Hindu civilisation is so remote that it may be described as immemorial or without beginning as it were. We need not, however, dwell here on the old Vedic or Fauranic civilisation. Even the records of the purely historical period take us far hack to a time when, as Macaullay has facetiously put it, the people of England were still wearing raw skins on their painted bodies and widdly roaming in the forest. Even rejecting innumerable facts of the highest scendific value regarding India, simply because they were not amenable to chronology, fix Vincent Smith has been able to fix the time of the beginning of the historical period in India as early as the 7th century B. C. And even at this period he finds evidence not only of the political unity of India as a nation, but in nation, again, marked by the development of maritime commerce and the diffusion of this knowledge of the art of writing. The earliest listorical records show that the country between the Himalayas and the Narmada was settled and was divided late a multitude of independent states, some monarchies and some tribal republics. In the 6th century B. C. Kosala was the premier prilitical state and it had also the proud distinction

of possessing one of the greatest religious teachers of the world, Gautama Buddha It may be further noted that many or most of these States were often brought under, or formed parts of, a Hindu Empire without losing their autonomous character

- 8 Among the great monarchs of the early period may be mentioned Bimbisara and Alatshastru, both contemporaries of Darins, Udaya, the founder of the famous city of Patliputra, Mahapadma Nanda, the owner of fahulous wealth . Chandragupta Mayura, the famous revolutionist and disciple of the Bramhan politician Chanakya, Bindusara, the friend of the Greek Satrap Antiochas, Asoka the conqueror of the Indian world and the royal propagandist of the Dhamma-the law of piety', or the glorious ethical and moral teachings of Budhism, Hald, the patron, of the Prakrit literature. Yama Shri, who prohably had a navy of his own, and who is famous for his silver coins Chandragupta I, who founded a new erg. Samudra Gupta who has earned the name of the Indian Napôleon hy reason of his conquests from the Ganges in the north to the Malahar and Conjectarum in the South and who roceived emhassies from Ceylon, Chandragupta II, who waged wars on the Indus in the west and Bengal in the east, who conquered Kathiawad and advanced to the Arabian sea, and whose court was famous for its learned men. Skandagunta who obtained a great victory over the white Huns and delievered the country from barbarian tyranny, Pratapgupta, famous for his currency reforms Siladitya who advocated sanitary reforms to the length of providing pure filtered water even for horses and elephants Probhaker Wardhan who waged wars upon the Huns, and Harsha. who had the ambition of bringing 'all India under one umbrella", who put 60,000 war elaphants and 100 000 cavalry in the field who was at the same time famous for his learning and devotion and was fortunate enough to be the subject of Hiuen Tsang's sincere panegyrics
- 9 So also among the comparatively modern Hindu Lings we may mantion Anangpala who conquered Delhi, Prithwi Ra; Chawan who supplied endless themes to inspiring bards as a chivalrous lover and a doughty champion, Kalechuri. whose sovereignty was recognised in distant Tirhut, and the Great Bhoja of Malva who is said to have been a model Hindu King, and cultivated the arts of war and peace with equal assiduity. There were, again, equally famous kings in the Sen and Pal dynasties in northern and eastern India. And among the kings in the Deccan we may mention Pulkeshi of the Karnatic who received an embassy from Persia, and Vikramaditya of Kalyani who founded a new sra Mr Vincent Smith has also given an account of the Pandya, Chera, Kerala and Satiyaputra Lingdoms in Southern India It is not necessary to give details about these but it may be mentioned in passing that one of the Pandya Lings is said to have sent an embassy to Augustus Caesar, King Parantaka of the Chola dynasty carried his victorious arms into Ceylon , Raj raja of the same dynasty not only completed the conquest of that Island but ruled a realm which included nearly the whole of the Madras Presidency and a large part of Mysore and also some such ocean islands as Lakhdivs and Maldivs The magnificent temple of Tamore which he built still bears on its walls the accounts and illustrations of his exploits. Raiendu Chola Dev extended his soverighty to Orissa and Bengal and sent a naval expedition to the Indo-Chinese peninsula and lastly we may mention the kingdoms in the Deccan proper, an account of whom is to be found in Dr Bhandarkar's History of the Decean or the later Empire of Vijayanagar an account of which is given in Mr Sewell's Forgolten Empire. Mr Sewell says that the Empire was "far larger than Austria" and Vijayanagar its capital, is declared by Europeans who visited it in 'he sixteenth and seventeenth century to have been 'a city with which for richness and magnificence no known western capital could compare
- 10 Coming to still more modern times we may mention at least three races which produced great kings and warriors and royal statesmen we mean the Rapputs, the Marathas and the Sikhe.
- If The Rajaput, were a royal race whose chivalry, valour, and faithfulness have been cernal themes of Indian bards. They proudly traced their orign to Divinity itself, and as Colonel Todd says in his Rajaston, "If we compare the

antiquity and illustrious descent of the dynasties which have ruled, and some which continue to rule, the small sovereignties of Rajastan, with many of celebrity in Europe, superiority will often attach to the Raiput From the most remote periods we can trace nothing ignoble nor any vestige of vassal origin Reduced in power, circumscribed in territory, compelled to yield much of their splendour and many of the dignities of birth, they have not abandoned an iota of the pride and high bearing arising from a knowledge of their illustrious and regal descent. On this principle the various revolutions of the Rana's family never encroached and the mighty Jahangir himself, the Emperor of the Moguls, became, like Caesar, the commentator of the history of the tribe of Sesodia the evidence of Sir Thomes Roe, the amhassador of Elizabeth to Jehangir, as to - the splendour of this race It appears throughout their annals and those of their neighbours The martial Rajputs were not strangers to armorial bearings which were not known in Europe till the period of the crusades, and which were copied from the Saracens The Rapputs were proud to use these emblems of glory even before the war of Troy The Rapputs, though weakened by internal quarrels, were never completely subdued either by the Mahomedans or by the Marathas Their martial achievements, their proud hearing their generosity, their courtesy, and their hospitality have won the admiration even of the foreigners. These Rajput virtues are yet extant inspite of all their revolutions and have survived ages of Mahomedan bigotry and power Endless would be the series of Rapput princes who have earned an immortal fame by their noble lives and valorous deeds. Of the greet Pratap the historian Col Todd has said "there is not a pass in the Albine Arayeli that is not sanctified by some deed of Pratap-some brilliant victory or oftener more glorious defeat Heldighat is the Thermopyles of Mewar. the field of Dowiar her Marathon 'When he lay to death he wes asked what afflicted his soul that it would not depart in peace he replied, 'It lingered for some consolatary pledge that his country should not be abandoned to the Turk'

12 Of the modern Marathas the cerliest were the Yadavs of Deogiri who ruled till the 13th century, and who have been immortalised by their connection with the world famous Yellora caves Next we have the Vijayanagar kings whose glowing accounts have been written by the Portuguese writers and the idea of whose splendour may be formed by a visit to the monumental ruins still to be seen near Hampi The connection of the Meratha kings who ruled in still later times between the Narmada and the Kavers with the Rapputs of the north is a point of dispute, but their achievements are beyond cavil The most illustrious among them all was Shivan the Great who established a Maratha kingdom after a brave struggle with the Mahomedans, and whose descendants ere still regarded as the pillars of the British government As observed by Mr Elphinstone in his classical work the History of India 'The celebrity of the Marathas was reserved for recent times when they were destined to act a greater part than all other Hindu nations and to make e nearer approach to universal sovereignty than any of those to whom modern writers have ascribed the enjoyment of the Empire of India" Of the Marathas, again, has Sir William Hunter, the historian of India, written that "the British won India not from the Moguls but from the Hindus Before we appeared as conquerors the Mogul Empire had broken up Our conclusive wars were neither with the Delhi King nor with his revolted governors, but with the two Hindu confederacies the Marathas and the Sikhs. The last Maratha wars were fought as late as 1818'

13 Shahaji the father of Shivaji may be a soldier of fortune, but it was due to his statesmanship that he checked the power of the Moguls in the D ccan through the agency of the Bijapur and the Ahmednagar Lingdom's alternately. He proved practically a king maker and himself ruled over the Mahomedan Kingdom of Ahmednagar though a pupp t king nominally reigned Though he left to his son the actual work of laying the foundation of the Maratha empire still it was he who really did the spade work necessary for the laying up of that foundation The title of Raja which he enjoyed was more than formal, though Shivaji afterwards improved upon it and hecame the 'Maharaja Chhatrapati' As for Shivaji, though his name is well known to Europeans, many of them are ignorant of his real character; they know of him more as the handit or the handicoot of the hills from the malicious descriptions given by prejudiced historians. We may therefore quote the following from Grant Duff whn has written a monumental work on the History of the Marathas He says "Shiwan was certainly a most extraordinary person. And however justly many of his acts may be censured his claim to high rank in the page of history must be admitted. To form an estimate of his character. let us consider him assembling and conducting a band of half-naked Maylis through the wild tracks unmindful of the obstruction from the elements turning the most inclement seasons to advantage, and inspiring the mind of such followers with undannted enthusiasm. Lot us also observe the singular plans of policy he commenced and which we must admit to have been altogether novel and most at for acquiring power at such a period Let us examine his internal regulations, the great progress he made in arranging every department in the midst of almost perpetual warfare and his successful stratagents for escaping or extricating himself from difficulties. And whether planning the capture of a fort or the con quest of a distant country, heading an attack or conducting a retreat regulating the discipline to be observed amongst a hundred horse or laying down arrange ments for governing a country, we view his talents with admiration and his genius with wonder. For a popular leader his frugality was a remarkable feature of his character, and the richest plunder never made him deviate from the rules he had and down for its appropriation. Let us contrast his craft pliancy and humility with his boldness firmness and amhitton his power of inspiring enthusiasm while he showed the coolest attention to his own interests the dash of a partisan adventurer with the order and economy of a statesman and lastly the wisdom of his nlans which raised the despised Hindu to sovereignty and brought about their own accomplishment when the hand that had framed them was low in the dust the late Mr Justice Ranade observes in his Risk f the Maratha Power history of Shiwaii's military exploits presents to our view only one side of tho working of his master mind, and we are too apt to forget that he had other and stronger claims upon our attention as a civil ruler. Like Napoleon I Shiwaji in his time was the great organiser and huider of civil institutions which con duced largely to the success of the movement initiated by him and which alone enabled the country to pass unscathed through the dangers which overwholize it and helped it to assert its claim to national independence after a 20 year strikele with the whole power of the Mogul empire Shivan had the skill to combine a grateful revival of the classical traditions of the early Hindu Lingdoms with a system of national government appropriate to the peculiar times in which he lived Though his career was meteoric he was not an upstart. He inherited traditions as much as he left traditions to be inherited after him

14 The Sikhs were the last of the Hindus to be brought under the sway of the British. They fought bravely with the Vahomednas for about two centuries and the most illustrious of them was Ranjising known as the Lion of the Punjab who was the Governor of Lahore in his twentieth year and who formed under European officers well disciplined corps of troops who for their valour and militant religious fervour could be aprily compared with Cromwell's Fronsides.

15 The next point to be considered in connection with the political civilisation of the Hindus is the extent of their Lingdoms. A glimps into the extent of the territorial possessions of some of the ancient. Hindu king will be obtained in the brief references made above to some of the more important Hindu king. But a few instances may again he as well given. Thus Mr. Vincent Smita says of Ashoka as follows.

The extent of the enormous empire governed by Ashoun can be ascertaint in with approximate accuracy. On the nor h , with extended Ω that I that I must not included must of the territory now under the rule of the Aireer of Mannt stan as well as the whole or a large part of Bauchistan and all sind. The secluded valleys of Saust and Bakaur were grobably; and the valleys of Kash ur and Nejal were certainly, integral parts of the m n n n n.

ages the structure of society was a simple one, and there were no class distinctions, each person heing regarded as the full equal of every other. The patriarchal system was in existence with regard to the family government, but the Aryan father was not the master of the family, and, like the Roman pater familias, had no nowers of life and death over his dependents. Slavery as such, i.e. in such rigorous forms as it existed in some of the western countries, was not in force. Magasthenes has horne testimony to the fact that' none of the Indians employed slaves" The unit of the political community was the village panchayat, and the bond of common interest united the whole accregation of families included therein. The political sovereignty was territorial, and though it is customary among western writers to speak as if the old Hindu State was a theocracy, the assertion is mostly ill founded, for, the political ruler was never regarded as the head of the religion, and the object of the State government was never spiritual salvation but only political and social well being. No doubt positive law was yet to be as finely differentiated from religious precents as in the most modern times, but the king was the supreme magistrate entrusted with the duty of enforcing the law, and the status of political subjects was not dependent upon their religious duties though the rights of man were regarded as resting more in the group collectively to which he belonged than to himself as an individual It is true that the king is often spoken of as Divine in Hindu works on polity But it is a mistake to suppose therefrom, as some European Writers have done, that he wielded full despotic powers or that he was utterly irresponsible to his subjects even in those ancient days for it must be remembered that the king had no legislative powers Law as based on custom was always promulgated by the learned of the land, or the heads of the different communities themselves , and the king had only to enforce the same. In other words he was the Head Executive Officer of the kingdom and never a legislator or a law giver himself Similarly even in matters of administration and revenue, the king a power was very much limited by village communities, different castes and trade communities in the kingdom, every one of which always insisted on the enforcement of their customary rights

18 Nor was monarchy the only form of government known to the Hindus for, there is abundant testimony borne by such scholars as Prof. Rhys David. Mr. Vincent Smith Dr. Sir Bhandarkar. McCrindle and others to the fact that oligarchies, and even republics, trihal if not statal, existed in India from times immemorial and we unote some extracts from their works. here to prove the same.

The administrative and judicial business of the clain (Sakiyas) was carried out in public assembly at which young and old were alike present, in their common mote Hall (Santhagara) at Kapilvasti. It was at such a Parliament or Palar er that king Pasenodi's proposition was discussed. A single chief was elected as office holder presiding over the sessions, and if no sessions were sitting, over the state. He bore the title of Raja which must have meant something like the Roman consul or the Greek archon."

"The earliest Buddhist records reveal the survival, side by side with more or less powerful monarchies, of republics with either complete or modified independence. The Buddhist records, amply confirmed in these respects by the somewhat later Jain ones, leave no doubt upon the point.

-(Bu idhist India, Prof Rhys Davids)

The Punjab, Eastern Rajputana and Malwa for the most part were in possession of tribes or clans living under republican institutions

Dr Hoernule, in the address on Jainism that hedelivered in 1898 as President of the Asiatus Society of Bengal, stated that Mahavira, the founder of Jainism, was born in a state which was an olgar hie republic which is a half way house between monarchy and a pure democracy 'Vaisali was an olgarchic republic its government was vosted in a senate, composed of the heads of the resident Kshatriya clans

'Some of them (the states) were governed by Primes' while others were objectives or Republics In the Mahahharats the Vrabins, for instance, framed an Oligarchy ruled by many chiefs of whom Krishna was one In fact almost all the Indian nations of these times posses ed popular in titutions of some type or other '

8

'in the Mandasor Interintion of Kumar Gupta and Bandhuvarman (sixth ceatury A. D) the Malatas are referred to as living under a republican form of governmen'

'The Ambashthas (Sambastai) dwelt in cities to which the democratic form of y terno en' prevailed. Curtius meations a tribe called Sahareae, 'a powerful Indian tribe where the form of government was democratic. When the Matter tendered their submission to Alexander they told him that they were attached more than any others to freedom and autonomy, and that they had preserved their freedom intact from the time Dionysos came to India ustil Alexander's invasion"

"The most striking instance of an oligarchy was that of the Lichhavis whose chiefs were all called R.nas .

-(Public Administration in Ancient In ha, P Bannerjoe)

The Indian Arrans hall like their European brothren the rudinients of free political institutions. And actually the existence of aristocratic republics is alluded to in Buddhist Pall books. .

19 Norwas the Hindu political system, above stated, wanting in good fruitful results. Not only was then no anarchy but on the contrary peace and contentment prevailed, so that little was heard of crime social strife or revolution, and probably there was no cause for breach of autional peace except the political ambition of kings who aspired to paramount power. The particulars, as collected and narrated by the earliest Chinese traveller in India permit of hig doubt that the dominions of Chandragupta Vikramaditya were well governed the authorities interfering as little as possible with the subject, and leaving him free to prosper in his own way Probably India was never governed better, after the oriental manner, than It was during the reign of Vikramaditya. The government did not attempt to do too much , but let the people aione, and therefore popular liberty and the . liberty of individual conscience was assured

Hinea Teans, like his predecessor Fashica, more than two conturies earlier, was favourably impressed by the character of the civil administration, which he considered to be founded on beniga principles. The principal source of revenue was the reat of the crown lands, amounting to one-sixth of the produce. Taxes were light

20 As regards the machinery of executive government, the theory of responsible ministry was developed early enough, and the early Hindu law givers advised the king not only to appoint ministers but act according to their advice. In the Sulrant t we find a practical differentiation of departments and distinct special names for ministers such as the prest, the regent, the premier, the finance minister. the president of the privy council, the chief judge, the legal renumbrancer, the minister for peace and war, the secretary of state and the ambassador. It is curious to find that even the theory that' the king can do no wron, was accusted, as for example in the banekrit drams Malririkibis wherein the author sage. when anything wrong is done by the king the fault as that of the minister, for, it is through the negligence of the driver that an elephant goes mad

21 The departmental system was evidently followed in the administration by the subordinate executive. Thus accounts, treasury, mints, metals, mining forests . It erce, tolls, woughts and measures, shipping irrigation, pariculture, watring stables and the dairy appear to be apertioned as headings of detactr ental adminis ration for which separate superinte dents or overseers were appointed It is a ediess to say that the police degatiment was in existence but what is torbs, ore narrable is that the police were pecuniarily responsible for at teast no fit ends with the recovery of the stolen goods. And this view of the to court exter In the e mered as over hard, fr thehit an, alled the an ellas s andart fidely to meelfand a sage differenthe treasury to value of atolen at conficent ald treath about and tome to ter lice free the Him's a na welo a emi at a cir ee ret re, etc a who were transfel as the 'eyes a fea selft oction to a remease. Of o ariel a many ature is the same energy. where and to the mastenatied as shortes to says and thereas and to terch els For as Chanakya has observed it is impossible for a king a revenue officer to refrain from tasting even a little of the royal revenue. The valaries of officials were paid either in cash or in kind or partly in cash and partly in kind. A code of pensions and subsistence or compassionate allowance for bereaved families was in existence and permanent grants of land or revenue were not an uncommon method of rewarding homest service.

- 22 The promulgation of law was as pointed out above left to a few learned in law and religion But law was conspicuous for its brevity and much was left to the operation of local or tribal custom which after all as Sir Henry Maine says is the main origin of law But the defects of the eystem of legislation were made up by the agency of the execution of those laws Though the learned law givers were few and the codes were not drawn up in profuse detail still the spirit of the laws was accepted as having sovereign power and the tribal juries or the caste juries almost unfailingly enforced this spirit in rendering summary but substantial justice The king was nominally the tountain of justice but private juries mostly relieved him of that task. There were Courts and Judges but their function was more that of legal and judicial advisers than judges in a cau e. The judge investigated the ments of the cause when it was beyond the scope of the private or semi official juries and it was reserved. for the aing to pass and execute the sentence The Civil and Criminal s des of the law and law courts were not finely differen lated but it mattered very little for practical purposes Each village had its local court composed of the headman and the elders of the village The Communas courts had extensive powers but the purisdiction of the village courts was restricted to minor matters. Justice by arbitration was regarded of great importance and it was specially recommended by the law givers for persons following particular occupations. A system of appeals and counter appeals was unknown and while wrong doers had a short shrift little tion could not be indulged in as a fashionable vice. The local courts were of course permanent recognised institutions but there were also itinerent courts for persondrawn away 'rom cities or villages. In short the sacred law the secular law the customary law and the law of royal ordinances had its own executive agency and there was neither delay nor excessive cost involved in the administration of just ce
- 23 The existence of local self government in ancient meditoval or historical India is a recognised fact. The village community had large and extensive powers in local administration and though material civilisation was not far advanced and the methods of urban living were not highly refined the village community was the master of the situation regarding what little was to be done by way of local administration. The communities were however not absolutely free from centra control In a paper on Vulgge Government in Southern India contributed by the present Indian Minister of Education Sir Shankaran Nair to the Modern Review of March 1914 in order to dispel the idea that representative government is abhorrent to the spirit of the East he has quoted in extenso the rules for election for a village assembly from the report of the Archælogical Department for 1904 0. These rules appear to be promulgated for the years 908 91 A D and go into minutest details as to the franchise the procedure of election etc. and we may as well quote the concluding words of Sir Shankaran Nair It is interesting to observe that ladies were eligible for election and a lady was a member in a com mittee of justice After this who can say that representative institutions and self government are foreign importations?

Of course the development of municipal government as seen herein could not be the work of a day and must have had an artecedent tradition centuries long in fact the tradition can be taken so far back as certures hefore C rist and the following extracts from Mr Vincent Smiths Early Hisory of Inlia relating to the existence of local government in the time of Chandrag juta will therefore be read with interest

The administration of the capital city Pataliputra was regarded as a matter of the highest importance and was provided for by the format on of a Municipal Commission consisting of thirty release divided like the War office Com

'The fact that so much pains and expense were lavished upon this irrigation work in a remote dependency of the Empire is conclusive evidence that the provision of water for the fields was recognised as an imperative duty by the great Maurya emperors and is a striking illustration of the accuracy of Megasthenes' remark that imperial officers were wont to measure the land, as in Egypt, and inspect the sluices by which water is distributed into the branch canals, so that everyone may empty his fair share of the benefit."

Those who are acquainted with the charitable tendencies of the Indians need has surprised at the existence of charitable hospitals, even in ancient India, and, Mr Vincent Smith Says —

"The animal hospitals which existed recently, and may still exist, at Bombay and Surat, may be regarded as either survivals or copies of the institutions founded by the Maurya Monarch"

26 There are express references in Hindu works to the care which it was regarded as the duty of the king to bestow upon the development of trade and commerce, and we meet with mention of State departments of dairy-farming, cattle breeding, mining and metal manufacture etc. The manufacture of salt and the hrewing of luquors are mentioned as government monopolies. The art of navigation and shipbuilding were developed to a great extent and we may pass over the topic by a general reference to the excellent work of Babu Radba Kumud Mulern on this subject.

27 Lastly we may mention the art of spinning and weaving, which had attained such high pitch of excellence that India may he said to he without a rival in the world in this respect. Government gave patronage and encouragement to this industry, and it could not be said of the olden times, as it is sometimes said today that India was essentially and deserves to be only an agricultural country Of course agriculture was one of the principal industries, and this industry had reached that perfection which was possible in those days when science was not so well advanced as it is now. But the idea that Indian agriculture was crude or unsystematic has no foundation in fact. Dr Voelkar, consulting Agricultural Chemist to the Government of India has put it on record that ' On one subject there can be no question viz the ideas generally entertained in England that Indian agriculture is, as a whole, primitive and backward, and that little has been done to try and remedy it, are altogether erroneous. At his hest the Indian ryot or cultivator is quite as good as, and in some respects the superior of, the average British farmer, whilst at his worst, it can only be said that this state is brought about largely by an absence of facilities for improvement which is probably unequalled in any other country and that the ryot will struggle on patiently and uncomplainingly in the face of difficulties in a way that no one else would So take the ordinary acts of busbandary No one could find better instances of Leaning land acrupulously clean from weeds, of ingenuity in device of water raising appliances of knowledge of soils and their capacities, as well as the exact time to sow and to reap as one would in Indian agriculture, and this not at its best alone, but at its ordinary level. It is wonderful, too, how much is known of rotation, the system of mixed crops and fallowing , certain it is that I have never seen a more perfect picture of cultivation, contrived with hard labour, perseverence, and fertility of resources than I have seen at many of the halting places in my town '

28 India cultivated most of the important food grains and other crops and seven das a granary even for foreign countries. But the manufacturing trade of India was equally important. We need not go here into the facts of the ancient fabulous wealth of India, though the comparison between the ancient and the present condition of the material condition of India is a challenging topic that arrests attention. But it would at any rate be pertinent to note how much of her wealth had remained in India on the eve of the British advent, That the commercial adventurers of Europe were irresistably drawn to India as soon as the bold spirit of navigation led Europeans to undertake long and perilous voyages. Is in itself a conclusive testimony to the material wealth of India in the 17th century. As soon as the high seas were opened, all routes led to India even before the shortest and

the safest route was discovered. The Europeans had known through the meichants on the Mediterranean sea the fame of India, and they found it true when they themselves made a first hand acquantiance with that country. About the year 77 A D the elder Pliny complained that "the annual drain of gold from the Roman Empire to India, Arabia and China was never less than 109 000 ono sesteria giving back her own wares in exchange which are sold at fully 100 times their prime cost." So also Bernier writing in the 17th century says. "This Hindustan is an alyss into which a great part of the gold and silver of the world finds plenty of ways of going in from all sides and hardly one way out." Tavernier speaking of the Indian manufactured goods at a single place viz Kassimbuzar asys." A village in the kingdome of Bengal exported 22 000 bales of silk weighing 22,00,000 lbs at 16 cast to the pound." Carpets of silk and gold, antins with streaks of gold and silver, endless lists of exquisite works of minute caivings and other choice objects of art were the stock-in trade of finds. "The Daeca mudin was so fine that soretimes it was valued at its weight im-gold."

As regards Indian Art we need only mention the beautiful paintings of the Indian experts that are carefully preserved in some of the Indian Museums under the care of the Government, and are cherished as the most valuable possessions. The pure style of Hindu art is to be seen in the magnificent buildings in Southern India, and the rook-cut temples in middle India.

- 29 Finally, speaking of Hindu civilisation in a general way we may quote the following testimonials of one or two British statesmen and rulers of India in the 19th century Thus Sir Thomas Munro speaking of Hindu Civilisation says -"I do not understand what is meant by the civilisation of the Hindus In the higher branches of solence, in the knowledge of the practice and theory of good government, and in education which, by banishing prejudice and superstition opens the mind to receive instruction of every kind from every quarter, they are much inferior to European« But if a good system of agriculture, unrivalled manufacturing skill, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to covenience or luxury, schools established in every village for teaching reading writing and arithmetic, the general practice of hospitality and charity among each other, and above all a treatment of the female sax full of confidence, respect and delicacy are among the signs which denote a civilized people then the Hindus are not inferior to the nations of Europe And if civilization is to become an article of trade between the two countries I am convinced that this country (England) will gain by the important cargo '
- 33 Next to the Hindus the Mahomedans formed the most important class of the Indian people, and like the Hindus also they have given a good account of themselves so far as civilization in all its branches and aspects is concerned. We need not here go into the antiquities of the Mahomedan race, and may, for practical purposes, treat the entire body of the disciples of Mahomed as one whole people. The Arab civilization is admitted to be responsible for a number of valuable ideas and ideals that slowly made their impression and took root in the European soil religion of the Arabs, as much as their arms, did much to revivify the eastern Europeans when the Roman Empire was broken and dismembered by the barbarians. and Christianity was degraded by corruptions and weakened by controversies of proconcilable sects. The Mahomedans steered their course of conquest to the west even earlier than to the east, and the Saracen conquest of the European races and countries is an integral chapter in European history. In the eighth century the Manomedans, after conquering the countries bordering the Mediterranean, reached Gibraltar, and within ten years they completely conquered Spain and penetrated far into France, i e upto the walls of Poitiers. As Gibbon says "A victorious line of march had been prolonged above a thousand miles from the rock of Gibraltar to the banks of the Loire The repetition of an equal space would have curried the Suracens to the confines of Poland and the Highlands of Scotland The Rhine is not more impassable than the Nils or Fughrates, and the Arabian fleets might have sailed without a naval combat into the mouth of the Thames Perhaps the interpretation of the Kuran would now be taught in the schools of Oxford, and

her pulpits might demonstrate to a circumcised people the sanctity and truth of the revelation of Mahomed."

31 The culture of the Saracens was not inferior to the valour of their arms In Spain they have left traditions of their arts of peace and their love of learning which have not been outdone by any other, even modern, European conquerors The Universities of Cardova and Bagdad are remembered by the lovers of learning to this day The Royal seat of Cardova contained 600 mosques, 900 baths, and 200,000 houses The Mahomedan ruler of Spain gave laws, to 80 cities of the first and 300 cities of the second and third order The era was an era of prosperity and riches and cultivation. Those of the Spaniards who accepted Mahomedanism whether subjects or slaves, arose in a moment the free and equal companions of the victorious Moslems. The magnificence of the Khalip of Bagdad has been painted by historians in brilliant colours Bagdad was called the city of peace. The Khaliphall of audience was incrusted with gold and pearls. Buildings were sustained or adorned by hundreds of columns of Spanish, African Greek or Italian marble a lofty position in his gardens one of the basins and fountains was replenished not with water but with purest quick silver Munificent endowments were given for colleges and a Vazir of a Sultan consecrated a sum of 200,000 pieces of gold to the foundation of a College at Bagdad which he endowed with an annual royenuo of 50,000 dinars. The fruits of instruction were communicated to 6000 disciples of every degree from the son of the noble to that of the mechanic, a sufficient allowance was provided for the indigent scholars and the merit or industry of the profesors was renaid with adequate stipends The Royal library of the Fatimites (Egypt) consisted of 100,000 manuscipts elegantly transcribed and splondidly bound which were lont to the students of Caro Yet this collection must appear moderate if we bolieve that the Omariads of Spain had formed a library of 600 000 volumes 44 of which were employed in the more catalogue Their capital Cardova with some adjacent towns had given birth to more than 300 writers, and above 70 public libraries were opened in the cities of the Andalusian Lingdom. The age of Arahian learning continued about 500 years till the great eruption of the Moguls and was gooval with the darkest and most slothful period of European annals

32 Let us now turn to the Mahomedans in India We need not dwell on the early Mahomedan Houses and Dynasties though it is impossible to mass without montion the political ambition of Mahomed of Gazani who made daring raids into India as far as Somnath and carried back huge quantities of Indian wealth. or of Allauddin whose foreign conquests were said to be the greatest ever made in India and whose governmental administration was said to be equally successful. or of Shikandar Lodi whose administration was reported to be just and vigorous But coming to the Mogul House of Timur we meet with a wonderful race of rulers Mr Sydney Owen observes in his India on the ere of British conjuest, ' But what royal dynasty can exhibit a prouder or more remarkable muster roll of six consecutive fighting savereigns among whom the first is Babar the precocious. ubiquitous, and irrepressible founder of the ompire the third Akbar who refounded and extended it in wars that may challenge comparison with those of Charles the Great, and who thoroughly remod iled the mulitary system, the sixth Alamcia who, a warrior from his youth upwards, were out the last 23 years of a long and agitated life in the one, continuous, and laborious campaign, and died in harhess unsated with war at the idvanced age of eighty eight. No castern monarch has perhaps, so much received and deserved the praise as Albar at the hands of the western writers and it must be superflore to dwell at length upon the qualities of the Mahomedan race as displayed in this the ripost fruit of its civilization But mention must be made, over in passing, to his fiscal measures, his system of land settlement, his land revenue policy, his measures for protecting the people, his onlightened respect for public opinion, and last but not least his spirit of religious toleration which secured to him the love of the contemporary, and the admiration of succeeding, generations. The Ami-Albari is a living testimony to his insight into economic principles and details which he had fully mastered. Those who read the vivid description of Terry's account of the entertainment given

by Asafkhan, a brother-in-law of Jehangir to the British ambassador will get _ good idea of the high general level of culture and civilisation which the Mahomedans of the upper classes had reached in the time of Albar He says all considered, our feast in that place, was better than Apicius that famous Encure of Rome, with all his witty gluttony, could have made with all provisions he had from the earth, air and sea" The Mogul emperors paid special attention to the encouragement of trade and commerce, and it was in their regime that not only the East India Company but a number of other European merchants obtained generous permission to trade in India. The customs duties were not high, though restrictions were put upon traders carrying away quantities of silver The Moguls had a particular taste for Chinese porcelain, and Akbaris said to have left in Agra alone at the time of his death more than two millions and a half of rupees worth of most elegant vessels of every kind in porcelain and coloured glass, imported from China in the east to Veince in the west. Evidently commerce at this high scale could not exist unless there were peace and prosperity in the country, and as Mr Vincent Smith has pointed out in bis work on Albar that in his reign the roads must have been fairly secure, for, the Ambassador Terry made a long journey of 400 miles from Surat into the heart of Hindustan very safely, though his company was small, comprising only of four other Englishmen and twenty natives of the country That Akbar was illiterate in the sense of not being able to read and write may br may not be true, but that can not discount from the fact that he had made his own all that can be seen and read in books and that his court was one of the choicest gardens of literary culture like the Hindu Kings Bhoi and Vikramaditva His taste was so fine that he prepared beautiful manuecripte into mechanical print, and the library he left behind him, in extremely valuable buildings enriched with costly illustrations by the best artists is said to bave contained 24 000 volumes valued at 61/2 millions of rupees, as estimated by two independent European authors The style of architecture introduced by Akbar and executed for him by a Hindu architect is called the eclectic style and is regarded us the beet model on which to work as being a really national Indian style, combining the best features of Hindu and Mahomedan architecture

- 33 As observed by Macaulay in his essay on Lord Cives, 'the Empire which Bahar and his Mogule reared in the sixteenth century was long, one of the most extensive, and splendid in the world. In no European kingdom was so large a population subject to a single prince, or so large a revenue poured into the treasury. The beauty and magnificence of the buildings erected by the sovereigns of Hindustan anized even travellers who had seen St. Peters. The innumerable retinues and gongeous decorations which surrounded the throno of Delhi, dazled even grew which were accustomed to the pomp of Versaulles. Some of the great Viceroys who held their posts by virtue of commissions from the Moguls ruled as many subjects and enjoyed as large an income as the large of France or the Emporor of Germany Even the deputies of these deputies might well rank, as to extent of territory and amount of revonue, with the grand Duke of Tuscany or the Elector of Saxony's
- 34 A summary as it were may be given of the condition of India as the joint result of the Hindu and Mahomedan civilibations at the advent of the English in India in the following words of the author of Colonies and Dependencies (English Citicon series)
- "All early visitors to India—Greek, Arabian, or Italian—morvelled at the bigh degree of civilisation which the Indians had attained Crowded cities, laborious agriculture, skifful manufactures, brisi, commerce, graceful architecture—all these we indigenous to the soil. As in ancient Zappt or ancient Babylonia many centuries of traditional culture had produced a social organisation that was eminonally adapted to the race and to the country. Social life was, indeed, more varied in those diys than it is now. The numerous native courts were so many courtee for the satisfaction of ambition and encouragement of enterprise. All the arts flourished, and with them urban life. Colonies of workmen sattled in the large towns, and acquired a skill in analypialition that seems wonderful at the present day."

Traders and bankers accumulated wealth, which they could not have done had properly been altogether insecure Daring the eighteenth century Surat was the great emporium of trade with Europe, and the estimates of its population at that time vary from 400,000 to 800,000 souls. When Clive entered Murshidabad the capital of Bengal in 1757, he wrote of it — "This city is as extensive, populous and rich as the city of London, with this difference, that there are individuals in the first possessing infinitely greater property than in the last city." India probably reached her zenith of prospority during the seventeenth century.

35 Such in brief were the people and such were their kingdoms and empires. It is no wonder, therefore, that the descendants of these people should, after being reinforced with Western education and civilisation, aspire to become equal partitions. In political sovereignity and enjoy the rights of self government on the eve of the final consolidation of the British and Indian races into one common Empire.



Chapter II

India Under British Rule '

THE foregoing will give a general idea of the condition of this country at the time when it passed into the hands of the East India Company, and the British rulers of India could not lighthmately object to be judged by the admitted results of that rule during the last two hundred years. And in this respect we may rely upon the testimony of some of the heat Indian thinkers, who could not possibly be accused of either disloyalty to the British Government or ingratitude to the British race in regard to the obvious hissishings of their rule in this country. Of course there are different view points from which the general condition of a country may be judged, and in this, as in any other account, there must be a credit as well as a debit side to the ledger. For, who can be so perverse or wildly ungrateful as to deny that altogether a new chapter has been opened in the national history of India owing to the operation of that decree of insectiable Providence, by which India lost her political power and freedom and was subjected to the rule of a foreign country like Empland?

- 2 It is profitlees to indulge in a speculation as to what might have been the state of India to day if the British had not, by quite fortuitous circumstances, turned upon the scene One view, and the most loudly and persistently proclaimed view, is that perfect anarchy and chaos might have reigned in India in that event, so that the Hindus and the Mahomedane might have been eternally wrangling and running at each other a throats, that local despots might have carved out petty prinorpalities for themselves, that life and property would have been absolutely insecure. that agriculture, trade, and commerce might have woefully degenerated, that the gates heing shut against knowledge of western science and the refined appliances of western culture and civilisation, the material condition of the country as a whole might not only have stood etill but even retrogressed and that India would have heen a sink of all the filth of social and religious higotry and fanaticism-in fact a byeword, among all the nations of the world, for degradation and depravity of mankind The only relieving alternative suggested by many is that some foreign invader and conqueror like Gengizkhan or Timurlang might have come and enslaved India, or perhaps some ambitious and progressive foreign nation, like France, might have established an Empire in this cursed land, eternally doomed to he a subject nation
- 3 Perhaps it would not be altogether overhurdening the theme if we put forward in this connection a theory of our own as to what might have happened in India if the British were not here. We have, in another place, already referred to the observation of Sir William Hunter who in his History of India has said British won India not from the Moguls but from the Hindus Before we appeared as conquerors the Mogul Empire had broken up Our conclusive wars were neither with the Delhi King nor with his revolted Governors but with the two Hindu confederacies. the Marathas and the Sikhs Ourlast Maratha war dates as late as 1818 and the Sikh confederation was not finally overcome until 1849 It is thus evident that the Marathas were in the absence of the English the real heir apparent to succeed to Imperial sovereignty in Iudia The death of Aurangzeb was the signal for the distuption of the Mogul Empire, and its subsequent history is a mere record of ruin Already in 1720 had powerful military captains, like the Nizam carved independent kingdoms for themselves out of the Mogul territory and the Governor of Oudh, who was the Vazir of the Empire, became practically independent of Delhi within the next ten years The imbeculity, the pitiable weakness, of a succession of six Mogul puppet Lings aggravated by the machinations of alternatingly successful kingmakers, resulted in an absolute negation of anything like a central authority at Delhi, and the consequent cataclysm of the hreak-up of the empire may fancifully

be compared to the event which represents the disintegration of the main solar system. The contribugal activity had been run to death and the invasion of Nadir Shab of Persia in 1739 and his horrible massacres in the Mogul capital are a clear index to the contemporary situation.

4 The Peshwa Bajirao I was by this time wellknown at the Delhi Court as a powerful Maratha commander, and was appealed to for help against Nadir Shah Baurao actually started for Delhi in response to this appeal, but Nadir Shah had already withdrawn before Baurao reached the Narmada. Then followed four successive invasions by Ahmed Shah Durant and the plunders of the Mogul capital In 1751 the aid of the Marathas was again invoked by the, Delhi Emperor to crush the Robilla insurrection in Oudh, and it was promptly put down by the Maratha arms In 1759 the Marathas had completed their organisation for the conquest of Hindustan and as a matter of fact they cantured Delhi itself. The defeat of the Marathas at Panipat in 1761 naturally impeded for the time the fulfilment of the Maratha ambition to some extent but the Mogul power at Delhi itself was so weakened, and such hopeless complications had set in, that the Marathas within a few years not only re established their relations with the Delhi Court but did so with a vengeance as it were. The prominent Maratha captain, Mahadan Scindia, wielded power enough at the Delhi Court to be called the Ling maker, and the Delhi Emperor was a puppet in his hands almost till Mahadaji's death The Maratha invasions had also begun before 1740 upon other provinces beyond the Deccan The Bhonsalas plundered Murshidahad in 1741 and the Maratha ditch in Calcutta stillebears witness to the daring expedition of the Maratha cavalry in north eastern India. and currously enough the fond Bengali mother still tries to frighten and send her child to sleep by einging a lullaby in which the terror of the Maratha invasion is poetically depicted. The Bhonsalas again invaded Bengal in 1743 and in 1751, they obtained from the Vicercy of Oudh a formal grant of the Chauth or the quarter revenue of Bengal together with the cession of Orissa In 1760 the Marathas had invaded the Punish and the horses of the dering captain Raghoba were actually watered at the Indus The Nizam in the Deccan had been repeatedly defeated, and the Marathas were co sharers with the English in the defeat of Tipu, and the first partition of his territories Towards the end of the eighteenth century, therefore, the fate of Indian sovereignty was as it were, hanging in the balance and things might have been very different to day if, subsequent to the deaths of Mahadan Scindia and Nana Fadanavis, the great confederacy of the Marathas had not been broken up by internal disunion and strife As Colonel Ohesney has said in his Indian Polity the Mogul Empire was practically dissolved, the Emperor being held a prisoner at Delhi by the Marathas The Maratha confederacy were still the most powerful The Merathas although their empire had not yet reached its furthest limits, had already spread themselves nearly across the peninsula reaching from the west coast to the borders of Bengal and from the source of the Tungbhadra to the neighbourhood of Agra Nor were the Marathas without any insight into the benefit from keeping relations with foreign powers. By the time of the advent of Napoleon the Maratha confederacy had been weakened, if not actually broken, and the earlier treaties between the Marathas and the English had the result of removing the French from the path of the latter But Mahadan Scindia had always in his employ French Military men who drilled his armies and were made hereditary Sardars of his court. The break down of the French power in India was an event parallel to the breaking up of the Maratha confederacy, and but for these two events it is possible that the Marathas might have come to occupy " nearly the same position in India as the British do to-day For eighty years the Marathas cherished and professed an imperial ambition, and though their cereer in this respect was shorter lived than that of the Mahomedans, none can say that they had not nearly reached the top of the imperial bent, and were not prevented from actually being peaceful sovereigns of India by unexpected and extraneous rather than necessary and inherent causes And if the Marathas had achieved their purpose it would not have been something strange or unaccountable, for, as Mr Vincent Smith has pointed out in his Early History of India, Imperial sovereignty in India. as a whole was not unknown to the Hindus Before the advent of the Mahomedans the whole of India was Hindu territory, and, as we have already seen, there were Hindu sovereigns who owned as their realm a territory nearly equal to that now hold by the British government in India India, encircled as she is by the seas and mountains is indisputably a natural geographical unit and is designated by one name Further, there is an accomplished homogeniety of civilisation in her people though some classes among them may have entered India at different periods in the dim antiquity Then again, as Sir Herbert Rieley said, at the Royal Asiatic Society in London in 1910 on the authority of Sir Henry Maine, 'The idea of nationality was first derived from India and it travelled westwards Political unity is the natural product of three constituent unities and who knows that if the British in India had been less successful the Marathas might not have soldered the provinces of India into one nation

26 rupees at the then rate of calculation, which was obviously less than the amount required for maintaining a single individual even in India 1 e an individual with the simplest wants. In 1882 an inquiry was taken up in this matter on behalf of the Government, but Sir Evelyn Baring, the then Indian Finance Minister, and sub sequently known as Lord Cromer, the administrator of Lgypt, could not carry the figure of the annual income to more than 27 rupees Major Baring said 'Though I am not prepared to pledge myself to the absolute accuracy of the calculation of this sort it is sufficiently accurate to justify the conclusion that the tax-paying com munity is exceedingly poor. It was quite sufficient to show the extreme poverty of the mass of the people Another attempt at an official calculation in this matter was made by Lord Curzon but he could not carry the figure farther than Rs 30 But assuming the income to be at this higher rate, it is ovident that it can not serve as even a starving maintenance, and the conclusion is incritable that the Indian nonulation must be anything but prosperous. This explains the assertion made by such an high authority as Sir William Hunter that "one fifth of the population or 40 million persons go in India through life with one meal a day or at any rate very insufficient food So also Mr SS Thorburn, Financial Commissioner of the Punjab, declared that "70 millions of Indian peasants are in such a condition of hopeless poverty that no reforms can do them any good" The late Mr Digby had worked some budgets of the actual cost of the living of typical individual peasants and lacourers of India and they pointed to the same conclusion. Mr. Digby further proved that whereas the non-officially estimated income of an average Indian amounted in 1882 to 2d per day the officially estimated income was 11/2d per head per day! An inquiry in 1900 showed that the income had fallen down to 34d per head per day Perhaps it is extremely difficult to estimate with unarring accuracy in a matter like this But taking the official and non official estimates together it could be safely said that the figure of the average income of an Indian hovers round a pittance which cannot give him substantial maintenance, or a maintenance even equal to that which is allowed under the strictest regulations to an inmate of any of the Indian jails

9 The taxable capacity of a subject is a significant index to his economic position under a particular government. And in this respect it has been completely proved that in India the margin of inxation has already been exhausted, and the furthest limit of taxation reached. If India be, as almatter of fact, lightly taxed as nudged by shillings and pence, it is not because the Indian tax payer has been mercifully spared but that it is economically impossible to make him pay more, a comparison of the average mome of an Indian and of his tax paying capacity with the same of the people of some other countries, as set out in the following table, will convince any furriminded person that the alleged material prosperity of the Indian people is more or less smyth, especially when we see it against the blackground of the material condition of the Indian people in pre British times as indicated by some of the verified and authentic accounts about it.

Country.	Annual average theome	Average wealth	Revenue per l'ead	
	£	£	£ s d	
Argentine Australia Australia Belg um Dommark Prence Germany Creece India	24 40 155 28 325 278 31 ?	140 370 105 170 230 240 160 75 914	3 17 0 0 4 8 0 0 3 8 0 0 4 15 0 0 5 0 0 5 5 0	
Netherlands Rougamia Servia Spain Sweden Sweden Sw tzerland Un ted Kingdom Un ted States Ame ica	22 6 ? ? 16 5 22 19 39 40	240 95 85 105 340 150 900 210	0 5 0 0 3 5 0 0 2 17 0 0 1 15 0 0 2 11 0 0 1 17 0 0 4 2 0 0	

10 Of course a number of causes could be assigned for this deplorable state of things, such as (1) the drain in the form of exploitations of specie and natural wealth hy foreign merchants (2) the Home charges, though Alleged to represent the inevitable cost of the administration of India in England and the improvement effected in India with the aid of foreign capital, (3) the high cost of the civil administration in India mainly made up of the pay and pensions of the Covenented and other Civil Servants. (4) the costly public works including strategical railways, and last hut not least (5) the maintenance of the military establishment which absorbs. roughly sneaking, about 30 to 35 percent of the net revenue of India We need not go here into the details of each of these topics or enter into an exhaustive examination of their pros and cons But it is sufficient for our present purpose to show. that whatever the causes, there is almost a consensus of common among Indian thinkers that the material prosperity of the Indian people has not so far advanced under British rule that the British rulers may plume themselves upon it this negative result must be taken together with the positive fact that as the result of the British connection, since the days of the East India Company, an enormous amount of wealth has been actually bodily taken out of the country without practically any return or a return of a very questionable character Propositions based merely on the balance of trade, or on the excess of imports over exports or vice-tersa, are not universally true, and instances of either kind could be cited to prove exactly opposite conclusions

II But there are other and almost unfailing indications by which the growth of poverty or opulence may he judged. And we may, therefore, content ourselves with citing uninions of certain high authorities to indicate the direction in which the economic position of India has been gradually shifting since the advent of the East India Company In 1787 Sir John Shore seid "The Company are merchants as well as sovereigns of the country. In the former capacity they engross its trade, whilst in the latter it appropriates the revenues. The remittances to Europe of revenues are made in the commodities of the country which are purchased by them Whatever allowance we may make for the increased industry of the subjects of the state, nwing to the enhanced demand for the produce of it, there is reason to conclude that the henefits are more than counterhalanced by evils inseparable from the system of foreign dominion From 1765 the Company s trade produced no equivalent returns and specie was rarely imported by the foreign companies If we were to suppose the internal trade of Hindustan again revived. the expert of the production of the eventry by the Company must still mevent these teturns which trade formerly poured in This is an evil inseparable from European government A large proportion of the rents of the country are paid into the Company s treasury and the manufactures are applied to remit to England the surplus which remains after discharging the claims in this government and to augment the commerce and revenue of Great Britain' In 1790, Lord Cornwalls remarked as follows -"The consequence of the heavy drain of wealth, with the addtion of that which has been occasioned by the remittances of private fortunes, have heen for many years past, and are now, severely felt by the great diminution of the current specie and by the langour which has thereby been thrown upon the cultivation and general commerce of the country ' In 1816 Mr Montgomerie Martin summing up the result of certain official minntes, says "The annual drain of £ 3,000,000 on British India has amounted in 30 years, at the usual Indian rate of interest to the enormous sum of about £724,000,000 So constant and accumulating a drain, even in England, would soon impoverish her Sir John Shore again wrote as follows "But the haloyon days of India are over She has been drained of a large proportion of the wealth she once possessed, and her energies have been cramped by a sorded system of misgule to which the interests of millions have been sacrificed for the benefit of the few. The gradual impoverishment of the people and the country, under the mode of rule established by the British Government, has hastened the fall of the old merchant princes. The grinding extortion of the English Government has effected the impoverishment of the people to an extent almost unparalleled. In 1836 Mr Saville Marriot, one of

the Commissioners of revenue in the Deccan, after reviewing the position says 'Almost everything forces the conviction that we have before us a harrowing progress to utter pauperism Most of the evils of our rule in India arise directly from, or may be traced to, the heavy tribute which that country pays to England" In 1848 Mr Robert Knight wrote "Mr Jiberne, after an absence of 14 years from Guzerat, returned to it as Judge in 1840 and wrote "I marked deterioration. I did not see so many of the more wealthy classes of the natives The aristocracy when we first had the country used to have their gay carts, horses and attendants and a great deal of finery about them, and now there seems to be an absence of all that The ryots all complain that they had money once but they have none now. In 1854 Mr Bourdillon, one of the Revenue officers of the Madras Civil Service, wrote 'It may certainly be said of almost the whole of the ryots, paying even the highest sums that, they are always in poverty and generally in debt." In 1869 Sir George Campbell, in his paper on the tenures of land in India, quotes a Madras official as follows "The bulk of the people are paupers " They can just pay the cesses in a good year and fail altogether when the season is bad In 1873 Mr W G Pedder of the C P Civil Service wrote 'If an almost universal consensus of opinion may be relied on, the people are rapidly going from bad to worse under our rule. This is a most seri ous question and one well deserving the attention of Government." Lord Lawrence writing in 1864 remarked Thelieve that Mr Grant Duff had good grounds for the statement he made, and I wish to say with reference to it that we are perfeetly cognisant of the relative poverty of this country as compared with European states ' In fact, as Mr Dadahhai Navroji has pointed out, the drain of India under British Rule may be compared to the parallel drain of England itself under the rule of the Romans as depicted by Draper in his Intellectual Development of Europe

And lastly we shall quote the late Hon Mr Gokhale who, in a Bectire in London delivered in 1905 at the National Liberal Club, succently summarised the material condition of India as the result of a long study of the question. He said 'Let us now turn to the material results, and here I am sorry to easy the vertical sets of more emphatic against your rule. I firmly helives and I say this after a careful study of about 20 years of the question that the economic results of British rule in India have been absolutely disastrous. That the mass of the people in India are at present sunk in Inghiful poverty is now admitted by all including the most inveterate official optimist.'

- 12 It is often alleged that the rise in prices in India is an index of her prospect. But the rise of prices is not perhaps always anequeocal in its significance. For when prices nest it means a direct gain to certain classes of the society as corresponding to an equivalent loss to certain other classes in the same society. But it could be easily demonstrated, with reference to the growth of prices in India during the list half a century, that while the rise has been more than proportionate to the carning capacity of the Indian people during that period it does not mean an unmixed gain even to those classes who are apparently benefitted by that rise. The fluctuation in Indian prices is due mostly to causes which are not under the control of the Indian people themselves and are operated by agencies outside the country.
- [3] The decline of the indigenous industries in India, however, is the greatest factor in the economic degeneration of India under British rule. As pointed out by Mr Romesh Chunder Dutt in his book India Under Early British Rule, the policy of the East India Company was not to foster Indian industries but to put them, down in the hope that ultimately Eugland should be profitted by the raw materials of India and that India in her turn should be dependent upon the manufactures of England. This policy the Company consistently and rigorously pursued util the end of its regime and evenunder the direct rule of the Crown the policy never underwent a change, the only difference being that instead of a monopolist exploitation by a chartered body of merchants, India was thrown open, under the patronage of the Government, to thel whole body of English merchants whether residing in England or in India. With regard to the silk manufactures in Bengal, the first use which the company made of the powers acquired by it under the Diwani was

to prohibt the manufacture of silk goods by weavers except those that would work in the Company's own factories, and the probibition was enforced under severe penalties by the authority of Government The result was that within a certain number of years the manufacture of silk by Indian weavers rapidly declined, and the very people who had exported these goods to the markets of Europe and Asia in previous centuries began to import them from England The same was the case with the manufacture of cotton goods In 1794 the value of cotton goods sent out from England to the East was £ 156 bnly In 1813 the figure reached £ 1,108, 824 At the time of the inquiry previous to the renewal of the Company's charter in 1813, special care was taken to ascertain how Indian manufactures could be replaced by British manufactures, and how British industries could be promoted at the expense of Indian industries! After the renewal of the Charter British manufactures were forced into India through the agency of the Company's Governor General and commercial Resi dents while Indian manufactures were shut out from England by heavy prohibitive tariffs On calicoes while the revenue duty was £3, the protective duties upon them against home consumption was £ 68 The figures in the case of muslins were 10 and 27 There was a further duty of 20 per cent, on consolidated duties which raised these figures to £78 for calicoes and £31 for muslins With regard to these duties H. H Wilson the historian of India, has remarked 'But for these high protective duties the mills of Paisley and Manchester would have been stopped and could scarcely have been set in motion even by the power of steam They were maintained by the sacrifice of Indian manufactures Had India heen independent she would have retaliated, but this act of self defence was not available to her British goods were forced upon India without paying any duty and the foreign manufacturer employed the arm of political injustice to keep down and ultimately strangle a competitor with whom he could not have contended on equal terms ' In India the revenues of the Company derived from the Indian people were spent upon the Company's investments that is to say on the purchasa of Indian goods for exportation and sale in Europe, without any commercial return, to the average of £13.32.877 The weavers residing in villages under the Company's rule were held in subjection. as it were to the Company s factories under legal regulations. If the weavers sold their goods to any other merchants they could be prosecuted in the Diwani Adalat and the landlords and tenants were prohibited from coming in any way hetween the weavers and the commercial agents of the Company In the interior of tha country the conduct of Englishmen towards indigo planters was a disgrace to the British name There were practically slave laws to regulate the relations between English merchants and Indian producers In 1813 the commercial monopoly of the Company was practically abolished and all the above evils only grew in proportion to the influx of British merchants in India Since 1813 private British trade was substituted in the place of Company's trade One of the objects of the periodical inquiries made into Indian affairs at the instance of Parliament was always to ascertain how Indian trade could be put down and the British trade encouraged in its No doubt the abolition of the Company's monopoly led to an increase in the Indian trade with England but it was an increase in the export of Indian raw produce and the import of manufactured goods These figures of a nominal increase in the trade really meant the extinction of the Indian industries and the loss of industrial profits to India but this was interpreted in England to mean an increase of prosperity in India! The Parliamentary inquiry was practically limited to industries in which British capital was employed, the humbler industries did not interest the Parliament at all

34 On the other hand the financial results of the Indian administration during this period show a surplus of millions of pounds but this money was not saved in India or spent upon useful public works like irrigation. It went as a continuous tribute to England to pay dividends to Company's shareholders.

15 The transfer of the Indian Government from the Company to the Crown in 1858 brought no relief Before the Mutiny Lord William Bentinek attempted to equitably interfere in this state of things but a how! was immediately raised against him After the mutiny Lord Canning made the first attempt to reform

the tariff so as to equalise duties on British and foreign goods. He wished to abolish export duties and to incresse import duties. But the financial disturbance owing to the Mutiny prevented bis intentions being carried out Under the pretence of free trade all attempts to reform the Indian tariff in favour of Indian, and against British, manufactures were put down with all the gusto and the fury of economic theorists The ratio between imports to, and exports from, India which reached e common level two years after the Mutiny, began to change, and since 1863 there has been a gradual and continuous increase of the exports over imports Within ten years from the change in the administration, this economic drain from India has resched fourfold, and the significance of the balance of trade will be appreciated by referring to particular articles of trade Thus cotton goods which were imported in India in 1859 to the value of £8,000,000 went up to £16 000,000 by 1877, salk goods from £147,000 to £584,000 and woollen goods from £294,000 to £311 000 The Indian people might have begun to obtain slightly cheapergoods but their loss must bave been much greater on the whole owing to the fact that the whole weaving industry in the country was lost and no other compensating industry was set up in its place The result naturelly was that millions of people were thrown back apon agriculture and became liable to severe distress at the first touch of soarcity or the failure of seasonehle rains

- 16 The only change to be noted in this industrial policy of Government is that which is reflected in the changes from time to time in the customs tariffs. In 1858 the import duties consisted of 31/2 percent upon cotton twist and yarn, and 5 per cent on other British goods including piece goods In 1859 all differential tariffs were abolished and duties on all articles of luxury were raised to 20 per cent while duties on other goods were raised to 10 per cent and on cotton twist and yarn to 5 per cent. In 1860 the 20 per cent duty on luxuries was reduced to 10 per cent and the 5 per cent duty on ootton twistand yern was raised to 10 percent so that the import tariff consisted of a uniform rate of 10 per cent In 1861 the import duty on cotton twist and yarn was reduced to 5 per cent and in 1862 to 3 1/2per cent and the duty on cotton and other manufacture was reduced to 5 per cent. In 1864 the general rate of import duties was reduced from 10 to 71/2 per cent In 1871 the import duty on cotton twist remained at 31/2 per cent, on and the piece goods at 5 per cent so that the extra financial character of the tariff ceased and the import duties assumed, like other duties, a strictly revenue character In 1874 the British manufactures raised a hue and cry even against these moderate duties as there was a prospect of a number of mills being erected in India with the result that though the import duty of 5 per cent on cotton goods was maintained a special 5 per cent duty on the import of long stapled cotton was imposed to prevent Indian mills compsting at an advantage in the production of the finer goods and also the valuation of imported piece goods was largely reduced In 1875 the Duke of Argyll, ecting under Cabinet compulsion to conciliate Lancashire, recommended a reduction of the import duty both on aconomic and political grounds, but Lord Northbrook would not agree, and he eventuelly resigned his office next year Lord Lytton succeeded him end making use of his extraordinary powers as Viceroy exempted from import duty all imported cotton goods up to 30 counts though a majority of his Council was opposed to this action This was a clear instance of bow important Indian economic interests were sacrificed to party politics
 - 17 The import of cotton manufactures in 1878 amounted to 173 crorss of rupees It went up in 1901 to 27 3 crores Only cotton twist and yarn was kept under control to a certain extent owing to the development of mill industry in India In 1882 all the remaining import duties except on salt and liquors were abolished In 1894 the import duties were agein imposed at 5 per cent owing to financial stress in India. The next year the Lancashire manufacturers went in deputation to the State Secretary for India and the matter was again taken up and, in order to satisfy them, a duty of 31/2 per cent on all goods woven in India, known as the excise duty, was imposed. This measure was naturally opposed by the British merchants in India who were interested in the local mill industry. It was pointed out that India possessed only 1/12th part of Great Britain's spindles and 1/19th of

her looms, and pitfully did Mr Playfair, as representing the European merchantile community of Calcutta, appeal to the Viceroy in the Legislative Council and said "May not India have even this little ewe lamb? Because Lancashire masters may he alarmed and discontented I see no reason wby Government should unjustly attack a separate industry in India". The Tariff Bill of 1895 virtually meant a remission of taxation of 51 lacs of rupees to Manchester goods and an increase of 11 lacs of taxation on Indian made goods. Well might Mr Dutt say, "As an instance of fiscal injustice, the Indian Act of 1896 is unexampled in any civilised country in modern times". The latest important amendment of the Fariff Act, we must in fairness add, was made only this year when the import duty on cotton goods has been raised to 7½, and a promise has been given of the excise duties being abblished. But no one can say with certainly what will be actually done when the war is over and party politics once more come into full play in England.

18 The above, though it is a hrief and rapid-review of the policy of the Government towards Indian industries, clearly bring's out two facts (1) that the state of things under the Company's rule was bad enough and (2) it has not improved even under the direct rule of the Crown The economic condition of a nation is naturally regarded as the very hasis of its well-heing and as Mr Morley has observed 'If Government meddle wrongly with economic things then it goes to the very life, to the heart, to the core of national existence We have refrained from giving the heart rending details of the treatment given by the East India Company to the native manufacturers in Bengal to crush the Indian industries in the interest of the British trade and commerce nor do we wish to endorse word for word the severe censure passed hy Englishmen like Mr Hyndman on this subject of Indian poverty and economic We are aware that there are certain aspects of the "drain' theory which might allow of things to be plausibly said on either side of the question, and we are also brepared to make due allowance for the play of human nature and instincts in a matter like this. But after all that could be said by way of extenuation, the fact remains that the economic policy of the British Government towards India has been simply disastrous, and such as an indigenous or really national or a really unselfish and enlightened foreign, government would not be expected to pursue As the Hon Mr Gokhale has observed -

"When we come to this question of India's industrial domination by England we come to what may be described as the most deplorable feature of British rule in this country. In other matters there are things on the credit side and things on the debit side. But when you come to the Industrial field you will find that the results have deen disastrous. You find very little here on the credit side and nearly all the entires on the debit side.

- 19 The emasculation of the Indian people under British rule is to he seen in the loss of their employment in the higher Civil administration, about which we shall speak later on in another chapter, but it is to be seen even in a more marked degree with respect to military service than with respect to civil employment. And one broad outstanding fact in this connection may at once he stated that we are much worse off under the direct rule of the Crown than we were under the rule of the Esst India Company.
- 20 The Company's Indian Army was first established at Madras in 1748 when, in imitation of the French, the Eoglish merchants raised a small hody of Sepoys. At the same time a small European force was raised, being composed of sailors off duty and men smuggled on the English coastby crimps and forcibly brought to India The Madras Army was rapidly increased during the next seven years, and it was with the belp of the Madras troops that Clive won the battle of Plassey. When the Company became the masters in Bengal a Sepoy force was raised in that province. In 1778 columns of Bengal troops could be sent by the Calcutta Government across India to fight against the Marathas in co-operation with the Bombay troops. There was, however, not much discipline in the army at the time and the officers' emoluments were derived from irregular perquisites. There were, frequent mutinies in which black.

The following table, compiled from figures taken from Whitaker's Almanach, 1917, shows how much India spends on her military establishment in comparison with the other countries —

Country	Population	Standing Army	Military Expendi- ture Army Budget	Revenue	Percen- tage of Military expendi- ture
			£	£	
India	315,000,000	236,600	18 000,000	82,000,000	22
Great Britain	45,407,037	162,250 Stand of 201,200 Terr tornal	28 220 000	186,000,000	15
Japan	50,000,000	230,000	9 966 000	54,000,000	185
Austria	29,000,000	425,800	22,193,000	215,000,000	10
France	40,000,000	620,800	36,550,000	196,000,000	18 5
U S America	92,000 000	216,000	30,000 000	207,000,000	15
Italy	. 35 000,000	306,300	16,825,000	1140,00,000	16
Australia	5,000,000	105,000	2,500 000	20,000,000	12°5
Canada	7,250,000	71,200	1,520 000	24,000 000	63
S Africa	6 000,000	20,000	1,150 000	17 000 000	68
<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u></u>

24 In India we have either an army on a war footing for all time or nothing, so that while the cost is a useless burden to us in peace time, it does not secure to us in war time an adequate army which can rely upon itself absolutely for the protection of the country. The amalgamation of the British troops in India with the British troops in England after the Mutiny, has added to the cost and made India only more dependent upon England than ever before. The short service system is the peculiar feature of this amalgamation but the system mostly benefits England at the cost of India. The reserve which it creates remains in England and not immediately at the call of India, and further India does not get the well seasoned solder. The standard of efficiency for which India is made to pay is the English standard but that standard of efficiency is not available for practical use in India.

25 The British soldiers in India are to the Indian serovs in the ratio of 1 to 2 but they more than make up for the difference by their cost, for it is computed that 7 Native soldiers are financially equal to 3 European soldiers. Before the Mutiny the average cost per combatant to the Company was Rs 775, the same has now gone up to about Rs 1500 As regards the native troops they are ill paid when compared with the British troops in India. Their training is not up to the European standard, and they are entirely debarred from an important arm in the army viz the artillery which is entirely in the keeping of the British troops Being to a large extent recruited in trans-frontier countries, they cannot regard India as their own country and are mere mercenaries, hound either to the Government or to the country by no better tie than the hondage of the salt. Even when recruitment is made in India itself, it is made more from the hungry rabble in the bazaar than from the indigenous people who have real military traditions in their families. The fields for military recruitment are not developed with a view to bripg the past in a line with the present and the future The military service therefore is mostly mechanical and has no national feeling. The higher and the better classes are deliberately excluded from military service, and it is only a year or two since the har sinister has been removed from the Maratha Brahman and the Bengalı Babu by the formation of a few regimental companies for their henefit. Nor are the conditions of pay

pension etc. and the prespects of a career such as to attract to the multiary service the higher classes among the martial races to whom recruitment 18 happily open Before the Multiny the command of the companies of troops was vested in a native officer, but he has now lost it. In 1796 a sepoy batalinon bad only 9 European officers attached to it, in 1849 the regiment bad a complement of 24 European officers, and a regiment of regular cavalry of, 20 officers, but at least half the number of these officers was realty not there, being nominally shown to the credit of the batalinon, but being actually away in civil and other departments. In the irregular regiments with the exception of 3 British officers the rest of the Staff of officers was native in 1861 this number was gaised from 3 to 7 and it has been since increased to 8 The native officer is thus nowhere and cannot rise above the post of a Subhedar or Risaffar, i.e. the native officer virtually ends where the European officer begins his career

26 The late Henry St. George Tucker, bimself a Director of the East India Company, writing in 1848 had observed as follows "In considering the proper complement of officers for our native corps we must not forget that the native officers (the Subhedars in particular) are highly efficient and can be most usefully employed in maintaining discipline and in promoting the efficiency of the service Indeed I am inclined to doubt whether the multiplication of young European officers may not in some respects have had a prejudicial tendency. Inexperienced as they are for some time and ignorant of the native languages, their interposition is sometimes galling to the veteran Southedar of forty or fifty years' standing in the service, who has been present in many a well-fought field, and who cannot understand the fitness of unfledged youngsters for military command. Moreover the multiplication of numbers renders the Europeans independent of native society They congregate in their messes and clubs, write for newspapers, and are absorbed in pursuits strictly and exclusively of a European character Too many of them marry at an early age, and this becomes another cause of alienation from their Formerly the Suhhedar paid his respects regularly to his commanding officer, attended often at his break-fast table, and gave an account of every thing which was passing in his regiment, so that the commanding officer became familiar with the character and conduct of every sepoy under his command He was enabled often to do them little services and he obtained an influence, the affect of personal attachment, which rendered the exercise of authority easy. Our military officers too, secluded as they were from European society, and mixing more with the natives, acquired the colloquial dialects, and some of our best linguists of that day were found among our sepoy officers Just now some of them, no doubt go through a formal examination in the native languages to qualify for staff situations, but the knowledge they acquire is generally from books, and not This alienation or biatus between the life of the native and the British Military officer, and the galling distinction of superiority and inferiority, and also the ill treatment of native officers were certainly some of the most offending of the deeper causes of the mutiny, the greased cartridges and the usurpatory annexations of Native States being only the superficial or immediate of them

27 General Chesney in bis book on Indian Polity has observed that, 'while the judicial service has long been mainly filled by natives, who are represented also on the bench of the bighest courts, and while under the most recent changes every branch of the service judicial and executive, has now been thrown open to them, the army continues to be what it always has been—an army of peasants or a class little removed above them, an army of native soldiers commanded by English offices. So far indeed it may be said to be organised like the British army, in which the men and the officers form two separate classes, but then that is a British army led by British officers and this makes all the difference in the cavality the position of the native officer has even gone back, for whereas formerly he could ruse to the command of a squadrom, the squadroms are now commanded by British officers, the most junor of whom takes precedence over the oldest native officer. So far then as the army is concerned, the Queen's Proclamation is a dead letter to a very large number of a most important class of Indian gentlemen descended in many cases from ancestors who bald bigh military office under former

rulers, the only palatable and indeed the only form of public service practicable and possible for them is the military, and that is closed to them. While this is the case it cannot be said that the promise held out in the Proclamation is fully acted upon. It may be replied, indeed, that the class in question is excluded from service by the condition of fitness laid down, that is by reason of their defective education. Yet men labouring under the same deficiency have carved out kingdoms for them-selves. A man of this sort, thorough gentleman in mannor and feeling, if illiterate, with all the pride and hearing of hirth and high family tradition, leading his own kinsmen like the Highland chief of old, will by his chivalrous example show his men the way to victory, and that, after all, is what has to be aimed at in choosing cofficers.

- 28 The same author has not been unmindful of the stock argument, that if "men of rank and influence in India were raised to high military position they might take advantage of that position,' and he openly declares that Government studiously excludes Indians from all but the humblest places in the army obviously because they are afraid to trust them "The danger from one point of view, says General Chesney "may be freely admitted, but he gives an almost conclusive reply to this argument of distrust in the following words When in almost every country of Eurone men are found plotting against their fellow countrymen, with the experience of Ireland before us, it would be absurd to expect that loyalty in India should take a higher form than expediency-the recognition that our rule is the hest available at present, and that it is too firmly established to be attacked without risk. But apart from any question of justice or good faith it is surely safer as a matter of policy to have men of talent and ambition with you their interests enlisted in our system as offering possibilities of high advancements than that their only chance of escape from a life of obscurity and maction should he felt to he in subversion of our rule and the anarchy attendant on such a revolution. There can be little room for doubt on which side the choice should be taken. Too much time has already been lost hefore entering on the course indicated by policy as well as good faith
 - 29 Such is the case when the officering of only the native troops by native officers is concerned. What hope can there he then of the racial distinction he tween a European and the native in the Military service being so far removed that a native officer of proved merit and ability should command even a company of British Soldiers 9 The rawest subalterr, if he is a huropean, is quite good and fit to command the cidest and the most senior native officer though he may have a dozen scars on his hody or a dozen medals of honour on his breast. But King Prestige rules so supreme that Englishmen, however enlightened, will not even for a moment bear the very idea of the position heing reversed, and such a high native officer coming into a position of direct or indirect command over not only the lowest class of European officers, but over even a common British soldier We have noticed General Chesney's views about giving native soldiers bigh military offices, but even he has not been able to reconcile his mind to this terrible idea o an Indian commanding an European in the military service. For while suggesting a number of expedients for avoiding this aweful catastrophe he has even gone the length of keeping certain regiments entirely separate so that natives even when raised to the status of Commissioned Officers, should be in a position only to command native troops and not Europeans, though he has incidentally remarked that perhaps within the course of 50 years during which this novel experiment would be tried the British soldier might become familiar with the idea of a native com manding him
 - 30 The policy of distruct of the people by the Government has been scandal outly obvious in the military service even more than in the executive or judicial service. It is here that the impudent doctrine of keeping India by the sword speaks in unmistakable action if not in words. And the reproach is indelible against British rule in this respect, because in pre British days in India though there were cases of foreign rule, the policy of excluding the people from high military posts of honour and emoluments was not carried to such an absurd pitch as it has been under the British Government. Under the Marathas the command of the entire

artillery was entrusted to a Mahomedan, while under the enlightened Akhar and the higotted Aurangzeh, Hindu Rajas combined in themselves the offices of Civil Governors and Military Commanders in Chief over whole provinces Even in Russia the non Christian subjects are admitted to high military office Says Sir Henry Cotton

The Mogul emperors adopted hearthy and completely the policy of trust, Akbars greatest generale and most devoted adherents were children of the very men his grand father had conquered The British Government, on the contrary, has adopted a policy of suspiacon The Russians can get from the territories they have, absorbed in central Asia an Allikanoff or a Lorie Melikoff We can only produce men who rise to the rank of a Naik, Havaldar or Resaldar

31 It is only after the teaming of the Government by Indian public opinion for nearly half a century, and after-the harvery of the Indian troops was indisputably manifested on the battle fields of Flankers, that Government have been persuaded to admit the claim of the Indian people to the removal of the bar against them in respect of the military offices, and that, while declaring that the policy of the British Government in India was to develop self governing institutions with a view to the progressive realisation of responsible governings, the Right Hon the Secretary of State for India announced that the nine Cadelships which were formerly given to selected Indians in an imaginary army would now be materialised into regular Codmissions in the real Indian army. The barrier has thus at last been broken, but who knows that the progress of the promotion of native officers to the higher posits in the military service may not he as slow as, or even elower than, their progress in the civil appointments in fulfilment of the plodges contained in the Charter Ast of 1833 and the Proclamation of 1855

32 And lastly we must mention the restrictions which have been placed in the way of the Indian people in the matter of Volunteering In this connection we would rather cite the testimony of Sir Henry Harrison who, in a pamphlet epocally devoted to the subject, has given the reasons why the Indians wish to enlist them selves as Volunteers, even when the Government is apparently unwilling to concede them that privilege Says Sir Henry Harrison

The desire to be enrolled as volunteers arises (1) from a wish for political equality, a desire not to be regarded as Helote while other sections of the community are regarded as Spartans. (2) from a conviction that those who claim their share in the prizes of administration must show their willingness to bear their share of the burdens of the citizenship, (3) from a knowledge that the Bennalees and other Indian races are physically degenerate, and a desire to do something, however little to make them less effiminate, (4) from a pride in association with a noble Empire like that over which Her Majesty presides, and a desire to share in its glories by being numbered among its defenders (5) from a conviction that a struggle may be imminent in India hetween the forces of retrogression led by Russia and those of progress led by England, and that their sympathies and their fortunes must unhesitatingly and unwaveringly he thrown in with the latter privilege has been practically confined so far to the Anglo-Indians and Europeans, though no doubt a few Parsees also have been happy recipients of admission into this charmed circle. The citizen soldier is after all the back bone of national defence. if not the entire military system, and there is no country in the world except India in which government not only do not take into account, but also rely upon, the civil population as part of their defensive military organisation. But the British Gov. ernment have carried the idea of their duty of protecting the people in India to an absurd excess and they would rather import the available army from England, accept the services of colonial contingents or perhaps even invite Japan, under the terms of a friendly alliance with her, to spare a portion of her gallant army But they would on no account trouble the Indian people themselves for defending their hearths and homes This is obviously distruct carried too far The Indian mind cannot be so abased as not to take this as a slur both upon their intelligence and their sentiments of loyalty, or as to treat their exclusion from the toils of war as a dispensation of beneficence. It is easy to understand and explain the paradox that while government send round recruiting agents to obtain recruits for the native standing army, they should refuse the offer of high-souled and respectable Indians to voluntarily bear arms and enter military service for the defence of their country. The same policy has been perceptible in the matter of recruitment since the commencement of the war. While recruiting sogents will be tolerated in doing anything to swell the ranks of the regular native army, the men applying for admission into the Defence of India Force have been treated with pealousy. Government no doubt want men to swell their military ranks, but they want men who care for nothing higher than military discipline. Government affect to see unfathomable dangers in admitting to military education men who are not mercenaries and who are moved by an impulse arising from patriotism.

33 "Even Machiavelli, the high priest of cunning statesmanship, says, "A wise prince was never known to disarm his subjects," rather finding them unfurnished he puts arms into their hands, for hy arming them and innuring them to warlike exercise those arms are surely your own. They who were suspicious to you will become fattful, they who are faithful are confirmed and all your subjects become of your party. And because the whole multitude which submits to your governent is not capable of being armed, if you be heneficial and obliging to those you do arm, you may make the holder with the rest, for the difference of your behaviour to the soldier fainds him more firmly to your service. But when you disarm, you disguist them and imply a diffidence in them, either for cowardice or treachery, and the one or the other is sufficient to give them an impression of hatred against you."

- 34 As regards the martial emasculation of the Indians we can not but observe that the whole world is free to keep arms and use arms. Every civilised nation is interested in giving a martial training to its boys and citizens and in teaching them the use of arms and other military tactics. Some countries do this by conscription, others do it on voluntary system. No Government entitled to be called wise thinks of refusing arms to such of its people as want to use them for legitimate purposes. The free possession of arms and free training in military tactics for purposes of individual and the country's defence is the birthright of every son of a nation. Even the Amir of Kabul does not refuse that to his people China also is thinking of introducing compulsion Japan the military training is compulsory. In some countries even girls learn the use of arms and practise fencing In the United States as well as other States of America the Negroes and the American Indians can keep arms and receive military training But the Indians in India cannot keep arms. Every country is in terested in the manufacture of munitions and in inventing effective methods of dealing with her enemies. All this is denied to Indians
- 35 Never before the introduction of British rule in India was the sense of helplessness that arises out of the consciousness of being a disarmed people, brought home to the people of India so vividly and strongly as during the present War We cannot make even a show of resistence if attacked A people so helpless and dependent deserve to be despised by the world. The War has made the Indian feel that as a British subject he is really a despiciable creature entitled to no consideration at the hands of other people of the world. Even the Negroes, whether in Africa or America, are much hotter placed than he is As Mr Watch says, 'We all devoutly hope that profiting by this great war, Great Britain will not deny any further to the Indian people the exercise of arms the want of which for so many years has led to their emacination.
- 36 This word 'emasculation "affords the key to the situation in India from the purely Indian point of view Political, physical and economic 'emasculation' is the key note of British rule in India.
- 37 Speaking of the effects of peace—of the peculiar peace under the British Government, which not only protects but protects with a vengence, and eventually emasculates the manly qualities of the Indian subjects by a denial of full scope

to their play, Colonel Todd observes with special reference to the Rajputs as follows Never were their (Rapputs') national characteristics so much endangered as in the seducing calm which followed the tempestious agitations in which they had so long floated, doubtful whether 'the gift of our friendship or our arms were fraught with greater evil The latter they could not withstand, though it must never be lost sight of that, like ancient Rome when her glory was fading, we use 'the arms of the barbarians' to defend our conquests against them Is their no mind above the level of £ 10 monthly pay in all the native legions of the three presidencies of India? No Odoacer, no Shivan again to revive? Is the book of knowledge and of truth, which we hold up, only to teach them submission and perpetuate their weakness? When so many nations are called upon to make over to a foreigner, their opposite in every thing, their superior in most, the control of their forces in time of war and a share in the fruits of their renovating prosperity what must be the result? When each Rapput may hang up his lance in the hall, convert his sword to a plough share, and make a hasket of his buckler? What hut the prostration of every virtue? It commences with the basis of the Rainats,-the martial virtues Extinguish these and they will soon cease to respect themselves Sloth, low cunning and meanness will follow What nation ever maintained its character that devolved on the stranger the power of protection! To be great, to be an dependent, its mertial spirit must be oherished. The late Mr. Gokhale has with reference to the same subject, observed in one of his speeches that 'the online nonulation is kept disarmed and, as though it was not enough humiliation to the Indians to be deprived thus of their natural right to hear arms in defence of their hearth and homes, England has recently entered into an alliance with another oriental nation, to rapel foreign aggression on the horders of India and incidentally, to prepetuate the present state of bondage for the Indians themselves

38 And the last but not the least, the moral loss which we have sustained by our connection with England is the loss of our position as a nation in the world of nations Before the edyent of the British rule in India there may indeed have been local strife and struggle and perhaps even anarchy But in the eyes of the world Indie had not ceased to he a great nation Anarchy or misrale is not the monopoly of India as almost every nation in the world knows it to its cost But the British occupation of India has changed the whole aspect of things and the ignominious stamp of a dependency put on it by England at once degraded it beyond measure Has not anarchy existed in other eastern nations like Turkey and China? But simply for the reason that they were independent nations they did not forfeit respectful recognition or treatment by the leading nations of the world. So also anarchy has admittedly prevailed in some of the small nations in eastern Europe for example the Balkan States, and yet for the simple reason that they were independent States and not dependencies they had to be treated with respect due to them In fact their right to nationhood has been expressly made causus belli by the foremost powers in the world, and their restoration to their status after the war is probably the only condition on which peace will be concluded by England. France and America with the Central Powers of Europe But hecause India is a dependency or a mere apparage to the British Empire it does not count and is not mentioned in the present struggle with any consideration, and chriously enough, England herself. who has voluntarily rushed into the vortex of a life and death struggle with Germany with the old knight errant's chivalrous sympathy for the rights of insignificant nations like Belgium and Serbia, does not seem to be alive in any way to her own responsibility towards a great nation like India, a nation that is as much an orna ment as a store house of resources to the British Empire This accounts for the insulting treatment which India has received at the hands of the colonies themselves of the British Empire where Indians are treated as people outside the pale of civi lisation, and where the law of emigration is so framed as to practically discriminate tand exclude Indians of all the people in the world. When the members themselves of the Empire give this sort of treatment to India simply because she is a dependency and not a self governing colony, we need not wonder that other nations of the world have run their pencil through thename of India as a nation not entitled to any respect or even recognition Har connection with England has thus brought

upon India the loss of political status in the eyes of the nations of the world and those who appreciate the fact that reputation and good name is the most valuable possession that a nation like an individual can have will admit that this loss of prestige is the greatest moral loss that India has suffered at the hands of England A nation of 300 millions with the most ancient civilisation to its credit has been wiped off from the roll of nations as if it never existed and what is worse is that if some regard it as still existing it exists for them only to point their finger of scorn at her



. Chapter III

Failure of Parliamentary Control.

HAVING seen what the effects of British rule have been upon India, one may naturally inquire on whom the responsibility really rests for this state of things. There was as well a Government of India in England as a Government of India in India itself. But the British Parliament was, and is, the original source of all legislative power concerning India, and the primary responsibility, therefore, for the mis government of India less on the head of Parliament rather than on that of the Government of India But perhaps the most remarkable, and the most regretiable, fact in the whole history of the British Rule in India is the failure on the part of the British Parlia ment to realise its duty and responsibility towards India. As a preliminary to the discussion of this subject let us briefly review the course and progress of British occupation of India and the acquisition of territorial possessions.

- 2 The British Government in India originated in a Royal Charter to a Com pany of English merchants The opening of the seas and the discovery of a passage by sea to India at the close of the 15th century, was immediately made use of by the Portuguese who were the first to acquire seats of trade and dominion in India They maintained their ascendancy and captured almost the whole of the Asiatic com merce till the end of the 16th century The Dutch followed the Portuguese, soon became their rivals and ultimately very nearly subvorted their power in the East The example of the Portuguese and the Dutch stimulated a similar desire in the minds of the English merchants who eventually succeeded in chtaining a Charter from Queen Elizabeth to pursue and to monopolise the trade with the East. The Char ter incorporated two hundred and fifteen Knights Aldermen, and Burgesses, authorising thom to elect one governor and twenty four committees for holding court in any place within Her Maiesty's dominions, and to regulate voyeges, trade, and commerce and every thing connected therewith They were also authorised, for this purpose, to ordain laws and regulations not contrary or repugnant to the laws and customs of the English Realm The Company was not authorised in the beginning to make any territorial annexations But they could secure to themselves trading grounds. And for this purpose they could and did negotiate with native Princes in India These trading grounds contained habitations of some natives of India and the Company also naturally required the services of others for their husiness The native inhabitants of these ceded trading grounds and the natives in the Company service were thus the first hatch of Indians to come directly under the operation of the Company's laws and regulations, and indirectly under the rule of the British sovereign
- 3 For about fifty years after the grant of the Charter to the East India Company, its affairs were practically free from any sort of control by the Parlia ment On the other hand, the decay of the Mogul power in India led to double gavernment and anarchy in the territories adjoining the Company's trading grounds. and this state of things was availed of by the Company for making a beginning in erecting fortifications round their settlements In 1661, the Company was authorised not only to build but to garrison fortresses in India, to appoint governors for administering the trading grounds, and to make peace and war with non Chris tian people and princes in India By the end of the 17th century the company had acquired a number of trading grounds in India and their trade was firmly established. In Bengal, the Company's trade commenced in 1634 by a furman from the Mogul Emperor The first factory in Bengal was established at Hugly in 1640, and the second at Balasord in 1642 The factory at Cassimbazar was built in 1658, and Calcutta was founded in 1686 In 1699, the first fort was ordered to be crected in Bengal In Madras the Company's trade was extended to the Karomandel coast in 1625 and the first cargo vessel was unloaded at Musalipattam In 1638, Madras was selected for the erection of a fort at the invitation of the Nayak of the place

who promised exemption from all customs duties on trade. In 1653, Fort St. George was raised to the rank of a Presidency and, in 1631 Madras was separated from Bengal. In the Bomhay Presidency the first factory was built at Surat in 1612. In 1668 the island of Bomhay was obtained by the Company from the Crown and became the chief seat of British Government in India, the other settlements heing declared subordinate to it.

- 4 The trade operations from these various centres led to the gradual growth of the Company's revenue, and in 1689 the Company passed a resolution declaring for the first time, that the increase of revenue in India should be as much a subject of their care as their trade. It was revenue which the Company said. "must maintain our forts and make us a nation in India . The idea was to guard the Comnany's commercial supremacy on the basis of its territorial sovereignty. This re. solution was the heginning of a policy by which the Company's clerks and factors throughout India were turned into conquerors and pro consuls and which eventually led to systematic annexations in the 19th contury. But the growth of reve nue, while it strengthened on the one hand the Company's position in India, led to a corresponding debit so far as their strength in England was concerned. The East India Company had many rivals even in England, and though it survived them all, as being perhaps the fittest, the growing mass of wealth gradually acquired by the Company's shareholders and also by its servants caused an amount of hearthuming among the British public. The result was that in 1691, a great constitutional question was raised for the first time in Parliament, as to whether the Crown could grant a monopoly of trade to any one even a chartered company without the authority of Parliament In 1693 the question was finally decided in the negative, and the subject of granting trading privilages to companies was remov ed from the chamber of the King's Council to the House of Parliament, and thus hegan the bra of control by Acts of Parliament over the affairs of the East India Company
- 5 The 18th century saw the occupation and consolidation of Indian terri tories by the East India Company greatly developed. The death of Aurangzeh in 1707 led to a disruption of the Mogul Empire and independent Lingdoms or principali ties were being set up by adventurous military captains in every part of the coun The East India Company could hardly be expected to resist the temptation afforded by this golden opportunity for pushing on their own interests, and an extraneous stimulus was given to their activity by the reactionary tumult due to the wars in Europe between the English and the French The struggle was limited to Madras and Bengal, but after two prolonged wars, and after purperous possessions of either party were taken and retaken, the English achieved a decisive triumph By the hattle of Plassey in 1757, the foundation of the British Empire was laid in the East In 1758, the first governor of Bengal was appointed In 1759, British influence was permanently established throughout the Northern Circurs and the Court of Hyderabad, and in 1761 by the surrender of the fortress of Gum, it could be said that not a single ensign of the French nation, owned by the authority of its government was left in any part of India In Bengal the Company had already acquired the Zamindari of the district of the twenty-four parganas with an area of about 900 square miles and in 1765 the Company obtained a reversion of the big jaha gir given by the Delhi Emperor to Lord Clive personally The final stroke of this policy came in the same year when the Company obtained from the Mogul Emperor of Delhi the grant of the famous Diwani According to this grant the Company was to receive revenues and maintain the army for the Mogul Emperor This practically amounted to the foundation of the Company's territorial sovereignty in Bengal
- 6 Within about 10 years from this event the Company came into relations with the Marithas in central and southern India, which eventually terminated in the beginning of the 19th century in the fall of the Peshwai, and the acquisition by the Company of territorial sovereignty over the Deccan. The Company's struggle with Hyder Alli and Tippu in the south also resulted in their favour, and the death of Tippu in 1799 made them complete masters of the southern pennisula. In

1803 Scindia, the greatest Maratha military captain, was defeated and the Company acquired big territories to the north of the Jumna The Sikhs also had their troubles, and after two bloody wars the Punjah was annexed in 1849 In the middle of the 19th century, the work of territorial acquisition was further advanced by the policy of what may be called unconstitutional, though peaceful, conquests Lord Dalhousie was responsible for a number of annexations, and the famous doctrine of Lapse was made to serve as a handle for the deprivation of many native rulers of their principalities, such as, Satara in 1849, Zansi and Nagpur in 1853 The maladministration of Oudh was made the ground of the annexation of that Rai in 1856 Next year the great Mutihy broke out, and the anniversary of Plassey witnessed terrible scenes of carnage especially in northern India. The Mutiny was a furious cataclysm but it produced two important results. One was that the pelicy of annegations was peremptorily checked, and practically a new lease of life was given to the Native States in India which together account for 1/3rd of the total area and 1/5th of the total population of this country The second result was the transfer of the administration of the British Indian territory from the East India Company to the Crown The Burmese War in 1885, added indeed another province to India, und no one can indeed east that the chapter of territorial conquest or aunceration by the British Government in or near India, has been definitely closed. But we may out out of our mind all speculation as to the future policy of Government in this connection

*7 We shall now proceed to see from a constitutional point of view, the course of relations between India and Parliament first through the medium of the E I Company and then directly through its own agoncy its the Home and Indian Governments It is true that the British Empire in India originated in a Royal Charter obtained from the Sovereign of England by a company of mere merchante But within about 60 years from the foundation of the Company commenced the financial relations between the Company and the British Parliament as representing the state. Thus in 1655 Oliver Cromwell horrowed from the Company a loan of £ 50 000 for the expense of the State and few years afterwards, the Chancellors of the British Exchequer continued regularly to compell the Company to grant public loans But these financial relations had a corresponding jointical asject. For while on the one hand the Company went on securing valuable privileges to itself including the privilege of going beyond the legitimate purposes of the Charter, making territorial conquests and imposing political rule over alien people, the Company had to submit to corresponding restrictions on their internal constitution. Louis to the public Exchanger began to be substituted for presents to the King personally or to his favourite ministers, and the renewal of the Charter at fixed intervals was invariably the occasion for a further penetration of the hand of Parliament in the Company s affairs

8 For a number of years the interference by Parliament was confined to satisfy the demands of the home rivals of the Company, for making the Company surrender a share of the enormous profits they were making by their Eastern trade But a time eventually came when Parliament began to enforce its claim to go deeper into the Company's affairs, and to make the Directors of tho Company submit statements and accounts to be laid before itself. The Charter to the United East India Company, granted in 1698, was granted by the King, but not before Parliament had given its sanction thereto. In 1766, however, actually began the era of active and vigilant inquiry by Parliament into the affairs of the Company se affecting the administration of India. The order was for the first time given for the printing of the correspondence of a public character between the Company and its servants in India Also it was now argued for the first time that the Company had no right by the Chaiter to make any territorial conquest, that such possessions in the hands of a trading corporation were improper and dangerous, and that even if it were legally and politically right that they should hold these tetritories, yet the vast expenditure of government in the protection of the Company gave it a fair and equitable right to the revenues arising from the conquests. A system of periodical leases of the possessions to the Company by Parliament was then inaugurated In 1769, complaints about the mismanagement of the Company's affairs in India reached England Three gentlemen were appointed as supervisors to inquire into and routify the concerns of every department in India Yet there was no material change for the hetier. In 1772, His Majesty in opening the Parliament observed as follows — The concerns of India are so various and extensive as to require the most vigilant and active attention, and some of them as well from the remoteness of the place as from other circumstances are so peculiarly liable to abuses and exposed to danger, that the interposition of the Legislature for their protection may become necessary. The ministers openly regretted that they had not sufficient powers in their hands to control their servants who made enormous fortunes at the exponse of the masters and were guilty of such exochitancy in other respects as to hazard the total loss of the valuable possessions.

9 The tide of the financial prosperity of the Company had also turned by this time, and in the year 1772 the Company was on the brink of hankruptcy owing to expensive wars, the failure of harvest , and, more than all, the corruption of its servants Whereas so long the Company could give public loans and accompdate the British Exchequer, it was now the turn of the Company to ask for a loan from the public treasury. The opportunity was availed of hy shrewd British statesmento introduce extensive alterations it to the system of governing the Company e Indian With the loan, therefore from the Treasury came far reaching interferences by Parliament in the Company a internal affairs. Thus their dividends were limited by statute, they had to submit their accounts every six months to, the treasury, they were to accept Bills only to a limited amount and they were to export to British settlements British goods of a specified value. The financial embarassment of the Company was availed of for passing a constitutional enactment viz. the Regulation Act of 1773 The Act no doubt effected a certain orderly arrangement in the administration of the Company, but it could not be said that the Act had a far reaching effect so far as the amelioration of the grievances of the Indian people as caused by the Company's mal-administration was concerned By the grant of the Diwant in 1765, the Mogul empire, as recognised by the Act of 1773, resembled what is known in modern International Law as a protectorate, and though the country, over which the Company collected the Imperial revenue as Dewan, was not definitely annexed. Set the attributes of sovereignly over the territory were en unevenly distributed between the Emperor and the Company that while the sub stance had passed to the Company only a shadow remained with the Emperor But it was a shadow with which potent conjuring tricks could be performed. Whenever the Company found it convenient, they could play off the authority derived from the Mogul against the authority derived from the British law, and justify under the one proceedings which it would have been difficult for them to justify under the other. In one capacity the Company were the all powerful agents of an irresponsible despot, in the other, they were fied and hound by the provisions of Charters and Acts of Parliament It was natural that the Company's servants should prefer to act in the former capacity All this was going on before the Regulating Act But, as events proved soon after, even the Act conldnot prevent despotism by the Company's servants over the Indian people, not excluding even Princes and Chiefs

10 The more real purpose of Parlioment in bringing the Company to account and subject them to further laws and regulations was among other things to share in the Indian spoil and to assert its rights to control the sovereignty of Indian territories acquired by the East, India Company This within four years Parliament had to hear of the scan'alons proceedings of Lord Pigott, the Governor of Madras, against the Raja of Tampre Then came the great impeachment of Warren Hastings which though it ended in his acquittal completely demonstrated the depth to which in lividual as well as collective wrong doing of the men of the E I Company had reached in India. Be glicous men like Burke were watching with rising wonder, manacement and indignation that long train of intigue and crime which had ended in the ansolidation of a new Empire ('crieg on Burke). The British ministers were not loth to take it and of potent Indian interests for grind ngit let cown ages on the Parliamentary stone as often as occa-

better Government in India, and what was regarded as a proper and adequate machinery for a just and efficient government was provided. In fact this new system of government practically remained in force till the enactment of the Government of India Act of 1858, and though the Government of India was, as a matter of fact, transferred formally from the E I Company to the Crown after the Mutiny of 1857, still the Crown may be said to have directly assumed that government through a Secretary of State from the year in which Pitt's India Act was enacted viz 1784 No doubt a large number of statutes were enacted by the British Parliament between 1784 and 1858, but the changes brought about by them in the constitution of the government of India were immaterial Thus by the Act of 1786, nower was given to the Governor-General to overrule his Council in special cases and it enabled the Governor-General and the . Cammander-in-Chief to be united in the same person . By the Act of 1793, the pay of the members and the staff of the Board of Control was declared to be a charge on the Indian revenues By the Act of 1799, the Company was authorised to raise troops in India upto 2000 and the Crown was to transfer recruits to India at an agreed sum of rupees, upto a maximum number of 3000, and so on But these Acts provided for only minor details of administration

12 In 1813 hegan the series of Acts which are well known as the Charter Acts But as the name itself shows they dealt more with the financiar relations between the Company and the British Exchequer than with the constitution of the government of India. The diseatisfaction with the management of the affure of the Company, reinforced by the jealousy on the part of the State in respect of the Company's territorial possessions, had the effect of raising the whole question as to whether the Company deserved to have its Charter which was always limited in point of time, renewed to it. A secret committee was for the first time appointed by Parliament to inquire into the Company's affairs, with the result that, by the first of the series of the Charter Acts the trade monopoly of the Company was aholished, and European merchants not connected with the Company, were allowed to reade in India under a system of theore. The only reference in this Act to the well being of the Indian people was contained in the provision therein that a heginning he made in educating the people

13 The first Parliamentary Act which contains any real reference to the recognition of British Indian subjects, as units of a State, is the Charter Act of 1833. But before we dwell upon its contents, it would be as well to review the general results of the Britis Indian administration from the date of the Charter of 1809, upon to that point of time, that is to say, a period of about 233 years. And for this purpose we can not do better than quote the words of an eminent Angio-Indian judge and statesman, we mean the Hon hie Mr. Frederick John Shore In his Actes on Indian affairs dated lift February 1812. Hon hie Mr. Shore observes that the feelings of the natives of this country were not really so cordial towards the British feelings of the natives of the very generally supposed, and that the British tenure in India was less firm than might be desired. And in the course of explaining the causes of this state of things he gives an illustration or a sort of metaphor to indicate those causes. He says:—

Suppose then that, about the beginning of the last century, a few African merchants received permission from the English Government in exect a factory somewhere on the South Coast of England that some years after on England hereme defaulter or criminal, took refuge in the factory, that the Africans refused to give him up and that the English took possession of the factor and confined the Africans, that their government sent ships and troops to attack the English and force them to give up a small portion of territory

"The Africans find that a member of this royal family has a strong party in h s farour (Prince Charles Edward, commonly called the Pretender would have well suited their purpose) and persuade him to mount the throne which with their assistance, in addition to his own party, there is a probability of effecting. They then pick up a quarrel, postewar with the English, depose the large and establish the other. A short time afterwards, they treat the new large in the same manner and set up another, and not long after depose this last and establish the one they had first made king, the chasse, in each case not only necessing large parvise brinch, path being guilty of treathery and forecasting large ways.

The Africans then, having very small pay from their own masters, try to make a profit by trading: they not only invist on the English king a exempting their goods from payment of duty, but on his mposing a high one on those of h a subjects. They send factors and agents all over the country, each of whom erects himself into a potty chief and forces people to sell him their goods and buy his at his own price could the people are so distressed that the Lieg abolishes all doties altogether. This the Africally consider a crime and after some negotiation and altercations, finish by deposing that king, who is auccoded by soother of their own creation

- " They cent persuade the ex stug ling to disband his own troops or the greater part of them, and to rely on those of the Africans to project him and his dominions, he paying their expens a. Shortly after, they make out that his payments are so arrears, or they say that they apprehend that this will be the case and must on a part of his territory being assigned to them to secure regular payment. The nobles and upper classes they gain over by promises of support and protection. This done they take * away the estates from the Lord Lieutenacts of countries and the great nohlemen, on the plan of some Bow in the original grant by the Crowe, or that the helders of the estates had not complied with the conditions of the feulal tenure on which they had been granted, or tome equally good reason, giving them, pens one, which they hold out hopes are to be hereditary, but which are subsequently reduced or discontinued altogether
 - "The land tax is then indefinitely tocreased to such a pitch that the proprietors of estates are reduced to heggary, and, being unable to pay the demand, their estates are sold, till searcely an accient and holder possesses the land of his fathers, the new purchasers being clerks and menial servants who have been attending so the Africans. If the people complemed, the Africane would say, that although our own Government did not act thus they posses ed the right to do so, and that they, having succeeded to the government acquired that right which they choose to exercise.
 - They then take every opportunity to acquire more to ritory, much in the same way that they asquired the first persoo, till at last the, get possession of the whole of the British teles, treat the people as above mentioned, and giving the king a pension, abut him up in Windsor Castle

If the king and other pensioners urged, that they had lost their influence with, and respectable lity to the eyes of the people, and that having nothing to occupy their attention, they were in danger of degenerating, which would be insured by their not baving enough to support their families, they are told that they are much botter off than believe that their dignity and that of their families is now secured by their being certain to receive their pensions regularly under the stability of the African government, whereas, formerly they had much trouble in realising their incomes, and were chliged to have recourse to much brilery to secore a sufficient number of votes in the Commons.

- "On the first acquestion of the coutbern provinces of England, the Africage ellowed the customs of the country (not laws exactly, for, being ignerant of them they disregard them.) to remain, and from time to time joireduced regulations of their own forming a code. On expressively gaining possassion of the Northern recympers - Scotland Walsa Ireland, the Rebrides, Shetland and the Orkney felends,-that code of regulations is put in ferce all over the country, without any inquiry or coorderation but different the people of the several countries were from each other to manners and rustense and even language
- "At first they leave un our justices of the peace, periodical courts of justice, and other local au horsites, but on finding one or two mostans e of these la office turning their power to their own advantage the African declare that the netives are an corrupt that they are not fit to be imsted, and that some provision must be made by appointing African judges and magistrates who would properly adminis er just ce. They accordingly abolish forthwith every local authority, and catabileh, on an average one court fir tach county in which one preson with one or two assistants, and a messmes without any stell a co's Judge and Meg atrate. To assuathm la the details of his office some of the natives are employed but the aniar es are fixed at an low a scale that none but the lower classes will secept the offices. Under the Magistrates, neper constables, with a properties of inferior ones under them are distributed over each destreet, these pay and the cort of people chosen being as above meditioned, and these med are intrusted with, on the whole, directly or indirectly greater power than the former megistrates possessed

Thise courts wend by bed enough under any alreamstances, more expecially if the Africana should choose to order the busine e to be anndocted in Norman Fren A, but under each, the extent of or unity is such that the partie would have to go on an average, twent; in his to sell e the most rivial matter - sometim a more than an hardred. The delay is so great, that a relay o mais at before the magistrate, or justy then ease la often a fortright or three weeks i close it a deided and small money causes of a few popular value are clien pri ding a year or more, before the first decision, - to any nothing of the subsequent dil paly appeals 9 pp so the Africace behaved to us in a haughty supercitions marrier and treated us with alights indeven fasults, to such ad second at it had almost become a saying with us that "to lave any transetion with an African is to be insuited the greater number of them were in the ! I tofalusing their servants, or even lost up there for the

most to hal fault—often for no other reason than the r not understanding a pargon of broken English
that they neglect to learn our language content og themselver, a the first instance with having one or
two of the worst of the natives about them who interpret for them and afterwards with notely
learning a low pargon.

Suppo e in fine that after the above treatment of us by both Government and ind viduals when they were told that it English were discontented with their Government some of the Africans den ed that it was a proof of the badness of our disposition that we ought to be grateful to them that we had been constantly fighting either with our ne abours or among ourselves in consequence of rebell one and that we ought to be thankful that we had last got a stable government under which just cowas secure site.

To be more realistic Mr Shore has in another note given a summary of the facts described in the shove illustration. It is as follows --

- (1) The habitual and inordinate idea of our own superiority and the equally strong impression of the corruption and the inefficiency of the natives
- (2) Their consequent exclusion from all offices which it was possible to procure Englishmen to accept and the attempt to conduct all affairs by European agency
- , (3) The annihilation of almost all existing institutions and the total imade quacy of those which have been substituted for the administration of justice
- (4) The gradual impoverishment of the country by a system of taxation and extortion unparalleled in the annals of any country
- (5) The rum of the old aristocrapy and of all the respectable landholders which has been systematically effected in order to increase the government revenue.
- 14 Let us now proceed to consider the Charter Act of 1833 By reason of the fact that this Charter Act is often quoted and relied on as embodying the real beginning of a change of their angle of vision by British statesmen towards India the Act is regard das a Charter as it were granted to India It was however nothing of the kind. It was only one term in a series of Charter Acts which were mainly intended to legally renew the Charter to the East India Company for a further period of years and was incidentally used to introduce measures of ad ninistrative re form discovered to be necessary in the light of a previous inquiry by a select or se cret committee. The Act no doubt did much for the commercial classes in England as well as the British government itself for by the operation of this Act the East India Company was finally deprived of commercial functions though it was allow ed to retain administrative and political power and the coveted patronage of indian Further the A tide lared that the Company held its territorial pos sessions in trust for the Crown and gave notice to the Company to wind up its busi ness. The Act further decla ed that Parliament alone had the power to legis late for India and to approve or disapprove Indian laws which must be placed before Parliament The Act enlarged the rights of European settlers in India and called upon the Governor General to make legal provision for the protection of the natives from insult and outrage on their persons religions and opinions. This salir tary provision had become absolutely necessary For according to the Company s own admission experience had proved that it was difficult to impress upon even the servants of the Company a due regard for the fealings and habits of the people of India and Englishmen of all classes unless under the observation of supreme autho rities were notorious for the contempt with which in their natural arrogance and in norance they contemplated the usages and the rastitutions of the Natives and for their frequent disregard of the d ctates of humanity and justice in their dealings with the people of India. The natives although timid and feeble in some places were not without strength and resolution in others and instances had occurred where their resentment had proved formidable to their oppressors. As Mr Charles Grant had spoken out in a d bate upon the subject in the House of Commons there was a great danger of letting loose among the people of India a host of "desperate needy adventurers whose atrociou conduct in America afforded sufficient indi cation of the evil they would inflict upon India

15 Before coming to the provision of this Charter relating to the Natives of India in respect of their employment in service it will be as well to complete our observations upon the main character of that Act, which was, as observed above, enacted more for the benefit of the English people The Act was the result of "the discusting selfishness of all the parties concerned " The British public had in mind the object of opening the China trade The Court of proprietors had the object of securing the regular payment of their dividends. And the ministry had been 'tacking and trimming' between them, willing to do anything that should please both and gain a little popularity But it was "lamentable to see the utter indifference displayed by all to the welfare of a hundred million of the people of India Some of the speakers even openly avowed that, in their opinion, it was a minor object com pared to the profits of a tew English merchants Provided each party could gain its selfish and shortsighted objects, the Government of India was thrown into the bargain with as much indifference as if the people in question had been a herd of cattle The Natives were aware of the discussions which were going on in Parliament, and in order to make it appear that the East India Company had gained their point, a grand display of fire works, costing some twenty thousand rupees, was exhibited in Calcutta This display, however, was looked upon by the Natives with a somewhat malicious delight as an entertainment given by a reckless ruined merchant on the eve of his hankruptcy. In the language of the natives who witnesssed the fireworks "the display blazed up like the thorns and died away, but the smell therein remained in their nostrils"

16 The one section in the Charter Act of 1833, which really affected and was intended to henefit the natives of India directly, is section 87 which declared, that 'No native of the Indian territories nor any natural born subject of His Majes ty resident therein, shall, by reason of his religion, place of birth, descent, colour or any of them, be disabled from holding any office or employment under the Company" As Sir Courtney Ilbert says, "The policy of freely admitting natives of India to a chare in the administration of the country has never been more broadly or emphatically enunciated ' What kind of operation this section received for a number of years afterwards will be presently seen. But the declaration of policy contained in this section may very properly be described as the bed rock foundation of the national demand for self-government in India "Any office or employment under the Company"-these words are wide enough to cover the appointment of the natives of India right upto the post of a Governor or Governor-General, because this office was in the gift of the Company, so long as the Company was in existence, and in the gift of the Crown that is to say the State Secretary for India, since 1858 As no representative institutions were thought of for the Indian people in 1833, the word 'office' could not have been then directly intended to cover the office of the president of urban or rural corporations, or the member of a Legislative Council But the words have been construed to be wide enough even to cover posts of offices under representative institutions, for, those were neither repeated nor altered nor substituted by other words of a similar import in the Government of India Act of 1858 by which the Government of India was transferred from the Company to the Crown directly. This section may, therefore, be taken to have served for the natives of India the same purpose as the Catholic Emancipation Act, for in stance, did for the Roman Catholice in the British realm It was on the strength of this section that Mr Dadabhai Naoron and Sir M M Bhavnagri could enter the British Parliament as its members, and still more fortunate natives might enter the Cabinet of British ministers at some future time 1. The true character of this section was pointedly shown by Mill in his famous despatch accompanying the text of the Charter Act of 1833 when it was sent to India for compliance and guidance Says the despatch "The true object is not to ascertain qualification but to remove disqualification. The meaning of the enactment we take to be that there shall be no governing caste in British India That whatever tests of qualifications may be adopted, distinction of race or religion shall not be one of the number the free access to office is chiefly valuable when it is a part of general freedom'. The enactment, was thus part of a general scheme of freedom and rights of citizenship which Parliament had already either given, and intended to complete at a future time, to the Natives of India

17 This was thus a splended beginning made by the British Parliament of the realisation of its duty and responsibility towards the Indian people as a nation. But even the reforms introduced by the Charter Act of 1833 were found to be unadequate for the purpose of preventing mal administration of India by the EI Company In 1833 the twentry years period of the Company Scharter ended once more and this opportunity was availed of at the time of renewal of the lease for introducing further reforms. By the Charter Act of 1853, the Board of Directors were made to forfielt their patronage and the Civil Service was thrown open to competition. The new Act did not fix any definite term for the continuance of the powers of the Company but simply provided that the territories should remain under the government of the Company in trust for the Crown until Parliament should otherwise direct. The Act reduced the number of the Directors of the Company from 24 to 18 and provided that the of these be appointed by the Crown In 1854 the Governor General as representing the Crown was authorised to take under this charge any part of the territories under the government of the EI Company

18 The Mutiny however soon came and it was the last straw that broke the back of the Company s mal administration. We do not stop here to consider the causes of the Mutiny or even the question as to how far the Company was really responsible for it For it is patent that though a system of double government was nominally in existence nothing but a shadow of political power was clinging to the Company as such the substance having already passed to Parliament to whom the Government of India was almost directly responsible through the Board of Control which was practically an instrument of the British ministry The fact however remains that whoever was mainly responsible for the Mutiny the Mutiny gave the death blow to the system of double government of Judia with its piculiar division of powers and responsibilities and by the Act of 1858 there remained no one between the Indian subjects of the Crown and the Crown itself. The country came since the time to be governed directly 1.1 the Croun and in the name of the Crown acting through a Secretary of State who compined all the nowers of the Board of Directors and the Board of Control and who was like all other Secretaries of State directly responsible to British Parliament Hercafter at any rate there was no valid excuse for Parliament to plead in the matter of its relations with or attitude towards India and we shall next consider the question as to how Parliament has discharged its duty and responsibility to India during the last 60 years

19 In the discussion in Parliament during the debate on Lord Palmer ston's Bill Parliament appeared to be full of gushing enthusiasm as to the part it would take in the novel business of governing India directly from the House Mr Disraeli indeed suggested that the principles of representative government should be applied to India. And he proposed an enlargement of the India Council from eight to eighteen members half of whom were to be elected and to be entirely independent of Government But Lord Palmerston regretted that the unsettled state of the country did not permit of a representation of the people in India itself. They were to be no doubt represented in the Council but partly by retired Civilian and Military officers and partly by the nominees of certain commercial constituences of Parliament such is London Belfast Liverpool Manchester and Glasgow The Opposition in Parliament openly ridiculed this method of giving repre enta tion to India and appealed to the Government not to play this practical joko upon the Indian people by giving them a stone when they had asked for bread. The Bill was thereupon withdrawn and another took its place which was passed into law The 1ct did not give the Parliament fullest satisfaction. It was treated as merely a tentative arrangement which was soon to be worked upto the proper censtit; tional standard. In the meanwhile the Queen's Proclamation had been assued and memlers of Parliament were naturally led to believe that with the rapi! spread of education and the drafting of natives into the re ponsible posts of the ground would son b prepared for the grant of a full fledged representative government. In the absence of a representative government in India Parliament had offered to take up that role upon itself on behalf of India, and to work it as a great and solemn trust committed to it by an all-wise and inscrutable Providence

- 20 But with the passing of the Act of 1858, the enthusiasm of Parliament apparently subsided And the want of a real Parliamentary check upon the Government of India has been since an unfailing ground of complaint in every generation Lord Palmerston said in the debate upon the Bill that, 'We had a great duty to fulfil in India and he was sure that that duty would be best discharged if we committed its performance to the hands of men who will be accountable to I arliament for their conduct. But how could the account be rendered, and the duty to render account enforced, if Parliament itself came to treat the affairs of India as a hore? No doubt Parliamentary Committees or Commissions bave, now and then, been appointed to consider and report upon Indian questions, but the result was practically nil, so far as the progress of Indian interests was concerned And this was to because Parliament itself was known to be absolutely indifferent or apathetic towards Indian questions. By the seventics of the last contury, things were pretty much the same as before the Act of 1858. As observed by the late Mr Fawcett in 1872, "the most trumpers question ever brought before Farliament, say, a wrangle over the purchase of a picture or a road through a park excited more interest than the welfare of 180 millions of our Indian subjects. The people of India have not votes and so they can not bring so much pressure to bear upon Parliament as can be brought by one of our Railway Companies'
 - 21 Mr Fawcolt was, perhaps, the only member of Parliament in his generation who devoted any attention to Indian affairs, but he could do so because his own constituency happened to be sympathetic to India like himself. But to profess to be a member for India as Mr Fawcett did, was calculated to make both a Parliamentary candidate and a member to loose his popularity Often did Mr Fawcatt receive, warnings, from newspapers at the time of elections that by advocating the interests of India he was undermining his own chances of success. In the House steelf he had to encounter the active dislike of some members even of the Liberal government. In his carly years, he was met with a kind of contamituous treatment, with which the genuine official attempts to suppress the rash outsider who dares to question the wisdom and omniscionco of his rulers, and Mr. Fawcott could eventually get a hearing only when by his undaunted courage and his uphill work. he was able to prove both the sincerity of his purpose and the soundness of his judgment. It was owing to his single handed exertions that the Parliamentary Committee, known as the Fawcett Committee, came to be appointed. It was also owing to his vigilant acruiting that in-traces of the colossal waste of Indian money such as the Sultan's Ball, the Duko of Edinburgh's presents, the cable so indel, ware exposed Ho pointed out cases in which the uncommonly sharp practice of preparing a falsa balance sheet, as it were was resorted to by the British Government in dealing with India. 'You borrow money to buy a thing," Mr Fawcett made a witness before the Com aission to admit, " sell it at an coormous loss and then put down the result to income . These facts were exceptional, and like all exceptions they only proved in this case the rule that Parliament was given to neglect the affairs of India la a most deplorable magner. The individual torch that Mr. Fawcett hald aloft made the urrounding darkness look only the more gloomy and dreadful
 - 22 In the next generations, mentile the late Mr Bradlaugh, the late Mr Caine and others, indeed, worthly followed Mr. Favent's example, and the succession of individual members, standing up in Parliament to fight the battles of unfortneed india, has not attegrather stopped. But after all, these men had to cry in the wilderness, and the only consolation to their minds was but the poor one of having done their duty. About thirty years ago, as attempt was made to form a British Parliamentary C, multitee in the interests of India, and ar one time, it was said to have included over a hurdred members. But the sum total of their excitone was preciseally nil sgain, parity because the sympathy of some of them for India was strater thant icit howleds of this ensuring, and parity keause, even when concluding drilled and combine of the first made and in Parliament could not logo to materially influence it elevantary, whether in effice or outside because they were not sure

of being backed in the country by the voters, when matters might come to an issue on Indian question The Indian Party in the House of Commons, even when at its best could not bear comparison with the Irish Party which, though always dependent in one sense upon either of the great Parliamentary parties, so far ae Ireland was con cerned could in its turn make either of the parties dependent upon itself and hold the balance in its hands, so far as the affairs of the United Kingdom were concerned The Irish Party was always an independent hody and had absolutely no party ties either as an integral part of, or as an adjunct to the Conservative or the Liberal Party The Indian Parliamentary party, on the other hand, was a part and parcel of the Liberal Party and had to vote with it whether the leaders would or would not accompdate or bargain with them on Indian questions The result has been simply , deplorable As observed by Mr George Yule in his address as President of the Indian National Congress in 1888, the position is this 'The Government of India has no power, the Council in London has no power, the House of Commons has the power but it refuses or neglects to exercise it. The 658 odd members, who were to be the palladium of India's right, and liberties have thrown the great and solemn trust of an inscrutable Providence back upon the hands of Providence to be looked after as Providence itself thinks hest '

23 The rising of Burke to address the House of Commons on Indian ques tion is said to have served as a dinner hell to the hungry members of the House of Commons Nearly the same fate now awaits the Secretary of State for India when he rises to mave that the House do go into Committee of Ways and Means on the East India Revenue Accounts or in other words to make his annual statement on the Indian Budget and administration in the House of Commons Brave indeed must be the individual whe as Secretary of State for India can warm himself up to deliver arroration on Indian affairs in the chilling atmosphere of the House of Commons, when not even forty members think it either their duty or their pleasure to remain in the House to listen to or criticise it. As the present State Secretary has put it in his Budget speech in 1911 as Under Secretary in the House of Commons. "The House in its relation to India has primarily to perform for that country the functions proper to an elected assembly in a self governing country Mr Montagu himself has put it to the Hon Members in the course of the same speech "It is only a matter of time for questions of supreme importance in connection with our Indian Empire to come through the outer Lobby inte the inner Lebby and kneck irrestistibly at the door of this Chamber. Are we prepared to meet them? Have we the knowledge, the sympathy the breadth of view that they demand for a satisfactory and statesmanlike solution? How many members of this House are able to say that they are in a position to discuss with knowledge and decide with wisdem the great problems of India? On how many of these questions can Honourable members honestly say that they are fitted to form any viewe at all? I am bound to admit that there is lacking that first requisite for the efficient discharge of our Imperial duties-time for study and mature consideration. But apart from this, when I ask myfelf the question what is the present attitude of this House towards Indian questions, I am bound to answer frankly that the salient characteristic of that attitude appears to me to be something approaching apathy

a Governor general and four councillors were appointed for Bengal and the Supremacy of Bengal over other Presidencies was declared Each of the Presidencies was upto this time under an independent governor assisted by a council of 12 to 16 members In 1784 the Board of Control was constituted in England to consi t of 6 memb rs including the Chancellor of the British Exchequer one of the Secretaries of State and four Privy Councillors This scheme remained p actically in force till 1858. In the meanwhile miner changes were introduced in the constitution. In 1833 a fifth member was added to the Governor General's Council viz. the Law member and the Governor General in Council was exclusively authorised to make or approve laws for the whole of India without violating the prerogative of the Crown reserved under the Charter Act of 1813 . By the same Act Parliame it was declared to have power to legislate for India and to approve or disapprove laws placed before it by the State Secretary In 1853 the Law member was made an ordinary member of the Governor General's Council The Legislative Council was enlarged from 4 to 12 members including the Governor General the Commander in Chief 4 ordinary members of the Executive Council 2 Judges of the High Court and 4 paid representative members from the Pro vinces of Bengal Madras Bornhay and the N W Province The Council hegan to hold its meetings in public and to publish its proceedings. In 1861 the power of legislation which had been taken away from the Provincial Councils by the Charter Act of 1833 was restored to them The Prov netal Legislative Coun cila were enlarged so as to include the Advocate General and additional nominated members from 6 to 12 The Act of I861 nlso authorised the Governor General in Council to create new Provinces and new Legislative Councils The Indian High Courts Act of 1861 closed the series of constitutional statutes consequent on the transfer of the Government of India from the Company to the Crown Acts of Parl ament as have since then been passed for India have done little more than amending with reference to minor points the Acts of 1858 and 1861. In 1874 a sixth member was added to the Governor General's Council for P W D the Indian Councils Act authorised an increase in the number of members of the Indian Legislative Councils and introduced the principle of election by implication In 1909 the Indian Council's Act was passed, by which the principle of election of additional members of the Legislative Councils was expressly recognised the num her of mumbers of the Council was increased by over 100 per cent and enlarged the powers of members in the matter of financial dehates Finally the A t of 1912 empowered the Governor General to create Legislative Councils even for Provinces under the rule of Chief Commissioners

28 The above summary shows the steps taken by Parliament from time to time to bring the administration of the territories in British India under the control of a single central agency viz the Governor General in Council assisted by Provincial Governors and their Councils But the centre of responsi hility has not been in any way shifted from England to India The State Secretary tor India is still the master of the situation and the Indian Governments are con trolled from above in even small matters of detail. The State Secretary himself however is practically a despot in the sense that he is only nominally responsible to Parliament. Parliament neither liberally gives away nor itself vigorously exercises its powers of controlling the State Secretary and Indian Governments It is practically the hureaucracy that governs the country for even the Secretary of State despot though he he is surrounded and guided by the opinion of old bureaucrats who have served in India for a long time and who try to maintain the traditions of the service to which they belong It is no wonder if under such a systen the Government of India far from being a national government engaged in the work of raising India to the status of a civilised nation degenerated into a machinery for keeping down the aspirations of the people, and maintaining the country in a state of perpetual dependence or tutelege to be guided controlled and governed in a manner by which the ascendancy of the governing caste and also of the interests they represent, could forever be maintained. The Parliamentary control of the Government of India even in England and through a State Secretary responsible to itself has thus been a melancholy failure l

debated, or, which as he said in 1911, knows nothing about India and is not fitted to form any opinion upon Indian questions. The Secretary of State is thus not really responsible to any body, not to India, as he is her master, although it pays him, and not to Parliament as it does not pay him Suggestions were time and again made to Parliament that the salary of the State Secretary for India should be placed on the British budget but they were unbeeded Besides as Mr Montagu himself has said in his speech in the Mesopotamia debate "he is not his own master ' In matters vitally affecting India be can be overruled by a majority of the Cahinet But we need not he surprised if he is so overruled, for by a tacit understanding, the office of the Secretary of State for India 18 reserved for one who is regarded as the least important member of the Cabinet with the exception of one or two others such as the holder of the Privy Seal or of the Duchy of Lankester The State Secretary for India 18 supposed to be the most docile, if not the most inept of the members of the Cabinet, and if he possesses the ment of ability he bas at least agreed to hide his light under a bushel or if he has powers of self assertion to use them sparingly, because, by the patronage of the Prime Minister he has accepted his post as a stepping stone to his ambition, and, as a sphere of action, in which he may exhibit the possibilities of his state-manship without being inconvenient to the Cabinet More often than not the State Secretary for India is a junior member who has yet to make his mark. It is a sphere of action in which no revolutions or even sudden and far reaching changes could be conceived as possible. And we can fancy the Prime Minister and his more inportunt colleagues if they actually attend the debate in the House incidental to the annual presentation of the East India Revenue Accounts, looking upon the whole affair with a patronising eye but with the mildest of interest as upon the pugilistic performance of the youngest hopeful among the troupe of the Cabinet gladiators

3 The position of the State Secretary for India, being thus bad enough from the point of view of ministerial support or Parliamentary control is further aggravated for practical purposes by the statutory Council with which he is encumbered. It is true that the members of the Council are nominated by him and are men of his own selection, further that it is more or less a mere advisory Council, the last word remaining in most matters, with himself We need not go into the past developments of this Council but according to the Government of India Act of 1915, all powers of the Council of India shall be exercised at meetings of the Council at which not less than 5 members are present. A majority of the Council may overrule the Secretary in financial matters but in other matters ho may overrule the Council Further, in specially urgent or secret affairs be need not even place his orders or communications hefore the Council but act independently But on the other hand there are hardly any matters of state which have no financial aspect, and if the councillors choose to use the tactics of obstruction or fight him in a spirit of opposition, they can bring the State Secretary easily to his Luces There is thus a complete interlocking of the functions of the head of the Council and the members thereof which results practically to the detriment of the Indian administration. If the State Secretary for India were without a Council like the Secretary for the Colonies and, for instance, were assisted only by permanent officials, he would have been at least the master of hunself, and left to himself, there are more chances of the State Secretary doing his duty, if not necessarily in a liberal spirit, still in a spirit at any rate, in which the average British statesman goes to his work. The State Secretary signorance of India would be bliss itself if it were not aggravated by the mischievous knowledge of the members of his Council nine of whom must have, according to the statute, served or resided in British India for at least 10 years. Till lately, Anglo-Indian officials had almost the monopoly of these councillorships and it can be easily imagined how these could carry the Vendetta against popular Indian opinion from India to White Hall As necessary result of party government in Parliament India connot always expect to have a Liberal statesman in olice as State Secretary for India. But a Conservative states man by hir self, brought up as he is in Parliamentary traditions would be perhaps ten times better than a Liberal State Secretary, encumbered and controlled by such a coterie of Anglo-Indian officials. With such a Council the odds are almost ten to one against the Sato

13

Secretary even if he were radical in his opinione But it is not only the vote but also their insidious influence, which they indirectly exercise over the State Secretary, which is the real cause, in most cases, of the phenomenen that even a radical British statesman, who gloriously figures as an enlightened and liberal minded man in British politics, assumes the relo of an enemy of liberty as soon as he begins to sit at the India Office As Mr Eardley Norton once described the Council at the Indian National Congress at Madras in 1894, 'the Countil is a secret body, its discussions are not public, its conclusions do not see the light of day it is not open to the influence of outside criticism, it is not responsible to Parliament, and it The State Secretary himself is in a way car not be affected by public opinion responsible to Parliament, but that responsibility is form to shreds by the fact that his Council consists of a majority of councillors who are not responsible to the Parliament or to the Indian people The power to be dismissed from office is the only test or the only guarantee of the bolder of the postbeing responsible, and in the case of the members of the India Council the statute provides that a member of the Council may be removed from office, but only by His Majesty, and on the joint address of both Houses of Parliament 1 This makes the position of the members of the State Secretary & Council obviously even more secure than that of the State Secretary himself, for, the State Secretary for India, like any other Secretary of State, can be removed by the Crown without reference to Parliament Even supposing that the State Secretary's insistencoupon the removal of an individual counciller may ordinarily prevail, it is almost impossible to think that he can, by this elaborate process, secure the dismissal of as many mombers of Council as may be necessary for securing a majority favourable to his own view. The presence of irresponsible Angle Indiane in the Council is thus the orux of the whole Indian situation Mr Norton observed " you can not have a worse body than that to rule or misrule Let it be decapitated and its head decently buried in another continent to that in which lies interred its trunk lest the two baneful things reunite and the monster be resuscitated" As Mr Montagu has himself put it "the whole system is designed to prevent control by the House of Commons for fear that there might be too advanced a Secretary of State

4 The present condition of divided responsibility between the Secretary of State for India and his Council makes it difficult for the Indian people to decide in any given ease how far the blame for the failure of a particular measure is attachable to the State Secretary himself and how much to his Council But they know that hetween them they are responsible for a number of such failures, and they are eventually driven to demand that the Council of the Scaretary of State should be abolished and the Secretary of State for India ebeuld occupy the same pssition as the Secretary of State for the Colonies The Congress League scheme says the last word in this respect from the point of view of the Indian people when it demands that (1) The Council of the Secretary of State for India should be abolished, (2) The salary of the Secretary of State should be placed on the British estimates (3) The Secretary of State should, as far as possible, occupy the same position in relation to the Government of India, as the Secretary or State for the Colonies does in relation to the Governments of the self governing dominions, and (4) The Secretary of State for India should be assisted by two permanent undersecretaries one of whom should always be an Indian. This arrangement will remove what is a now a fifth wheel in the coach of the Indian administration at the top It will retain the Secretary of State for India as the Parliamentary agent of Indian administration, it will make him personally responsible to Parliament and will afford many more opportunities to the members of Parliament to make their voice felt upon Indian affairs than can at present be the case. And lastly it will emancipate the Government of India in India, after it is reformed in the way suggest ed in the Congress League Scheme, from the vexatious and ignominious servitude to which it is subjected at present at the hands of a body of irresponsible superiors who are neither controlled by the Parliament nor are susceptible to the influence of Indian public opinion A number of instances could be given in which the State Secretary, as advised by his Conneil, has either flouted the mandate of Parliament on the one hand, or frustrated the bevevolent intentions of the Government of India on the other In 1893, the House of Commons passed a Resolution in davour of the Civil Service Examination being held surultaneously in England and in India But the Secretary of State practically refused to carry out that resolution, and yet the 'House of Commons, we know, had not self respect enough to inquire why a Resolution passed by it should have been treated with such scant courtesy! On the other hand the abolition of the import duties on British manifactures in the seventies of the last century may be cited as an in stance in which the State Secretary deliberately compelled the Indian Government to adopt a measure to which a Viceroy, backed by his Council and the entire body of Indian public opinion was opposed! But if the Government of India in England is so modified as has been suggested in the Congress League Scheme incidents like these will hardly take place

- 5 The suggested reform is all the more necessary at a a time when the Indian Government is being given a direct voice in the Imperial Cabinet Coming good events are silently heralded by good omens, and the presence of the Maharaja of Bikaner and Sir Satyendra Sinha at the sittings of the Imperial Cabinet in February and March last along with the Secretary of State for India. is an indication that in future India will be raised to the status of a self governing dominion If the presence of the Colonial Ministers in the Imperial Cahinet can be consistent with the position of the Colonial Secretary in the same hody, so must also be then the presence of the representatives of the Indian Government It will mean that the Indian Government has reached the status of a National Government for India heing practically independent of all control in internal affairs. and yet co operating like the Colonies, with the Imperial Government in all matters relating to the well being of the Empire In this view of things the State Secretary for India necessarily dwindles into practical insignificance being entrusted with only the minor duties appertaining to the office of a husiness agent between the Home Government and the Indian Government The situation how ever need not he regarded with dismay, for the State Secretary for India will still remain the representative of the Crown, and will thus have not only the right of veto upon Indian legislation vested in His Majesty, but will discharge various other func tions which are to be discharged in the name of His Majesty the King Emperor The coming change in the statue of the State Secretary may he not inaptly compared to a similar change which took place in the year 1784 when, by Pitt's Government of India Bill, the E I Company was deprived of its political power but was allowed to keen the natronage of office in its hands. There will the reafter he only two important centres of responsible administration one in the Imperial Cabinet where the State Secretary for India will co operate with, and will have the co operation of the delegates of the Indian Government in deciding matters of Imperial importance and the other in the Supreme Legislative Council in India which will finally decide all matters relating to the national well being of this country. The State Secretary for India is after all but a means to an end, and the expected diminution of his influence need not deter any one from accepting the inevitable, because the change in this matter of detail is but a change intended to help the yet greater change which is to be brought about in the general relations between England and India or in the relations between the different parts of the Empire itself. The change proposed is not a destructive change but is a part of a great constructive scheme which does not aim at weakening the bonds of the Empire but only strength ening them
 - 6 The State Secretary for India could not as we have already said, claim the benefit of any actemating plea in the matter of his failure like the six hundred and odd members of Parlisment. And by the same reasoning it will be obvious that the Government of India can claim still loss extendation than the State Secretary in Council. The Government of India in India is vested in the Governor General and Viceroy, assisted by the Executive Council at the head-quarters and subordinate Governors, Lieutemant-Governors or Chef Commissioners with or without Executive Councils for provincial administrations. The Governor General and the Governors are, as a rule, independent British statesmen imported from England Indian public opinion has agreed with the policy of the British government in this respect.

and appreciated the wisdom of that policy The experiment no doubt sometimes fails, for the custom has been generally to select only Lords, 1 e, menwho occupy a social position above a certain level, irrespective of the consideration whether they possess the necessary training or experience of high office, and in that way many a mediocrity has been shoved off from England into India as Governor-The power of patronage is often times misused even in Generals or Governors England, and consequently, we in India have had as Governors Englishmen who would not have been efficient even as county councillors But things are distinctly improving in this respect and Indian public opinion wishes the policy to be continued of bringing out suitable men from England to occupy the posts of the heads of provincial administrations Independent Englishmen come out to India without an official hias In many cases they come deeply imbued with the culture of Parliamentary traditions and of the ideas of English liberty They have prohahly already heard in England of the great civilisation of Indian people, and their first hand ecquaintance with the Indian people, when they arrive in India, only bears out and confirms what they may have heard at home Though heads of the executive administration, they are not directly concerned with specific departments. Their role is not to administer in detail but to govern with reference to general principles They might even look upon themselves as independent arbitrators to a certain extent between local administrators and the people governed by them ealaries pend to them ere very handsome The Governor General draws Rs 256,000 and the provincial Governors Bs 128,000 each as their annual salary All this werrants the expectation that they would make the happiness and progress. of Indian people their sole care

But there are considerations to the contrary which prectically outweigh these advantages, and, on the whole, neither the Goveror General nor the provincial Governors have always been able to do justice to the high conception of their duties. They are generally found to be courteous and free from an obtrusive racial bias against the Indien people And had it not been for them even that smell flicker of genuine British etatesmanship and British love of liberty, which is still discernible in India amidst the gloom of Anglo Indian prejudice, hatred and bigoted conservatism, would be unhappily extinct. But so far as practical administration is concerned very fow of these bave come up to the mark, and have been found able to rise to the occasion and assert their principles The reasons for this failure are manifold In the first place their term of office is limited to five years so that by the time they are due for retirement from office in India they have been just able to grasp the variety of intricate probleme which lie at the core of successful administration in India Secondly, many of them succumb to the soporific influence of a high position in which the mere maintenance of personal dignity and the discharge of a number of social and other functions appertaining to their office, require, an appearance of restfulness of spirit But that is not all The greatest cause of their failure really lies in their mability to hattle with a well-drilled, finely equipped and highly powerful Anglo Indian official garrison which always stands at 'shoulder arms' to defend the strong box in which are locked up the precioue assets of administrative power Before them always stands the dead wall of opposition of the Civil Servants who terrorise them hy an overdrawn picture of the inherent difficulty of administrative problems and who may even threaten them with organised withdrawal of co operation and a consequent deadlock in the administration Under these circumstances only a heroic mind can overcome the opposition or repress the actively mischievous tendencies of the subordinate officialdom The Governor General and the Governors are, like the Secretary of State, encumbered with Councils which can outvote them and thus nullify all their good intentione The esprit de corps is remarkably strong among the Indian Civil Servants from whom the Executive Councils were hitherto exclusively, and even now are largely, recruited It is therefore impossible that the Goveror General or the provincial Governors should find the fullest scope for the play of the liberal principles, if they have any, unless they were removed from the dominating and permicous influence of the Civil Service which have learnt to realously protect the power of monopoly in its hands. It is with this end in view that the Congress League Scheme provides that the Executive Councils of both the Imperial and provincial governments should, not be ordinarily recruited from the Civil Service and that one half of them should be elected by the reformed Legislative Council

- 8 This may look like a sweening and drastic criticism upon the remarkable body of efficient administrators which has been developed during the last sixty years and more in India. And perhaps it is necessary to say a few words in order to demonstrate that this criticism, though it could be truthfully redeemed by an annecustion of the great ments of the Civil Service, is yet true and fully justified when we view it from a somewhat broader point of view and with special reference to the disastrous results which a thorough going Civilian administration untempered by the touch of Indian public opinion, has entailed upon this country Sir William Wedderburn says the samething in his Life of A O Hume "The old company's system of nomination," and training at Haileyhury College, which kept the Civil Service in the hands of families traditionally connected with India, had its defects. but it also tended to maintain among the members of the service a certain atmosphere of friendly sympathy with the people This atmosphere of sympathy was dissipated by the adoption of competitive examinations which brought into the service a new class of men, self confident, ambitious and usually unconnected with India young men coming to India fresh from their academic studies, without experience of English public life, and placed almost at once in positions of authority over men of another race, formed exactly the material needed to produce an extreme bureaugrat. Nor does their subsequent experience in a close service tend to correct failings appurtenent to this character, for, the conditions of promotion to high office are neculiar owing to the violent oscillation of policy to which Government of India is subject. Octopus like these centralised departments extend their tentacles into every district and every village, paralysing the district administrators and crushing the village organisation. If on the one hand the deadly tentacles have reached down to the rayat in his village, they have with equally haneful effect, taken hold of the Supreme Government at Simla and the Secretary of State at Whitehall, perverting to their own use the control of the House of Commons They have thus heen able to direct policy, and manipulate the Indian Legislature, which for many years has been simply an instrument for consolidating official authority Indian nublic omnion has little or nothing to do with the course of legislation. It is only consulted after the Supreme Executive has made up its mind under the direction of the department interested. Hence the Government of India has been called a tyranny of office boxes, only tempered by an occasional loss of the key It is in these office-boxes that projects of law are incubated, and that ingenious devices are matured to close all loop-holes of escape and effectually to curb the liberty of the subject "
- 9 The Civil Servants are generally drawn from the pick of the University men in England, and, even in cases where they have not earned bigh academical distinctions, their special training eminently fits them to begood administrators. They are also generally men with great energy, prohity, and sincerity of purpose, and their devotion to duty is indeed highly admirable. And well may the admirers of that service like Lord Lytton say that no body of men in the world ever conferred more splendid benefits upon any community so far as mere administration is concerned. It is they who have established order and laid the foundations of progress, and they may look with pardonable pride upon the great administrative machine which they have slowly but successfully constructed and which, either for its fine appearence or for its smooth polish or for the faultiessness of its working, may hold its own against any similar machine to be met with in the civilised Governments of ancient and modern times.
- 10 But great as are the merits of the Civil Service as a working body, its defects are even greater when viewed from the standpoint of moral results upon the people for whose henefit it is supposed to have been brought into being. Even Lord Curzon could not be blind to some of these defects and we ask know how in his speech at the dimner given to him by the United Service Club at Simla in September 1905 he withily rehnked and ridiculed the habit of the Indian.

honour due for the ability and integrity with which most of them have carried on their work But what I say is this This system must be changed The administration must be native under the supreme control of the English nation " The fault of the system is that the Civilian rule is an excessively self-centred and irresponsible rule, which has killed all the nerve-centres of the indigenous population as active participators in the administration, which has destroyed all the genius and the capacity of the people themselves for initiative and constructive work; which has rooted out of them all the spirit of self-reliance and all the sense of responsibility. and made every thing to depend upon the sole and the sweet will of the foreign Civil Service If the old indigenous government of India by Indians be stigmatised as depotism what has been so far substituted for that government but a foreign despotism? The new system of administration has given us the old noison intact, but deprived us of the antidote which we could use against it -the antidote viz that the Indian despots at least lived in the land amongst the people themselves, and were susceptible to their social influence and punishment. The change between the old indigenous autocray and the new foreign hureaucracy is nothing hut a change in the name, and a change for the worse, so far as it is a real change at all

12 The Act of 1858 put an end to one kind of evil, but it directly set up another in its place. The vicious system of the double government in the time of the E. I. Company was abolished, but a policy of excessive centralisation soon took its place For fifty long years, from that Act to the time when the idea of Decentralisation was fairly started the administration became more and more centralised day by day till in Lord Curzon's time the fatal summit was reached. The English middle class man ousted the bloated aristocraf at bome, but a new aristocracy was established in India The departments were increased rapidly and Civilian was added to Civilian in quick succession But all went to sweep the retinue and the paraphernalia of the central secretariat which, sitting in secrecy, whether on the mountain ton or on the plain, like the veiled prophet of Khorasan, mysteriously dispensed both patronage and administration The Government in India was begun in right earnest, but also with a vengeance The fire of jealousy was no doubt put out in England, but Parliament was turned into a sleepy hollow so far as Indian affairs were concerned. As for a check in India itself, there was absolutely none Even apart from a check, things might have been better if the Provincial Governments were given the full power of initiative and at least a limited power of final disposal But the idea gained ground that India was to be ruled like one Empire from the heights of Simla, and self respecting Provincial Governors like Lord Clare resigned their posts because they could not stand the minute supervision of the Supreme Government As Mr Kaye has observed, this system of excessive centralisation bore its accustomed fruits, and while it threw upon the central authority an unnecessarily accumulated hurden, it disspirited and energyated the Local Governments If such was the fate of the Provincial Governments themselves it might be easily imagined what fate awaited the people themselves. The municipal or local institutions are the real centres of the nation's life and bulworks of constitutional liberty in every country, and these had proved their worth in India for centuries But in its mad frenzy the Demon of centralisation so crushed these institutions in every part of India, that not a trace of them was left anywhere, and the Olympian Gods at Simla had to be moved and propitiated if the smallest concerns of villages in the jungle in the remotest parts of India were to he regulated by law Clear-sighted men both in England and India had seen the mischief likely to result from excessive centralisation. And it is interesting to find that so long ago as 1858 Barrister John Bruce Norton of Madras had, in one of his Papers on the Government of India outlined a scheme of Provincial antonomy similar to what the Congress League Scheme now demands in 1917 He says -"For the command of armies the power of legislation, the framing of treaties, the declaration of peace and war, the imposition of taxes, the settlement of traffic, the origination of main trunk lines of road, railways and electric telegraphs, all those things in short which are from their nature Imperial, require some one central controlling authority, but in those matters which may be called the domestic affairs of Government each Presidency may well be left to itself It should have the entire management

of its own administration, judicial, police and financial It should raise its own loans, carry out its own public works, conduct and correct its own revenue system, and be directly responsible to the Homa government for its conduct in all these matters—just as in Australia, the several colonies are permitted to manage their own internal administration in all respects notwithstanding the existence of a Governor General."

13 Mr Bernard Houghton, himself a Civilian, points out how a Civilian is made in his book Bureaucratic Government, "In the bureaucratic system, the rulers sit aloof They repose like Gods in splendid isolation Because of their alcofness they picture themselves, not unnaturally, as supermen viewing from their high places the work a day world with the impartial scrutiny of Olympic gods In nine cases out of ten a bureaucrat starts his official career with strong oligarchic leanings. The defer ence of the more educated Indians and the cringing obsequiousness of the baser sort convince him that he really is a Heaven sent mentor for the guidance of a people walking in Egyptian darkness. Being worshipped by others he ends by worship ping himself The chief force moulding the young official is the great bureaucratic machine He finds himself, even at the commencement, placed so to speak as a cogwheel amongst cogwheels. His superiors delight in honouring the hondsman of a faultless routine who understands the rules and gives no trouble Origina lity is frowned upon From heing constantly occupied with the manipulation of the machine, he regards its smooth working as the highest service he can render to the country of his adoption Like the Monks of old, he cherishes a benevolent interest in the commonalty if only they do not display initiative or assert them selves in opposition to him There is much in this proviso Having come to regard his own judgment as almost Divine, and the heirarchy of which he forms a part as a sacrosanot institution, he telerates the lasty so long as they do not presume to intermeddle in high matters of State That is the heinous offence And frank cri ticism of official acts, touches a lower depth still, less majeste. The hureaucrate find it difficult to take generous and foresighted views of a nation's destiny. The traditions and pre possessions of a life time of official routine must distort their vision of the more distant horizon. They suffer in short from an incurable political myo-A bureaucrat, like your thoroughgoing militarist suffere from a kind of political dyepepsia. He takes the dyspeptic s gloomy view of further eventualities If in India a Native in all good faith denounces some rather obvious defect in our rule, he is clearly the instigator of disaffection, the pre runner of another Indian Mutiny These worthy people confuse mainly independence with disloyalty. They cannot conceive of Natives except either as rebels or as timid sheep

14 But not only to the Civil Service not responsible to the people, but it is practically not responsible to the Government itself, for the simple reason that the Civil Service itself, more than the Viceroy or the Provincial Governors, 18 the government As Professor Fisher a non official European member of the latest Public Services Commission, has observed in his pamphlet on Indian Administration, "In India the Administration, 1 e, the Civil Service is the government and nothing else parti cularly matters The large lines of Indian policy may be shaped by a Secretary of State in the India Office, a powerful Secretary of State may make his influence felt very strongly on the direction of Indian affairs, if he encounters no serious opposition from the Government of India. But in reality the last word lies with the Indian official opinion, in the sense that a measure would not be forced upon India against the united opinion of the Indian bureaucracy The work of administration in India is not done in London. It is done in India itself The Indian Councils can not turn out a government and can not make a government The Indian Civil Service is the Government. It may accept amendments, it may withdraw a measure in face of criticism which it judges to be well founded, it may profit by the suggestions of non-official members but it is master in its own house The supremacy of the Indian Civil Service among the services in India is one of the leading facts which every student of Indian administration has to take into account. The Civil Service is the governing service of the country. The other services are excrescences, later developments due to the increase of specialisation, grafts upon the parent tree which is the Civil Service of John Company, now for many years taken over
the Imperial government. The departments do possess official heads, but they
are not part of the Provincial Government. Their work comes up in the first place
hefore a Secretary to Government who is always a member of the Indian Civil
Service, and no large proposal can be carried into effect without the imprimatur
of the premier service. Whereas in other countries hireaucracy is only an approved mode of administration, in India alone it has grown into a form of government. It is a sinister extension of personal autocracy. An autocrat is a human
being subject to all the impulses, noble and otherwise, of su individual. But a
bureaucracy is a corporation entrenched behind its power and prestige. Like a
corporation it never dies, and has no soul either to be saved or lost. It is intesponsible it is responsible only to itself, nay, as My W C Bonnergee described it
in his speech before a Croydon meeting in 1839, 'The government itself is responsible
to the Civil Service, and to none other'

15 The results of this irresponsible system of government through the close corporation of a foreign Civil Service nave naturally been very disastrons. To quote Mr Bernard Houghton once more 'By the inculcation of submission and the crushing of personal initiative the hureaucracy is inflicting the gravest moral injury with which it is possible for one people to curse another. To deprive a people by confinest of its political independence is an evil-for it wounds its self-respect and enfeebles its vitality. But it is an evil which material prosperity may counterbalance But to maintain them after conquest in a state of perpetual tutelege, to treat them as children who shall have no will of their own, whose chief glory shall lie in service ohedience to commands-that is more than a wrong. It is a sin against humanity. It is as though after making a man captive we drugged him with opium in order to keep him quiet and chedient to orders But one should not indeed expect condolence from a piston-rod nor look for the exercise of loving Lindness in a locomotive. And therein lies the flaw in its armour whereby a hureaugracy fails to inspire the sense of loyalty which is so often the birthright of an autocrat. Men even the most virile and independent, love to have as their chieftain a human being like themselves, greater, wiser, more prescient, if you will, but still a human being subnect to the same passions, targished even with some of the same weaknesses as themselves It is this emotion which supplies the well spring of loyalty The coldly correct formalism of a hureaucracy chills all feeling of devotion to the Govern ment. Men obey it as they obey the time table of a railway, but without enthusiasm and with a dreary sense of impotent dislike. In addition to the necessary hane of a foreign domination and the gratuitous evils springing from its system of repression and tutelege, it is destitute of the human touch that can link the sympathies of the ruled to the rulers and that atones for so many short comings

16 It is easy to demoustrate, with reference to epecific instances, the objective results of the rigid system of bureaucratic rule in India which has been responsible for systematically hampering or putting down administrative reforms which should have been effected long ago and which are essential to the well being of a nation like India. The blighting hand of the Civil Service is to be seen in the working of almost every department of Government, from the policy of liberalising political institutions down to the details of such a small concession as the revival of the village panchaysts in this country.

17 The resolutions of the Indian National Congress for over thirty years are as it, were, a running record of the defects of British Indian administration under the Civilian rule. Nothing would perhaps be more pathetic than to see the Congress going on, year afteryear, passing almost identical resolutions on almost identical subjects with changes and innovations here and there for a variety. The Civil Service on say with credit to its strength of purpose that it has remained unmoved by the steady out-pour of the wallings and reasonings of the chosen representatives of the millions of the Indian people, and further, that the defects pointed out in these resolutions were, even before that, not a matter of years but of generations together. The Congress was at first openly revoked then subjected to official hostitutes, and was eventually recognised with some marks of attention and patronage when the coun-

try was ablaze with well reasoned and yet fiery denunciation of the Government for its continuous non feasance, or mis feasance, or mal feasance, when the critics of of Government seemed to he on tha point of proceeding to make the admini stration impossible, and then the need for rallying the moderates became evident But a change in the official attituda towards the Congress did not mean a change in the attitude towards the demands made by that hody The fact that the Congress had to be recognised as a loyal and constitutional national body did not necessarily involve the conclusion that what tha Congress said was right and reasonable, nor did the recognition of tha reasonablaness of its demands involve the still more distasteful conclusion that the demands were worthy of concessions Could not the Queen s or tha King s Government go on on its own path of despotism without pay ing any heed to what the Congress might clamour for ? If the Legislative Councils were unut to demand any thing as a matter of right much more so was the Cong ress which was a merely a non official hody without a legal backbone to it what of flouting the Congress or tha Legislative Councils when the Civil Service can legitimately claim that it has set at naught evan resolutions of Parliament or practically nullified the colemn pledges, or charters given by the Crown? In its wan ton career of despotism, the Civil Service has shown aven high banded disregard to its superiors as well as critics Self complacence has been the only sheat anchor of its policy and 'impossible has been its only watchword throughout the history of the Civilian administration in India It proved itself master of both skilful strategy and skilful tactics, and it has stabbed many a reform in the darkness of official confidence and escreey, which it could not openly condemn as unreaconable or impracticable It killed with delay and prograstination what it could not attack or resist on principle Its arm of executive destruction has proved more powerful on the whole than the armour of legislative protection which was ever conferred upon the Indian people by the Crown or Parliament in the matter of political progress in administrative reform And the Civil Service has often even frankly avowed that it likes no role in India better than the role of the opponent of popular opinion or popular aspirations. Its words may sometimes be shrouded in darkness under the seal of official confidence, but its deeds at any rate are there, silently proclaiming to the world the hollowness of its heneficence

18 Let us take a typical case of the Separation of the Judicial and Executive functions. In this case we find that the soundness of the principle involved in this reform has been admitted by many Viceroys of India and numberless other official authorities And it goes without saying that the non official public opinion in India has always been unanimous in its favour Even so early as 1793 the Government of India under Lord Cornwallis recognised the dangers of the combination of these two functions in one and the same individual In 1831, the late Raja Ram Mohan Rai gave syldenes before a Committee of the House of Commons in which, as a pioneer of the race of agitators that was to come clamouring for this reform, be completely demonstrated the desirability of the separation of the two functions In 1933 a regulation was actually passed by which Deputy Collectors and their assistants were provided exclusively for revenue work and, in 1839 in Madras the functions were separated In 1854 the Secretary to the Govern ment of Bengal in a letter to tha Government of India, wrote that the only separation of functions which is really desirable is that of the executive and the udicial In the same year a member of the Council of the Governor General Sir J P Grant wrote in a minute saying that the combination of the Superin tendent of Police and the public prosecutor with the functions of a criminal judge was objectionable in principle, and the practical objections to it have been greatly aggravated by the course of legislation which has raised the judicial powers of a magistrate six times higher than they ware in the days of Lord Cornwallis It ought to be the fixed entention of the Government to dissever as soon as possible the functions of a criminal judge from those of a thief catcher and public prosecutor. now combined in the office of a magistrate That seems to be indispensable as a step to words any great improvement in our criminal jurisprudence ever, the functions were again reunited the collector being re invested with magisterial powers and deputy collectors and deputy magistrates being amalgamated into what is now known as the subordinate executive service. The Police Commission appointed in 1860, arrived at the conclusion that, as a rule, there should be complete severance of the two functions. The recommendations of the Commission were adopted by the Government of India and in the discussion on the Police Bill of 1860, Sir Bartle Frere gave a public assurance that, though for the time-billing, and as a matter of expediency, the union of the functions was tolerated in the exceptional case of the District Magistrate, at no distant period the golden rule and principle of the separation would be completely acted upon throughout India. But that assurance was never realised till to day

19 In their petition to Lord George Hamilton, the then State Secretary for India, dated 1st July 1899, the petitioners, among whom were such distinguished judges as Hohbouse, Garth, Couch Sergeant, Markhy, Phear, West, Scott, Wedderburn and others cited a number of cases in which the dangers arising from the combination of the two functions were clearly demonstrated and proved. The National Congress took up the question in 1886 and has gone passing resolutions upon the subject almost continuously from year to year Since the Congress took up the question, at least one Viceroy and two State Secretaries openly admitted the desirability of this reform Lord Cross said that he thought the subject to he so impolirant that he was anxious to deal with it himself Lord Kimberley declared that the fusion of the two functions was contrary to right and good principles Lord Dufferin pronounced upon the reform as a counsel of perfection Lord Lansdowne referred to the demand for the separation of the two functions as made by the Congrees, as a perfectly legitimate movement. The objections arising to the reform out of financial and other considerations have been very forcibly answered, time and again, in the Congress and also outside, by individual reformers Further, experienced administrators like Mr Dutt and experienced lawyers like Sir Pherozshah Mehta and the late Mr Manmohun Ghose have prepared and published able and pratical schemes hy which the reform could be carried out. The reform was even practically carried out, though to a limited extent in the Madras Presidency by the separation of the subordinate judicial and executive branches, and the Madras Government had admitted in one of their reports that while the separation, as partially effected, had worked eatisfactorily, it was also effected at little or no additional cost But all this to no purpose! The Indian Civil Service has set its face dead against the reform and on the plausible ground of the want of finances has effectively prevented the realisation of reform for nearly a century The National Congress has now practially given up the subject in utter weariness and disgust. Nor have the efforts made by some of the members of Legislative Councils horne any hetter fruit

20 We shall take another subject of a similar kind viz, the growth of Local Self Government. It was not till 1850 that the Government of India thought of regulating the creation and the work of local hodies for local purposes Act XXII of 1850 of the Supreme Legislative Council first authorised the creation of municipal bodies in towns other than the Presidency towns of Calcutta, Bombay and Madras for which municipalities had already been created in the earlier days of the East India Company The Act empowered local Governments to raise taxes from the inhabitants of mofussil areas to be appl ed for the purposes of local administration The policy underlying the Act, however, was not a uniform one and resulted in a glorious diversity respecting everything connected with local administration was not till after 1861 when the Indian Councils Act was passed and Local Legislatures were created that a systematic attempt was made to hring into being local hodies on a larger scale. In 1870 the fiat had gone forth from Lord Mavo that as part of a scheme of decentralisation of finance the establishment and develop ment of local self government were among the greatest and wisest objects connect ed with such decentralisation contemplated since the time of Lord William Bentinck Lord Mayo hoped that the creation of local bodies would afford opportunities for the de elopment of local self government for strengthening municipal institutions, and for the association of the natives and Europeans to a greater extent than hithertofore in the administration of affairs.

21 But the resolution was acted upon in a different spirit by different Provincial Governments Between 1881 and 1884 new Municipal Acts were passed by different Provincial Legislatures And they were so worded as to enable the Civil Service, if it wished, to extend the elective principle among the local bodies, old and new, so that they could be really local self governing In 1882, Lord Ripon issued his famous resolution on Local Self Govern Para five of the Resolution is remarkable for the breadth of view disclosed This measure is chiefly desirable as an instrument of political It says therein and popular education If the officers of Government only set themselves as the Governor General in Council believes they will, to foster sedulously the small heghnings of the independent political life if they will accept loyally and as their own the policy of the Government, and if they come to realise that the system really opens to them a fairer field for the exercise of administrative tact and directive energy than the more autocratic system which it supersedes then it may he hoped that the period of failures will be short and that real and substantial progress will very soon become manifest But Provincial Governments run by the Civil Service had no sympathetic imagination like Lord Ripon and they took no trouble about carrying out the Resolution in its true spirit. The Bombay Governn ent were perhaps the most obdurate in this respect and they resisted the sugges tions that a majority of members should be elected non officials that their nowers should be enlarged that educational funds and institutions should be trans ferred to them and that non officials should be appointed to chairmanships and vice chairmanships of local bodies The Civil Service in the Bombay Presidency had the boldness to reply to this Resolution in a fault finding and advisorial spirit The Government of India were told that they were misisting upon premature and radical measures, that the political education was a slender plant of a very slow growth and that their Government would have liked to proceed more cautiously to create and educate a public spirit and to ascertain the fitness of local committee. to exercise more extended powers. This elicited a somewhat sharp reply from the Government of India who reducated the idea of creating and educating the public spirit before entrusting more extended powers to local hodies. The Bomhay Government had thereupon to yield but the Local Self Government Act of 1884 passed in the Bombay Legislative Council was so worded that it failed to fulfil the expectations formed by the public from Lord Ripon's Resolution. The Act was opposed in the Council by such enlightened men as the late Rao saheb V N Mandlik, the late Mr Justice Baddrudin Tayabn and the late Mr Justice Felang The prin cipal ground of dissatisfaction was the limited measure of elective franchise actu ally guaranteed and the preponderance of official control And these were for the first time removed to a certain extent only in 1911 by a Resolution of the Provincial Government though in the meanwhile by the District Municipal Act of 1901 a numher of other material improvements were made in the working of municipal bodies In fact the Civil Service in the Bombay Presidency were the last to be brought into a line with the other presidencies in the matter of elective maio rities in municipal bodies and, even now that elective majorities and non official presidents are granted to municipal bodies, the element of indirect official control has made uself obtrusive in the statutory provisions relating to, hief executive officers and municipal commissioners who are made the chief operative agency, irresponsible to the corporations, which are practically reduced to the position of mere deliherative bodies. The Assistant Collector and the Mamlatdar still have the power to interfere with, and dominate, the work of municipalities in the mofussil and they are found to be giving a desperate battle before yielding up their cherished powers in what they consider the last line of the trenches The grievance is, however, by no means himited to the Bombay Presidency and revenue officers throughout India have still to he taught that local and municipal hodies are really meant exclusively for the local people, who, though they may make mistakes in the beginning have ultimately to rely on themselves for a satisfactory discharge of municipal duties.

22 As regards Rural Boards they are still in a rudimentary condition, and Provincial Governments: e the Civil Service still pretends to regard it as an

overbold experiment when a demand is made for the appointment of non official elected presidents of local hoards. And that is the progress made in a country in which in the early thirties of the last century, according to Sir Charles Metcalfe, "the village communities were little republics having nearly everything they wanted within themselves and almost independent of fireign relations. They seemed to last where nothing else lasted Dynasty after dynasty tumbled down, revolution succeeded to revolution, Hindu, Pathan, Mogul, Maratha, Sikh, English were all masters in turn, but the village community remained the same. But things have progressed only backwards since Sir Charles Metcalfe wrote. The time-honoured and the time tried village community is now no more, and the achievement of its destruction was reserved for the Indian Civil Service whose evil insurration did not give it rest till it did what "the Hindu, the Pathan, the Mogul, the Maratha or the Sikh had not done before them The non official public opinion in India has been ever clamouring for the revival of the village communities, and the Royal Commission on Decentralisation in their report in 1903 has declared in their favour The Government of India, in their Resolution on local Self Government issued in 1915, have admitted that there is some material with which village panchayats may be built in India, and have expressed their desire that a full experiment should be made. But the Civil Service is still opposed even to making the experiment, and under the pretext of using care, discrimination, patience etc they are sure to delay the experiment indefinitely, simply because they do not like the idea of independent village-life growing in this country. They cannot bear the notion that even to this small extent the direction and control of revenue officere chould pass away in o popular hands

- 23 The same etory has got to he told about Education Even in such an important and yet comple matter as primary education the progress has been very slow and disappointing The modern educational system in India dates from the State Secretary'e despatch in 1854. In that depatch the education of the whole people was definitely accepted as a State duty In 1882, by the time of Lord Ripon e Education Commission about 12 per cent of the whole population was receiving primary The Commission eatd in their report that, "While every branch of education can justly claim the fostering care of the State, it is desirable in the present circumstances of the country to declare the elementary education of the masses, ite provision, extension and improvement to he that part of the educational system to which the strenuous efforts of the State should now be directed in a still larger manner than heretofore" The Commission further directed that "an attempt be made to secure the fullest possible provision by legislation suited to the circumstances of each Province A quarter of a century elapsed after this, and yet in 1907 we find the percentage of pupils receiving primary education in India to be so low as 19 After fifty years of civilian administration, since the famous despatch of Sir Charlse Wood, only about 27 per cent of the boys and 41/2 per cent of the girls that should have been at school, were actually attending the school In 1910 this percen tage rose only to 31 for boys and 534 for girls. This means only a percentage of 1.9 of the whole population. In 1916 the total number of literates in India was only 59 per thousand 1 e still less than 6 per cent There are in India about 1594 large cities and 5,82,728 villages, and the total number of schools is only 1,23,578 This means that we have about one school for every five villages! The real proportion of schools for the villages is, however, much smaller, because the cities and towns absorb a large number of these 123,578 schools The Civilian is a lover of female education But he has not yet been able to provide in India more than one girl school for every 45 villages'
- 24 These figures for India may be fairly contrasted against similar figures for other countries. In many European countries as also in the United States of America Canada and Australia, almost the entire population is now able to read and write. Even in the most notoriously backward country in Europe viz., Russia the proportion of literates to the whole population was 25 per cent. In most of these countries primary education is both compulsory and free. In India alone it is neither As regards expenditure on primary education India is absolutely nowhere in comparison with the other countries in the world. As the late 16

Mr Gokhale pointed out in his speech in the Supreme Legielative Council in 1910, in America the expenditure per head of the population was 16 shillings, in Switzerland 13, in Australia 11, in England and Wales 10, in Scotland 9, in Germany and Ireland and the Netherlands 6, in Sweden, Belgium and Norway 5, in France 4, in Austria 3, in Spain Italy, Servia and Japan 1 shilling Even in Russia it is 71/2 pence but in India alone it is harely one penny! In the Philippnes within 13 years of American rule the progress of education had been much greater than in India in 150 years of British rule! Even in the Crown Colony of Ceylon the proportion of literates was higher than in India In India itself, education has made much greate progress in Baroda and Mysore under native rule than in British India under the civilian rule The difficulty of funds is often alleged against the demand for making education compulsory and free, but as Mr Gokhale pointed out in his speech, there have been large surpluses and Government did not know what to do with the money on their hands Further Mr Gokhale's Bill provided that the money required for compulsory and free education should come partly out of the pockets of the people who wanted it But Government were not prepared to accept it simply because it would entail some increased expenditure on their part by increase in the grante in aid that would have to be made The worst enemy of the Good was the Best, and when Mr Gokhale really asked for only a modest advance, the civilians wanted him to go to the farthest point possible straight away and then frightened the Council by calculations based on that assumption

- 25 As regards secondary education we find that many districts have etill to go without a single High School Several Divisions have no Colleges, and the time is yet to come for each Province to have its own independent University The provision for professional education is extremely, meagre There are only two or three Agricultural Colleges in the whole of India, a country which is essentially agricultural And Government have yet done absolutely nothing for the education in non agricultural pursuits. In the whole length and breadth of the country there is not a single efficient Technological Institute The private or semi private technological schoole can be given that name only hy courtesy There is absolutely no provision yet made for teaching modern languages excepting English While Germans, Australians, Italians and Americans can learn Hindustani in their own country in order to further their trade with India the Government of India have never given a thought to the necessity of making any provision for the teaching of German or French to the Indian people
 - 26 As regards Sanitation we find that the rate of mortality, even apart from the ravages of plague and cholera and such other special diseases in India, is far higher than in any other civilised country. Large sanitary projects may be costly but even the ordinary arrangements for the preservation of the public health are neglected because of the alleged want of funds But whereas Government never lacks money for the army and a top heavy civil administration and railwaye, they are always short of funds for sanitary reforms This is evidently not a question really of funds but of, policy and for this short sighted and stingy policy in sanitary and other matters relating to the well being of the people, the Civil Service are primarily responsible
 - 27 As regards the administration of Justice it is well known that justice is hoth tardy and costly in India The delays of justice are proverhial but nowhere so as in India The combination of the judicial and executive functions is responsible for the delays on the lower plane because the convenience of revenue officers is always the first consideration with the administration, and enormous and endiess is the worry caused to litigants in criminal matters mainly owing to the fact that the bulk of the administration of criminal justice is in the hands of magistrates who are also executive 1 e revenue officers, and litigants have to march from one end of the district or the subdivision to the other in the train of touring revenue officers as for the cost of justice we shall he content to quote the following, from an issue of the Times of India the leading Anglo-Indian journal of the Western Presidency In an article on this subject in hovember 1916 the Times observes -

"But we can re call the opinion of a High Court Judge, not less experienced than Sir John Stanley, that he wondered the people did not tear down the High Court stone by stone as a protest against the cost of obtaining justice in India Recently the Judicial Committee of the Privy Conneil, in dealing with a Cevion case where a decision was delayed for seven years, said they were determined as far as lay in their power, to prevent the repetition of the scandal, which had hecome only too common in appeals from India and Ceylon And it will not be seriously denied that the cost of ohtaining a legal decision in India, the time occurred in many cases, quite apart from the uncertainties of the law do in many cases amount to a denial of justice Recently we have seen in Bomhay Police Courts sittings extending over many months and expenses running into thousands of pounds in order to obtain a decision in a criminal-case, which in England would not have cost more than a ten pound note. In a recent case from the mofussil the costs ran into thousands of runees in a trade-mark case, where the defence was so feeble that it had to consent to an injunction, otherwise the case might have been going on now "

28 And lastly we may mention in the topic of the Employment of the Natives of India in the Civil Services, higher or lower. This subject has been dealt with at sorge length in the next chapter, and we simply refer to it here to show that though much could have been done in this matter by the Civilian Government by a judicious and sympathetic exercise of its executive functions without the aid of legislation, very little has actually been done, mainly owing to the peculiar tendencies of the Civil Service to which we have alluded in a general way The patronage of office is mostly in the hands of the executive government, and even with regard to the higher civil services there was nothing to prevent the Government in India to make provision for giving the necessary training and holding the necessary examinations in India.

29 The history of the National Debt of India exemplifies, in figures, the failure of the Government in India in the gravest possible manner. National Debt is no creation of wealth. At hest it can only be 'additive' and give greater energy to production provided it is used in assisting the creation of fresh wealth. Where the daht serves merely some other object which is not conducive to economic production, then there is so much loss of material wealth. Non productive expenditure ought to he primarily met out of income, and unless it can he so done, it ought not to he incurred at all. These are the essential first principles to be scruppil ously observed by finance-ministers wishing well of the country. But unfortunately all these first principles are set at naught by those who are responsible for the management of Indian finances.

30. Whatever might be the faults of the East India Company's rule in India the Company deserves our graittude for carrying on the administration of the country more economically than at present, and trying to avoid running into debt, as far as possible. Upto the year 1792 the East India Company's debt did not exceed £7 millions. The Mysore and the Maratha wars added some £14 millions and when Lord William Bentinck took up the reins of Government it hordered on £30 millions. This noble rule by his persistant economy reduced it to £27 millions.

31 Then followed the dismal record of the forward policy and foreign wars attended with disasters. The Afgan war added 15 millions to the debt. The Sikh war made a further addition of 15 millions and thus the total stood at £60 millions before the outbreak of the Indian Mutny.

32 That great soldier India's friend, Lord Roberts has expressed his opinion that if there was ever a justifiable excess for a mutiny there clearly was one for the Sepor Mutiny. Thus though the mininy was caused by the sad mistakes committed by British Officers, both civil and military, India was saddled with the burden of expenses incurred in checking it and thus India's delit rose up to £70 millions. This was followed by a greater ministice By the Act of 1858 the Government of India was transferred from the Company to the British Crown and thus India, the Jawel in the British Crown, became the property of the British nation. But who paid the price of this Jewel? Not the British nation, but, through the sad 'nony' of

fate, India berself was made to pay the price of har uwn purchase! The entire capital stock and debts of the E I Company were added to the Public deht of India, which now swelled to the enormous sam of 100 millions sterling This paying off of the Company's stock at India s expense was carried unt in such a way that it added one more dangerous feature to the iniquity of the act Upto this time the major portion of the Public debt was a rupee deht and wes held in India Consequently the 'Drain' by way of payment of interest in England was negligible, being only £4 millions in the year 1851 But since the Company's stock was bought out of borrowings in England the sterling debt in that country jumped upto £32 millions in 1862

- .33 This was followed by a period of computative economy, and in the year 1869, the rupee debt stood at 50 crores in India and 15 crores in England, while the sterling debt in England was 35 millions In 1869 the Government of India abandoned its policy of guarantee system of railways, and begun to borrow capital for railways, but of course, in England So the tide began to rise, and in 1879 the rupes debt stood at 78 83 crores while the sterling debt had risen to 59 millions The Imperialistic policy of Lord Beaconsfield involved India in the disastrous Afgan war, and India s burden was augmented by 10 crores rupes debt and 10 millions sterling debt l
- 33 Through the strenuous efforts of the noble benrted Mr Fawcett who was nicknamed the 'Member for India'n Committee was appointed to devise some check upon the Indian expenditure which was growing at an elarmingly rapid rate But the efforts of the Committee ended in smoke At one time a limit was set down to the borrowing programme in England, but being without any effective control either from the English or the Indian public, the State Secretary was not bound to observe the limit. The Burma war was responsible for the addition of a sum not less than 10 millions, and the continuous large horrowings for railways, end the exchange compensation muddle raised the rupee daht to 103 crores and the sterling debt to 115 millions when the advent of the great femine of 1896 made matters worse
 - 35 The famines and scarcities between 1896 and 1905 added considerable sums to the public debt, but even during these dire days, the railwey borrowings remained unchacked Nay, the State Secretary, with a light heart, allowed himself to be committed to a programms of 12 millions sterlings to he spent every year on railways, and besides the construction of new railway lines, old lines were also to ha hought from companies ut an additional hurden of hig annuities. The btate Secretary for India did not think of huying up the rnilways when any amount of money could be had at 21/2 per cent in London, but chose such inopportune time as to make the burden of the mnunties unnecesserily heavy and harassing
 - 36 The position on 31st March 1916 stood thus Rupee debt 153 89 crores, sterling deht 182 63 millions, Interest payable in India Rs 5 70 crores and that in England Rs 927 crores. We have specially ommitted any reference to the big wer-loan of £ 100 millions for obvious reasons Yat aven excluding that exceptionally unusual hig sum, we have to bear the burden of 426 84 crores of rupees on which wa bays to pay 370 crores of rupees in Indin and Rs 927 crores in England by way of interest. Besides this, we have to pay by wny of nanultles 5 crores of rupees, the earliest annuity terminating in 1948 and the latest in 1958
 - 37 These are our liabilities But what about the assets? Do they counter balance the liabilities? Evidently they do, ns say they, who bold a brief for the Government. But let us see what are the actual facts The capital outlay on all the state owned railways by the end of 1915 16 amounted to £ 365 04 while the Irrigation outlay upto 31st March 1916 was Rs 64 crores This makes the assets amount to 547+64-611 crores of rupees How could 611 crores be spent when all your borrowings amount only to 426 crores? Lvidently we must add the capitalised value of the annuity that we are paying besides adding those large sums spent out of surpluses. But nre the railwny lines and irrigation works worth 611 crores of present? Obviously not. And since there is no separate depreciationfund reserved, we have to reduce the value of the assets by at least 10 per cent if not more . That reduces the amount of assets to 550 crores of rupees. Nor is this For some fifty years the railways were not n paying concern but were worked at n loss and the loss amounted to nothing less than Rs 50 crores Who paid this

amount? Undoubtedly the dumb masses of India paid it year after year out of the surplus of the annual revenue. The Indian ryot is overtaxed, and whenever there is a surplus it is swallowed up by such non-paying works—white elephants as the great N W Railway or some pet military scheme

- 38 From the paltry net gain from railways during recent years some economists make hold to say that no 'unproductive national debt' burdens India. But the fallacy is patent on the very face of it. For, the question is not how much India earns on its capital outlay on railways, but how much it ought to have earned if every railway programme had been undertaken after mature consideration, there would have been no 'white elephants' to be fed from the net earnings of really profitable lines. And thus there would have been no loss to he compensated for The great N.W. Railway has cost us Rs. 62 crores by way of capital, and 25 crores more by way of its annual losses. The same might be said of some other lines. But mixing up the accounts of the reunerative with the unremunerative lines in one batch pot the Government conceals from the public view the fact that the national debt would have been really more productive if thad been well managed.
- .39 Besides, no amount of railway gains would shut out from our view the gross injustice in saddling India with the burden of paying off of the capital stock of East India company, the expenses incurred by the outbresh of the Mutiny, the expenditure of the wars outside India undertaken solely for Imperial purposes and the growing military expenditure necessitated by the same mad forward policy If England be made to pay all these above mentioned counts, as she was legally and norally bound to pay India's Public debt would be reduced by not less than 100 millions storling or 150 crores of rupees. If only such railway lines had been undertaken as would be economically paying that policy also would have saved us from an unnecessarily heavy burden. The policy of raising sterling loans in Ringland instead of trying rupes loans in India has also been a cause of great loss through 'Drain' No provision has been made for any sinking funds or deprocuation fund and consequently there has never been any serious attempt to reduce the national dobt England by her sound financial policy began to reduce her national debt after the close of Napoleonic wars and succeeded in reducing it by nearly £200 millions while during the same period. India that was nearly free from any debt, has mied up a pyramid of national debt reaching an alarming total of 426 crores of rupees. What a striking contrast and what a sad comment on the financial policy of England in India!
- 43. We may go on in this manner over the whole gamus of the Civilian administration in India and yet we shall find the same tone of unsympathetic administration pervading everwhere. There is here much good government which does not suit the people, there is much efficiency which does not sooth them or raise them in the oyes of thomselves or others. British Government in India is civilised but it is not popular, it has no doubt established peace in the land, but it is an emasculating peace intended only to keep a nation in perpetual tutelege. And perhaps of a Government like this characterised as it is by mistirected efficiency, impoverishing progress, harmful energy and soul-crushing, organisation it was said that 'good government cannot be a substitute for self government.



· Chapter V

Declared Intentions and their Fulfilment

WE have shown in the first place that India has deserved self government so far as the possession of a great and ancient oxylization may entitle a great and ancient people to deserve it, and in the second place, that the present system of British rule, at the end of a century and a balf, has resulted in a situation which is practically not only an antithesis of self government but which bas positively barmed India's progress in material as well as moral aspects We may now proceed to consider how far this result accords with the professed intentions of England towards India

What have been the intentions of England towards India? Of course it has been the fashion to describe the occupation of India by England as a conquest. But the idea of a conquest is a later development, if not exactly an afterthought And it could be safely said that when Englishmen first adverted to India in their thoughts they had absolutely no idea of conquering it As Prof Seely in bis "Our acquisition of India was made blindy Expansion of England says Nothing great that has ever been done by Englishmen was done so unintentionally. so accidentally as the conquest of India In India we meant one thing and did quite another. Our object was trade and in this we were not particularly successful War with the native states we did not think of at all till a hundred years after our first settlement And then we thought only of such war as might support our trade. After this time again more than half a century passed before we thought of any considerable territorial acquisitions, the nineteentb century had almost begun before the policy of acquiring an accendency over the native states was entered upon, and, our present supreme position can not be said to have been attained before the Governor Generalship of Lord Dalhousie (1 e the middle of the nineteenth century) All along we have been looking one way and moving another ' No doubt the British occupation of India is a conquest in the sense that at a later stage of it Englishmen in India were involved in wars with some of the Native States But there is at all events a residue of British territory which was acquired neither by war, nor by settlement nor by colonisation. Many of the cessions of territory to the East India Company by the native Princes were voluntary though evidently in a state of imprudent inadvertance of mind as to what the ultimate consequences of such cessions would be Many other cessions again were made which, though partial and limited for a particular purpose in the beginning eventually ripened into full fledged possessions, simply as the result of prescription : e continued culpable laches on the one hand and a gradual self assertion or aggrandisement on the other And lastly, there was also a class of possessions of territory which Englishmen in India obtained by means more questionable than in any of the above cases viz by fraud or deceit or generally speaking, conduct which is not far removed from either

3 Some of the British statesmen who came to India in the times of the East India Company were astute facticians and not very scrupulous men they knew very well the art of profitting themselves by other mens quarrels and they even deliberately sowed the seed of disunion where it did not already exist. It is difficult to say which is more discreditable to the Indians whether to have been quarrelsome fools and be caught in the meshes of the nascrupulous deceiver or to have been defeated on the battlefield? For ourselves we wish it could have heen unquestionably said of us that we were really a conquered nation. But that unfortunately is not an unqualified fact The military equipment of the East India Company was never so full or so efficient as to enable it unaided or single handed, to cope with the armies of any of the native military Powers in India. The first establishment of the Company's Indian army dates only from the year 1748 when a small hody of sepoys was raised at Madras, after the example set by the French, for the defence of that settlement At the same time a small European force was raised for the first time, but only of such sailors as could be spared from the ships on the coast, and of men smuggled on board the Company s vessels in England. It was the native sepoys in the employ of the Company who always out-numbered the English, and kept pace with them in efficiency as soldiers In 1787, the Company made the first arrangements with the Home Government for occasional loans of companies of British soldiers, at the rate of 2 lacs of rupees annually for each regiment of 100 men sent from England In 1799, the Company was authorised to raise European troops in India upto a maximum of 2000 men and it was arranged that the Crown should also transfer to the Campany's service British recruits at an agreed sum per head upto a maximum of 3000 men The Indian people were conquered in the few battles in which they were pitched against the Company's troops by an army of which, on an average, only a fifth part was English, and the remaining four-fifths were drawn from the natives themselves Truly, therefore, could it be said that India was not all conquered by foreigners but by herself It may be that the natives of India thus helped the conquest of India to put an end to anarchy, as some allege, hy submitting themselves to a single government though it might go into the hands of foreigners. This view is supported by the author of The Passing of the Empire and he says "We are in India because the people had accepted us We conquered and have governed India by the consent of the people In fact, she conquered herself and gave herself to us We never had to fight peoples except in Upper Burma " Or it may he that the natives of India who fought on the side of the Company were after all mercinaries, the like of whom might be seen in any country in those times, but of whom India might have had a greater proportion than anywhereolse Europe itself was not without its contemporary parallel in this matter For, both Italy and Germany were conquered by Napoleon with the aid of mercmaries In fighting with Austria or Prussia, Napoleon had Baveria and Wurtemhurg for his allies The French under Dupleix saw on the death of Nizam ul-Muluk that it was a very good opportunity to profit by the quarrels of the native people, and with their interference in the war of Hydrahad succession, and from that time, really commences the whole history of the European Empire in India. The English troops were not in any sense superior to the native troops at the time and had it not been for the supply of mercenaries and the quarrels among the native potentates, England would not have been able to permanently occupy India British occupation of India was thus practically an occupation by acquiescence rather than by conquest Nor did England as a State make the acquisition of India, but the acquisition was simply thrust upon England As soon as the Company obtained the Diwam from the Mogul Emperor, the British Parliament stepned in at once to claim the territory as belonging, in point of sovereignty, to England. and the Company itself had no go but to meekly submit to this counter usurpation

4 Historians freely admit that the British occupation of India was almost a But the question whether India could be kept by England in a miraculous. e a non-rational manner, did engage the minds of British statesmen from the very beginning And it would be, in their opinion, tantamount to looking to heaven for a miracle again to expect that England would be able to retain India eternally except under a particular hypothesis which is certainly exclusive of the sword. It is idle to expect that the question of the future of India in the British hands, could have ever troubled men like Clive or Warren Hastings whose minds were engrossed by the sole purpose of going on making acquisitions in India. They were spoliators in a hurry, and had no leisure to stop even for a moment to consider how they should or could keep what they were acquiring They were themselves so deeply immersed in spoils that they could not, even if they wished, command the vantage ground of detachment from which they could calmly look at the British possessions in India The British statesmen in England, however, stood at an agreeable distance, and were able to observe the game of British occupation and appreciate its consequences better than the men who were themselves engaged in playing the game. Their doubts and misgivings are not so apparent in the discussions on the Regulating Act of 1773 which was an Act mainly directed towards the organisation of internal administration in India itself, but we see them troubling the minds of British . statesmen in the discussions on the Government of India Act of 1784, the purpose of which was to create an agency in England itself for controlling the Company's administration in India. By this Act the only thing practically left to the Company was the patronage of office under it, while the Company itself was placed in direct

and permanent subordination to a hody representing the British Government viz the Board of Control

- 5 As Pitt put it in his speech in support of his own Bill, "The prosperity and the strength of England were as much involved in the Government of India and also the constitution of England as the happiness of the natives of India stself ' "Nothing could be more difficult", he said, 'than to digest a plan which should at once confirm and enlarge the advantages derived by England from its connection with India to render that connection a blessing to native Indians and at the same time preserve inviolate the essence and spirit of our constitution from the injuries to which this connection might eventually expose it" The Ministers no doubt felt that they were bound by certain obligations to the Company arising out of the Royal Charter And they were loth to wantonly violate that Charter But they also felt that though charters like these were sacred things, they ought not to stand in the way of the general good and the safety of India. The first object of Pitt's Bill was to take care to prevent the Company's Government from being ambitious and bent on conquest 'Commerce was our object," he said, "and with a view to its extension a pacific system should prevail and a system of defence and conci-That was the key note of the British policy towards India as accepted by Parliament so early as 1784, and there is no reason to suppose that Parliament ever wished afterwards to change that policy for worse. For Pitts Act of 1784 substantially endured for three quarters of a century afterwards and the great change that was introduced into the system of Government of India in 1858, was professed not to impair but only accentuate and strengthen that policy
 - 6 It would be tedious to multiply quotations indicating the real Parlimentary Policy towards India from that time onwards But we may give a few which, like mile stones on the road, indicate the progress of that heneficent policy. Thus the Marquie of Hastings, writing in his private journal at a time when he was about to engage in a war with the Marathas, has observed as follows A time, not very remote will arrive when England will, on sound principles of policy wish to relinquich the domination which she has gradually and unintentionally assumed over this country (India) and from which, at present, she can not recede In that hour it would be the proudest hoast and most delightful reflection that she had used her sovereignty towards enlightening her temporary subjects so as to enable the native communities to wark glone in the paths of justice, and to maintain with prohity, towards their benefactors, that commercial intercourse in which we should then find a solid interest ' Sentiments like these were only gaining ground in course of time and by 1833 the policy so far nehulous and inchoate, had begun to crystallise Only this can account for the wonderful outburst of generous centiments which were evoked in England from all quarters when the Charter Act of 1833 was being discussed in Parliament By that Act the Company was given notice to wind un its husinese and the territorial possessione in India were declared to be held by the Company in trust for the Crown And further it was declared that, No Native of India should, by reason of his religion, place of hirth descent or colour, be disabled from holding any place office, or employment under the said Company" What the ultimate expectations were formed from a consistent and systematic pursuit of this policy would be seen, for example, from the following passage from Macaulay's speech in Parliament on that subject in 1833 "It may be that the public mind of India may expand under our system till it has outgrown that system, that by good government we may educate our subjects into a capacity for hetter government, that having become instructed in the European knowledge, they may in some future age demand European institutione Whether such a day will ever come I know not But never will I attempt to avert or retard at Whenever at comes, at will be the proudest day in English history To have found a great people sunk in the lowest depths of slavery and superstation, to have so ruled them as to have made them desirous and capable of all the privileges of citizens, would indeed be a title to glory all our own The sceptre may pass away from us. Unforeseen accidents may derange our most profound schemes of policy Victory may be inconstant to our arms. But there are triumphe which are followed by no reverses.

There is an empire exempt from all natural causes of decay

These triumphs are
the pacific fruinghs of reason over barbarism; that empire is the imperishable
empire of our arts and our morals, our literature and 2 ur law

7 The negative character of the Charter Act of 1833 was not of course calculated by its own force to bring about the realisation of ideals like those depicted in the above extract. In fact, we shall have presently to show how the Charter Act remained practically a dead letter for a number of years afterwards, owing to certain patent defects in the machinery of administration which was entrusted with the operation of that statute But it is enough for our present purpose to show the glorious background of heneficent intentions upon which the statute was written Onwards we go, and yet there is not only no deflection, from these intentions, but we see them further clinched and emphasised by the time we come to the Charter Act of 1853, by which Parliament was declared to have power to legislate for India and to approve or disapprove the Company's laws which were to be thereafter placed hefore Parliament During the Parliamentary discussion on this Act, the question of the employment of natives in the Company's service was dehated upon, and we meet with no important declarations about the ultimate ideal of British Govern ment of India. Then we come to 1858, and speaking of that time the policy of Government must be assumed to be that which is found in the words of the Queen's Proclamation itself rather than in the speeches of Parliamentary members who took part in the debate on the Government of India Act of 1858 The difference is almost obvious to the reader who reads both these speeches and the Proclamation, to gether For, while in the speeches we find only words of legal acumen straining at dry accuracy or perspiculty of language as to the detailed provisions relating to the hody of the new machine of edministration designed by the Act, in the Proclama-. tion we find the real soul and the spirit of a generous sovereign policy bubbling out in words which apparently struggle not for preciseness or exactitude but for the expression of sentiments to which words alone could never do sufficient justice

8 By the Proclamation, the Sovereign accepted all legal and moral obligetions created by the Company and gave a promise of a scrupulous fulfilment of the same In the Proclamation, again, we find the same secret self introspection and self censure over conduct in the past, which could not prevent the Company from making territorial acquisitions in India which certain antecedent statutes de clared to be repugnant to the intentions of the Sovereign and Parliament. For we find the Sovereign saying, "We desire no extension of our present territorial pos-We shall sanction no encroachment on those of others" The native Princes who, next to the British Government, are the pillars of the country, had suffered by unjust annexations and various kinds of ill-treatment, and though the Act of 1858, has not a word in it about these, the Proclamation declares for the Sovereign that, "We shall respect the rights, dignity, and honour of Native Princes as our own" Next with regard to the general population of the Indian subjects, the Proclamation makes an important extra statutory declaration when it says, "We hold ourselves bound to the natives in our Indian territories by the same obligations and duty which hind us to all our other subjects" The Proclamation practically propounces a Royal censure upon those who had prevented the Charter Act of 1833 from having a free and impartial operation in respect of the employment of the natives in high posts of service The Statute declares that the Sovereign will play due regard to the ancient rights, usages and enstoms of India, and lastly the Procla mation promises that India would be administered for the benefit of all the subjects resident therein And fearing that these detailed promises and assurances might have left some loop-hole for the good intentions of the Sovereign remaining unfulfilled the Proclamation handsomely winds up by a general declaration of good intentions which, while filling every latent or patent crevice or cavity of policy, crowns the hody of antecedent declarations with these words, "In their prospenty will be our strength, in their contentment our security, and in their gratitude our host reward." Nor should we in this connection pass over Queen Victoria's letter to the Earl of Derhy in which the gracious Sovereign generously tries to transcend even her own Proclamation and to huttress it hy a further enunciation of the object of the Proclamation viz., an expression of feelings of generosity and henevolence, pointing

out the privileges which the Indians will receive in heing placed on an equality with the subjects of the British Crown. The Act of 1888, hacked by the Proclamation, was considered by Lord Palmerston to be us much a source of increasition, was considered by Lord Palmerston to be us much a source of increasition, the considered by Lord Palmerston to be used to the Grownment as if a large reinforcement of troops had been permanently secured, and by the people of India, as if they had chitained a Parliament for themselves to protect their rights and privileges as British citizens

- 9 The Indian Councils Acts of 1861, 1859, 1852 and 1909 may be passed over in the present connection, because neither in their provisions, nor in the speeches of British statemen during the discussions on Bills leading to those Acts, do we find any declaration of policy transcending those contained in the Proclamation. These Acts have certainly at importance of their own in another respect, but we shall consider them when we come to the discussion of the Legislative Councils in India. We may in this placedismiss them with the remark that so far as the intentions of the Sovereign or the British Parliment are concerned, these Acts do not carry us much farther than the Proclamation of 1858, but bring a supplementary constitutional hody of Government into existence to carry out a part of those Intentions.
- 13 The Proclamation of 1877 related only to the change effected in the style and the titles of the Sovereign, by the addition of the words 'Empress of India' The change in title was, however, not to apply to Charters, Commissions, Letters Patent, Grants or Appointments, and other like instruments, not extending their operation beyond the United Kingdom The change was not without its political significance an far as the Indian people were concerned. And though Mr Disraeli pretended in the House of Commons that the change in title was made at the desire of the Indian Princes and people, that was not a fact. The change had a deeper, and we must any, also a sinister meaning. The title 'Empress' obviously meant more than Outen. It was represented that while the colonials, being a fluctuating population. and having an opportunity of plighting their troth personally to the Sovereign, were content with the title 'Queen,' the Indian subjects had no such opportunity and they felt they would be clevated in dignity by being ruled over by an Empress rather than a British Queen. But all this was moon-shine Mr Gledstone pointed this out in the course of his speech upon the Royal Titles Bill. "If it he true, and it is true, that we notern India without the restraints of law except such laws as we make purselves, if it be true, and it is true, that we have not been able to give to India the benefits and blessing of free institutions. I leave it to the Right Hon gentleman (Mr Disraell) by this Bill to boast that he is about to place the fact solemnly on record by the assumption of the title of the Empress. I, forone, will not attempt to turn into slory that which, so far as it is true, I feel to be our weakness, and our cslammy" Mr Gladstone further pointed out that to that day there were Princes and States in India over which they had naver assumed dominion, whatever may have been their superiority of strength. "We are now," he said "going by Act of Parliament to assume that dominior, the possible consequences of which no man can foreeec And when the Reight Hon gentlemen tells us the Princes of India desire this change to be made, does he really mean to assure ue that this is the case? If eo I require distinct evidence of the fact. There are Princes of India who, no doubt, have hitherto empoyed no r ore than a theoretical political supremacy, but do they desire to surrender even that under the provisions of this Bill? If there is a political change effected in the condition of the native Princes of India, I do not healtate to say, I do not think it would be possible, to offer too determined an opposition to the proposal of the appenment." The Hight Hon Mr. Low pointed out that "as the ration improved, and liberty increased, they in Fugiand fell tack fr m the title of the Emperor to the good old title of the Kirg titie of Klug deno es the subservience of the ruler to law, that of himperor denotes that the rulers will is sufreme above all. An Emperor was one who had gaine this p wer by the sword a-d he'd it by the sword" in Mr Low's opinion the new mile made a marked distinction between Lingland and India by giving to the S version of he land a title which implied eledience to law, and to the Service of India a title which I uplied the surremacy of the eword. hir George

Campbell, a famous Indian Administrator, who was then in Parliament, pointed out "that the new title was inconsistent with the control of Parliament over the Government of India and could not come within the four corners of the constitution of the realm" Sir Edward Colehroole feared that the new title meant an assumption of extra power or authority over India, and, if that was what was really meant, they should have the courage to declare that it was so But the whole was a mean plot concocted between Mr Disraeli and Lord Lytton, and, when called upon to produce the papers on the authority of which it was said that the change in the title was desired by the Indian people themselves, Mr Disraeli refused to place the papers before the House on the usual pretext that it would be contrary to the public policy to do so It will be seen from this that the Royal Proclamation of 1877 while it could not possibly carry the noble purpose of the Proclamation of 1858 farther in any way, successfully carried out an attempt to defeat the purpose of the earlier Proclamation in at least two respects viz. (1) the relations with the native Princes of India and (2) the status of the ordinary Indian people as subjects declared, by the earlier Proclamation, to have been given the same status, as in other parts of the Empire And this left handed stroke of policy came within 18 years of the promulgation of the great Proclamation!

*II The next Proclamation was that issued by King Edward VII, on 2nd November 1908. It was really more like a speech from the throne at one of the openings of the sessions of Parliament, and, while being far from inspiring in spirit, was melanchely and apologetic in tone. Reviewing the progress of the Proclamation of 1838, this new Proclamation could only say that the journey of the new era was arduous, and the advance may have sometimes seemed slow, but that the incorporation of the nationalities was proceeding steadfastly and without pause. If errors had occured the agents of Government had spared no pains and no self-sacrifice to correct them. The obliteration of distinctions of race was being slowly carried out, and it was time that the principle of representative institutions, which had begun to be gradually introduced, should be prudently extended. The politic satisfaction of such a claim would it was said, strengthen and not impair existing authority and power.

12 Reviewing thus the political progress of the Indian people under the British Government four land marks stand out conspicuously The Proclamation of 1858 marks the point of culmination of the good intentions of the British Government, and the Proclamation of 1917, coming nearly 60 years after the first, gives a definite shape to them and marks a definite ideal so far as the practical operation of these intentions is concerned On the other hand, while the Charter Act of 1833 removed all disqualification from the natives of India for holding any posts under the State and thus participate as officials, in a practical way in the operation of the administrative machine, the Councils Act of 1892 marks the beginning of the policy of giving actually representative institutions to India enabling them to participate in the government of the country in an unofficial capacity But after all, a mere enunciation of intentions or ideals does not, by itself, go far enough These good intentions were heing expressed, though in a more or less complete fashion, even in the times of the Company's administration, but we have seen that the results of the Company's rule only proved the truth of the somewhat nasty paradox that the " way to hell is payed with good intention" The Government of India Act of 1858 was based on the obvious admission that the indirect rule through the E. I. Comyany had proved a failure But a more pertinent question may be asked, has the direct rule itself succeeded? In the days of the Company, Parliamentary control over Indian administration could only be indirectly enforced. Since 1858, this excuse of an indirect operation has disappeared. But has the direct operation been an unquestionable success so as to give full effect to the declared intentions?

13 The sum and substance of all Royal Poclamations and less formal pronouncements of the policy of the British Government towords India is that the Indian people are to be given a status of British citizens like that enjoyed by the British subjects in Great Britain or the Colonies and Deminions We shall, there fore, proceed to consider what is the true nature and scope of this status of British

citizenship, the extent to which the Indian people have so far actually realised it, and what further steps are necessary to enable them to realise it to the fullest extent

- 13 Citizenship is a bundle of rights The idea of citizcnship is generally supposed to include the following —(I)personal interty,(2)personal security, (3) right to hold survate property, (4) equality hefore law, (5) liberty of conscience, (6) freedom of opinion and speech, (7) right of assembly, (8) right of representation in the country's government, and (9) right to hold office under the State Out of these constituents reales of citizenship may be formed the one a lower, and the other a higher scale The Ower scale may be ead to include I. 2. 3, and 5, and the higher scale the remaining 'The Indian people practically enjoy at present all the constituents in the first scale almost to the fullest extent. But that does not carry things very far after all. It only means that they have emerged out of the stage of slavery, or, that the British rulers are not animated by the spirit of the Spanish Inquisition In fact the Indian people practically emoyed these benefits since they first came under the British ruler, et he rule of the East India Company
- 15 Speaking of the British rule in India we can say that we do enjoy (1) personal liberty We are notriable to be arrested or imprisoned by the Government except in accordance with the law No doubt certain regulations are still in force by which a man may be arrested, and kept in confinement without trial, upon a warrant granted under the order of the highest executive authority in the province. But these regulations are very seldom used, end what is done under them is, at any rate, professed to be under law These regulations, like the power vested in the Governor General of India to issue ordinances tenable for a period of six months without the consent of the Legislative Council. strongly sayour of despotism and are unpleasant reminders of a state of things which has nearly passed away But their use is very rare and under extremely extraordinary circumstances, and may therefore be left out of consideration We enjoy (2) personal security in the sense that we have a right to be protected by the State against harm threatened or actually done to us by another. And in this respect we may note that the law in India does not exempt anyone, howsoever exalted by office or social position, from liability to legal penalty for infringing the lawful rights of a subject. The liability is there, it may be enforced upon the wrong-doer blmself individually or upon the State, if the wrong-doer happens to he a servant or agent of the State and could claim to have acted in his capacity as such servant or agent We enjoy (3) the right of private property and, only under severe limitations and under the operation of law, can we be dispossessed of property, but not without compensation under the operation of laws like the Land Acquisition Act, or without compensation as in the case of penal forfeiture under the operation of the Penal Code etc As regards (5) liberty of conscience, we have it to the fullest extent under British rule, and neutrality in religious matters has been happily a cardinal principle of the British Government since the beginning No doubt there is provision made in the Government Budget for the maintenance of a Church Establishment, and in this sense, and in this sense only, can the State in India he said to have an official Church The Ecclesiastical establishment of Government dates from the early times when Englishmen first came out to India, and is regulated rather by English statutes than Indian law. It has been all along a matter within the prerogative of the Crown as exercised through the Secretary of State by Letters Patent The establishment was first created for the religious wellbeing of Englishmen in India with particular reference to the provision for their baptism. marriage, divorce, etc But there have never been any official or state aided attempts for the spread of Christianity in India except such as may be traceable to the generally partial treatment given to Christian Missionaries in India who, alone, rather than the official Church establishment, have been responsible for religious propagandism conducted more often under cover of education and medical aid given to the natives of India But we must remember on the other hand that the Government also has itself made new, or continued old, grants of the alienation of revenue for Hindu Devasthans and Mahomedan Mosques and such like netive religious institutions

16 This practically exhausts the categories in the first or the lower scale of the liherties of citizenship which we have all along enjoyed under the British rule Coming now to the second or the higher scale of liberties, we find that we enjoy them to a smaller degree, and under very great limitations Now (4) equality before law is an essential right of citizenship, and in this respect, as between natives and natives. we enjoy that equality to the fullest extent But when we come to Europeans in India, we at once find that, both in point of law, and in point of the operation of law, there is a difference between them and the natives of India The superfor right of the European British subject in India, to he tried by a jury of the mon of his own race, is not available to the natives except in a very limited measure, and the policy of the executive Government has always been to extend that right to the natives very slowly and also very gradgingly Then again, there is a difference between the natives and Englishmen in India, in respect of the tribunals which may try them in certain matters The most exalted native may be tried by the meanest European magistrate, but the meanest European may refuse to be tried, in certain cases, by the most exalted native The same distinction is to be met with in respect of the treatment of Europeans and natives in a jail Barring this, there may be said to be equality of persons in India before the Law

As regards (6) the freedom of opinion, speech and writing-has been a matter of a comparatively recent growth There was no liberty of press in India till 1834 And it has been from time to time subjected to restrictive legislation. The Vernaoular Press Act of Lord Lytton was n frankly discriminating measure of law which, though not in terms, yet in ohvious effect, had deprived the natives of India of a valuable civic right which was ullowed to be emoyed by the Europeans in India That Act was abolished long ago but it has been substituted, very recently, by the Press Act of 1910 which is mainly, if not uniformly, directed in its operation against native editors. European or Anglo-Indian editors and publishers have snioyed a practical immunity from the operation of the Act, though they have often grossly violated it Another measure of law, affecting the liberty of speech and writing is in the Penal Code specially sections 124 A and 153 A. These sections do not differentiate in terms between natives and Europeans, but the operation of this legal lever rests with the Executive Government and it is in practice so operated as to check the liberty only of the natives. Often have questions been asked in the Legislative Councils why particular European or Anglo Indian journals manifestly offending against the letter or the spirit of these sections, have not been prosecuted , but Government have always relied on their prerogative in this matter and refused heing drawn into setting the law in motion against them. The Newspapers (Incitement to Offences) Act of 1908 the Criminal Law Amendment Act of 1908. again, are illustrations of a policy of repression which has resulted in a material narrowing of this particular Item of civic liberty of the Indian people

18 As for (7) the right of assembly, the law governing it is contained in section 147 of the Indian Penal Code which enables Government to treat a meeting of more than five persons as an unlawful assembly, if they can show however distantly, that the object of the meeting was to commit ar instigate some offence under the Penal But not content with this general provision Government have added to the statue book the Seditious Meetings Act of 1908, by which a meeting of more than 20 persons of convened without notice is liable to he dispersed, under certain conditions, by an order of the Police The Act was at first offered as a temporary measure but it has since then permanently remained on the statue book. Even the most moderate men like the Hon Mr Gokbale and the Hon Dr Rash Behari Ghose opposed this messure as being dangerous and uncalled for But their protest was not heeded by those who were bent upon passing it. The Act has indeed been sparingly used, but the executive Government has added permanently to its armoury a repressive weapon which an irresponsible police end magistracy may, at any time. very drastically use against popular liberty masmuch as it can be very lightly set in motion

19 And lastly we come to the ramsning two and the most important categories in the higher scale of the civic liberties of the people in India viz. (8) the right to be 13 represented in the government of the country ${f and}$ (9) the right to hold office under the State The history of both these rights is disappointing and saddening in all conscience, and we propose to dwell on the same at some length hecause, with the realisation of these two rights is associated the realisation of the national aspirations of the people in this, as in any other, country

- 20 And first with regard to (9)the right of the Indian people to hold office under The State Till the year 1833, that is to say, for nearly a hundred years since the advent of the British Government in certain parts of India, Indians were as a rule excluded from all posts of service No doubt, clerks and darogas drawing perhaps a pay of 25 rupees were drawn from the indigenous population in the administrative branch of the service So also were there in the judicial line native Munsiffs who could try petty civil cases . They had no pay but were paid small commissions on the value of the suits tried by them The work of administration was increasing every day, and as no Europeans were found willing to do the clerical work on a small pay the wheels of Government soon became clogged, more than half the business of the country remained unperformed, and at last it became necessary for Government to abandon the plan and policy of excluding natives from the service which had after a fair trial, completely broken down As Sir John Shore has put it, "All natives of respectability having been excluded, and an inferior class of people only being employed on salaries totally inadequate to support a decent subsistence, incapacity and roguery on their part was the natural consequence The natives were, therefore, declared to be unfit to he appointed to any situations of trust, and it was decided to appoint European agency in their place The Europeans proved equally corrupt, though hetter paid, but the Court of Directors always wished to provide for as many as possible of their friends and relations, and there was, again, the vanity of the English which led them to imagine themselves so infinitely superior to the The result was that at one fell swoop wers annihilated the institutions under which India had existed for ages, and under the pretence of ameliorating the condition of the people, and of protecting the poor and the weak from the oppressions of the rich and the powerful, the Company established the system of administration by which districts as large as Yorkshire or Wales and containing a copulation more than double that of Scotland were delivered over to the government of mexperienced young men strangers from a distant land and ignorant of the language and custome of the people they were to rule, while the object had been constantly and systematically to extor* as much as possible from those subject to them "
 - 21 But such a state of things could not indefinitely go on without a reaction. and Government at length saw the necessity of selecting some of the respectable portion of the untive community to posts of service Eventually the Court of Directors saw their mistake The Charter Act of 1833 was passed declaring that no native was disqualified as a native from holding any post under Government, and the operation of the Act fortunately fell to such a liberal minded Vicercy as Lord William Bentinck The sentiments contained in the dispatch by the State Secretary, accompanying the text of the Charter Act of 1833 were generous and if they had heen followed in practice, all the grievances in the matter of the employment of natives in service should have disappeared long ago But the Government of India admitted these sentiments to only a grudging operation The pledge of equal treatment to Englishmen and natives of India in the Charter Act of 1833 was given hy Parliament without any agitation therefor in India, and of its own free will, when western education was not generally introduced into India. But the pledge remains unrodeemed to this day, even after western education has made rapid strides, and as much material as may be required for completely manning even the highest services canhe easily available among the natives. In 1854, the old Harleybury Collego was abolished, and the system of open competition was infreduced The measure was intended more for the whole class of aspirants for service in India among the English people than for the Indians themselves For if it had not been so, Government would have made some suitable arrangement for recruiting the service in India itself As it was, the services were thrown open to competition but as the competitive examinations were to he held only in England, the

competition was practically thrown open only to Englishmen in England In 1860, a Committee, appointed by the State Secretary, reported that, if justice was to be done to the claims of Indiaas, simultaneous examinations should be held in England and India, as being the fairest method, and most in accordance with the principles of a general competition for a common object." But nothing came out of this

22 We in India can not be blind to the fact that since the time Englishmea in England realised that they had irrevocably committed themselves to the government ment of India, they made great and earnest efforts to purify and improve the admi-But we cannot also forget that the main trend of this improvement was directed towards breaking the menopoly of the East India Company is respect of the various benefits which were already obviously accruing, and which were sure to accrue in future, from the possession of India Englishmen soon made up their minds that Iadia was not to be colonised by Eaglish settlers. There were many reasons for such a conclusion. She was already thickly populated and there was not much virgia soil for cultivation. The climate of India was hot and unsuitable for a race acclimatised to the temperate zone in Europe. It was highly inconvenient to Englishmen to remain in perpetual contact with races like the Hindoos and Their extermination was impossible as was done of the natives of America or Australia but fusion with them was equally unthinkable quality of their civilisation would not be denied, and yet it was a civilisation not quite to the tasto of Englishmen And lastly there was the danger that if Englishmen settled in India they might set up an independent colony, following the example of the Americans

23 But oven if India was not wanted for coloniaation she was wanted for trade and the employment for Eagland a boya The East India Company was menopolising both, but the nation at large felt pealous. And the restrictions put upon the Company are directly traceable to this scalousy. It was not that the Company was favouring Indian interests to the detriment of English interests. But the emoluments of both trade and office went to enrich particular classes of people and were not available to the nation at large If the territories acquired by the Company could be claimed for the aation, why not the benefits as well of trade and employment? The proprictors or shareholders of the Company were more than componsated for their initiative and enterprise by the fortunes they had already made-And as the company was sadehted to the Royal prerogative for all their possession of actual and potential wealth it was thought hut right that the Royal prerogative should benefit all the classes to the nation equally It was evidently this trac of thinking that lad to the abolition of the Company's trade menopoly and it was also this same line of thinking that led to the establishment of a competitive Civil Service for India. Neither of these measures, it must be clearly perceived, was inspired by any thought for the sole henefit of the Indian people The establishment of the Civil Service was no more intended to give employment to the Indian boys, than the abolition of the trade monopoly to lead to the foundation of Indian firms for export and import. The creation of the Civil Service is according to Graham Wallas, the one great political invention in the nineteenth century in England But as the same writer points out, the invention was due to the anxiety of the Eaglish nation should the patronage of office In his Bill relating to the Government of India, Fox proposed to make Indian appointments part of the ordinary system of Parliamentary natronage But the expedient of administering an oath to the Company a Directors. that they would make their appointments honestly, proved to be useless and Fox's Bill left the main evil of patronage untouched The report of Sir Charles Trevelyan. who was the chief promoter of the competitive Civil Service, shows that the patronage Secretary of the Treasury distributed appointments in the Civil Service among those members of Parliament whose votes were to be influenced or rewarded. The deprivation of Parliament of the patronage was afterwards a part of the struggle which the Liberals as a political party carried to triumph against the Tory ministers who used patronage for corrupting Parliament The old governing classes felt that the patronage which they could not retain in their hands, after Lord Derby's Reform Act of 1867 had removed the centrol of the House of Commons from the

Borough voters to the working men, would be safer in the hands of an independent Civil Service Commission. The establishment of the Civil Service was thus made not because India should be ruled by helter men who could understand the good of India better, or because Indians should get an entrance into the service, but hecause the monopoly of patronage should be abolished for the henefit of Englishmen at large II the measure had anything to do with Indian interests, steps would have been taken to organise in competitive examination in India simultaneously with an examination in Englind. The establishment of a competitive Civil Service examination put an end to the impudent plea of the East India Company that all Englishmen, who did not pass into India through its own portals of patronaga, were interloper's. But what of the fact that the system of the Civil Service is evan now so designed that under it the Indians themselves are no better than interlopers in their own lond, and they must qualify themselves for entering into the service of their own country through an examination set up under peculiarly difficults conditions in a country 6000 miles myn?

24 But, to our subject now In 1868, Sir Stafford North cote declared that the main difficulty was how to carry out the pledge of 1833, -as if the pledges were really impracticable The men required for the services were to be not only the cleverest, but must, it was contended, have many other qualifications as well that natives did not possess these it was not shown qualifications of hirth, wealth or education. In 1870, the Duke of Argyl admitted that he always felt that the regulations, laid down for the competitive examinations, rendered nugatory the declaration of the Act of 1833 The Government of India being unwilling to put the statute of 1970 into operation took nino long years to frame workable rules under it' And it was with reference to this that Lord Lytton himself observed, "I do not hesitate to say that both the Government of England and of India appear to me upto the present moment unable to answer " satisfactorily the charge of having taken up every means in their power of broaking to the heart the words of promise they had uttered to the car In 1878, the Government of India formed the Statutory Civil Service which however, failed to give satisfaction, as more importance was attached, in the recruitment to this service, to birth and social position than to intellectual equipment. In 1886 with a determination to give finality to this vexed question, and to devise a scheme which may reasonably be hoped to do full justice to the claims of natives of India to higher posts in the services, n Public Services Commission was appointed. Their labours produced nothing better than the Provincial Services scheme -a scheme which completely established a colour bar in effect and invested the Provincial Service with the ignomialous character of a Parinh Service. This scheme of 1886, made the position of the Indians worse than that under the statutory service scheme. On the other hand, Government practically disregarded the recommendations of the Commission and whittled them down both in quantity and quality The attempt of the Government had all along been to reverse n class of posts for a class of men. and though there was herein no retorence in terms to the racial distinction between Englishmen and Indians the tortuous operation of this ingenious arrangement practically nulified the spirit of the declarations of the Statute of 1833 And regarding this, Mr Dadahbal Naoron has sald-"For the first time in the history of India it has become a political disqualification to be an Indian. In all offices of State, the direction and control of armles, the administration of revenues, of divisions of districts the column of money, the Indians are excluded from higher posts."

25 In 1893, the House of Commons passed a resolution in favour of the simultaneous Civil Service examinations. The next year, the Secretary of State for India promised that he would carry out the resolution, but nothing came out of the promise In 1904, Lord Curron, instead of widening the scope for the employment of the Indians in the public service, only sought to justify the narrow sphere to which they were condemned Whereas Lord Curron claimed that Indians had been treated with a liberality unexampled in the history of the world, the Hon. Mr Gokhals demuastrated in his reply to his Lordship by figures, that the Indians

were practically nowhere in the higher services, and that never before, in the long and chequered history of India, was Indian talent so largely divorced from the controlling centres of authority, and urged a further inquiry into the matter Lord Cromer described it thus. "The position of India at the present time is almost unique. It is, so for as I know, the only important country in the world where education has considerably advanced, which is governed in all esential particulers, by non resident foreigners. It is also the only country where the Civil Service in all its higher administrative branches is in the hands of the sliens, appointed by a foreign country, under stringent educational tests." During the 25 years, since the Public Services Commission of 1886 was appointed. the position of Indians in certain branches of service was rendered even worse than before Regarding this, Mr Cotton has said in his Colonies and Dependencies that "According to constitutional theory, there is no reason why a Hindu or a Mahomedan should not be made a Viceroy, or why white troops should not be commanded by black officers. As a matter of fact, the proportion of natives who enter the covenanted Civil Service now is less than it was a few years ago, not more than two or three natives have as yet risen to the rank of collector, and the native army is entirely officered in its higher ranks by Englishmen Practice is stronger The personnel of the government remains as predominantly English as it was under Lord Dalhousie. In some respects it may be said to have become more English as it has become mere bureaucratio"

26 And then we had the Public Services Commission of 1912 The judgment passed by the Indian public upon the report of this Commission is too fresh to be We shell quote here an extract, summersing the present position. stated at length from the dissenting minute of one member of the Commission, viz the Hon Sir M B 'Out of 11,064 number of posts 6491 or 58 per cent. are held by the members of the Anglo-Indian community who stand to the total Indian population as 1 to 3000 in number and 1 to 13 in literacy As regards posts of Rs 500 and above, out of a total of 4,984 posts they hold 40422 e 81 per cent and as regards posts of Rs 800 and above, out of a total of 2501 they hold 2259 or 90 per cent posts further says that, "the too limited employment of Indians in the higher service is one of the main causes of the discontent and unrest which has recently become so marked among the educated classes shout which so much has been heard and The young man of the present day took the blessings of British Rule as his hirthright he hegen to study eagerly the history and literature of free and advanced western countries and hiographies of great men, and how they struggled for freedom and liberty and he begen to contrast their state with his own helpless dependence A vague discontent took possession of his mind and he fancied that his progress in every direction was hampered. The phenomenon of practically all the higher offices in the State being monopolised by the foreigner and the European loomed large in his view The question, therefore, of the proportions in which indigenous agency is to be utilised in the near future, in the higher service of the State, is of vital importance These proportions must be such as will, cumulatively throughout the services help to create the feeling that we Indians are in a substantial degree carrying on the government of the country. At present the Indians are far and few, and every Indian officer whether high or low feels that he is not serving himself for his country-but is an individual hired to labour for somebody else He can rarely put his whole heart into the work because he is always conscions of the presence of his taskmaster and never works but with his eyes upon his superior officer and always thinking of what he will say of the work turned out by him To dispel this feeling, there must, in the higher service in all departments of the administration, be present a large number of Indians So that they may collectively feel that the responsibility for a strong and wise government of the people rests mainly on them A much more sympathetic treatment by, and a far more liberal association with, Englishmen is required before that sense of subjection is appreciably reduced, and before the desired sentiment of a common citizenship is created" Otherwise as Mr J E S Cotton remarks ' The Indians will never helieve at heart in our good intentions so long as we keep all the good berths to ourselves A more genuine obstacle to innovation is presented by the circumstances of the Civil Service They possess a monopoly of all the most valuable appointments Yet, after all, the Civil Service satisf for India, not India for the Civil Service. The admission of natives without competition to certain grades of subordinate office is an idle device so long as the phalanx of the Covennted Service remains unbroken Graining that some degree of European control will be necessary for years to come the Indians may fairly claim to be intrusted at once with a share of the higher posts—executive as well as judicial Considerations of economy here coincide with the demands of justice. The same author further says 'Countries have often ere now been conquered and obeyed their conquerers but the rule often also huveaveray is an attempt foredoomed to failure

Together with our own language we have taught them the lessons of industrial prosperity and of constitutional freedom. By so doing we have indirectly, but not less surely sapped the foundations of our own supremacy. A stationary India, governed by Anglo Indians might conceivably remain stable. A progressive India, with rulers selected by competitive, examination from English and natives indiscriminately has entered upon an era of change the end of which none can foresee

27 And lastly we come to the most important category of the rights of citizen ship viz the right to be represented in the government of the country. The claim of the Indian people for this representation rests on even a much more solid foundation of reason than their claim to hold the highest posts of honour, responsibility and molument under the Government. For even supposing that the fullest effect was given by the Government to the scattiments contained in the Charter Act of 1833 and the Preclamation of 1858, as regards the employment of natives in the services the thing by itself does not go far enough. It is of course important that the Indians should themselves carry on the executive Government of their country by holding the highest posts under it, but it is far more important that the Indian psople as a whole should have a prepandatraing share not only in framing the laws by which the country is to be governed but also in enforcing responsibility for carrying out those laws in a proper manner and in a faithful spirit upon the execu tive agency entrusted with the administration of those laws. The legislative authority which gives sanction to the oxocutive government is of far greater consequence than executive power The substitution of the native for the foreign agency in the executive service would no doubt serve to diminish the present colossal cost of the official establishments, but it would not affect the despotle character of the government it would not make the government of the country a refliction of the ideas of the people if there were no representative institutions in which the freely elected representatives of the people have an opportunity to express the views of the people and have the power not only to sanction laws according to the wishes of the majority but also the power to offectively control the working of the executive muchinery In the pre-British days the entire official establish ments were manned by the natives and yet the form and also the spirit of govern ment was largely despoted in the sense that the will of the people as a whole had neither voice nor power. The despotism was no doubt tempered by the fact that the services were recruited from indizenous people and also by the existence of such institutions as the village communities but such influence and reflection oothe popular will as could be so secured was only indirect and attenuated. With the advent of western odu ation under British rule the Indian people have learnt that to be represented in the councils of government in their own right is a much higher aspiration than merely to hold posts of service under government and the decised policy of the British Government have taught the Indian people to expect that this higher aspiration should be duly realised. More than 20 years have elapsed since Lord Cromer said the same thing It is only what ought to be expected by every thoughtful man, that after fifty years of free press and thirty years of extanding education, with European ideas flowing into the country on every side, and old indisenous customs habits and prejudices breaking down thanges should be taking place in the thoughts, the desires the aims of the intelligent and educate i men of the country which no wise and cautious governmeni can afford to disregard and to which they must gradually adapt their system of administration if they do not wish to see it shattered by forces which they have themselves called into being, but which they have failed to guide and control." Indians are conscious that the Sovereign and the British Parliament have piedged themselves to make the Indian people cutizens of the British Empire in the highest sense, and they now naturally demand that they should be enabled to realise the first and most essential right of citizenship viz. the right to govern themselves through their own elected representatives

28 Let us therefore, see how far this aspiration of theirs has been realised. We need not concern ourselves with the history of the gradual development of the Legislatures in India before the Charter Act of 1833 We shall take up the theme only at this point, because that Charter for the first time expressly removed the disqualification, under which the Indian people had laboured for participating in the government of the country The Legislative Council of the Governor General of India was first constituted in the year 1853, when a fourth or a legislative member of the Council was placed on the same footing with the older or ordinary members of the Council, and further the Council was enlarged for legislative nurnoses by the addition of two judges of the High Court of Bengal and four nominated official representatives (paid) from Bengal Madras. Bombay and the N W P Governments The sittings of the Legislative Council were now made public for the first time and their proceedings began to be officially published The Council was remodelled in 1861 and its powers were extended. By this Act a fifth ordinary official member was added to the Council and provision was also made for the nomination of additional members from 6 to 12 in number, of whom not less than one half were to be non officials, that is to say, persons not in the civil or military service of the Crown But it is to be remarked that as soon as the non official element was allowed to enter the Legislative Council, the power of interpellation was taken away from the Council members In 1853 the procedure of the Indian Legislative Council was modelled on the procedure of Parliament, and the Executive Government had found within the course of the eight intervening years that even official members, who did not themselves form part of the executive government, had effectively used the power of asking questions. An inconvenient degree of independence and inquiry had been shown by them hy asking questions as to, and discussing the propriety of, measures of the Executive Government Simultaneously, therefore, with the expansion of the Council measures were taken by the Government to restrict the scope of the additional members and the functions of the new Legislative Council were strictly limited to Logislation, it being expressly forhidden to transact any other business except the consideration and enactment of legislatue measures, or to entertain any motion except a motion for leave to introduce a Bill or having reference to a Bill actually introduced.

29 The history of the Provincial Legislative Councils is also very similar. These Councils originally only co extended with Executive Councils, consisting of a governor and some ordinary members, and they had the power to frame and enact laws te Regulations for their respective Provinces Their powers of legislation were withdrawn in 1833 the Governor General's Legislative Conneil being authorised exclusively to make or approve Regulations for the whole of India, but only, so far as would not touch the Crown prerogative or violate the Charter Act of 1833. The Act of 1861 restored the legislative powers to the Provincial Governments but required them to be exercised through the Provincial Legislative Councils under the same restrictions as the Indian Legislative Council Under the powers conferred by the Act of 1861, the Executive Governments sometimes nominated very able Indians to the Councils, but sometimes also they nominated men of merely high social position who had no acquaintance with the principles of legislation or government, or had a very slight acquaintance with the English language itself, or generally men who learned to look up to the authorities in a spirit of toadyism, or slavish sycophancy and whose husiness in the Council consisted of mainly saying ditto to whatever the authorities said, and of singing their praises like courtly flatterers. The Legislative Councils were thus, inspite of their numerical expansion, mere ornamental bodies which simply registered the decrees of the

Executive Government. No doubt the abler non-official members of the Council made their presence felt by well-reasoned and informed criticism of legislative measures, and were perhaps instenced to with respect. But neither their voice nor their vote had any the slightest effect by way of deflecting the policy of the Executive Governments in the minutest degree from their set purpose

30 This, however, was a mainfestly scandalous state of things, and especially so when education had made rapid progress, journalism had taken root in the country, public and political associations were formed by men of light and leading, and public affairs were publicly discussed both in the press and on the platform in a manner which showed that a race of critics had come into existence who could hold their own against the officials, both in point of the grasp of principles and details underlying questions of public weal and importance The Indian National Congress was founded in 1885, and one of the first questions which that hody took into its hand was the question of the reform of the Legislative Councils in India It was contended by the Congress that a considerable number of people had come into existence in India who were fit enough to be given the franchise for electing members to the Legislative Councils, and that time had, therefore, come for putting the Councils on a fairly elective hasis In 1883 the Congress drafted a scheme for the reform of the Legislative Councils which was affirmed by the Congress in 1889 and formed the hasis of a Bill which the late Mr Charles Bradlaugh introduced into Parliament, known as 'an Act to amend the India Councils Act of 1864 ' This Bill had the effect 'as the late Sir P M Mehta put it, " of dispelling the fit of profound cogitation in which gentlemen at the head of indian affairs had lost themselves, and from which they could not spontaneously recover" Mr Bradlaugh's Bill could not, of course, be carried through, but it compelled Government to frame a Bill of their own. Thie was Lord Cross's Bill of 1892 which was introduced into the House of Lords and was pilotted through by Mr G N Curzon in the Commons The Bill was intended to widen the basis and expand the functions of the Government of India and to give further opportunities to the non official and native element in Indian society to take part in the work of government and in that way to lend official recognition to that remarkable development both in political interest and capacity which had been visible among the higher classes of Indian society since the government was taken over hy the Crown in 1828 The Bill was intended, according to Lord Landsdowne, to secure a satisfactory advance in the representation of the people in the Legislative Councils. and yet, it did not travel beyond recognising only the principle of selection "upon the advice of such sections of the community as are likely to be capable of assisting in that matter' The selection was to be an election under a cover and vet selection entirely in the hands of the exocutive government

31 Mr Gladstone who was then in opposition, criticised the halting provisions of the Bill, saying that 'what we want to get at is the real heart and the mind, the most upright sentiments, and the most enlightened thoughts of the people of India' and he expressed the hope that the people would be given not a nominal but a real living representation in the Councils But the rules and regulations for putting the Councils Act of 1892 into operation, were left to he framed by the Executive, who betrayed their official blas, and so, both in point of the rules and the actual practice beyond those rules, the working of the Act was found to be most unsatisfactory As for the Presidency of Bomhay, this unsatisfactory character had reached its climax, and the remarks of the Ifon Mr Gokhale had ample justification when he said, 'In regard to these rules, I will not say that they have been deliberately so framed as to defeat the object of the Act of 1892, but I will say this that if the officer who drafted them had been asked to sit down with the deliberate purpose of framing a scheme to defeat that object, he could not have done better" The bodies to which partial nomination had been entrusted were themselves elected on a very narrow and exclusive franchise, and a successful attempt was made to deprive from this franchise the very classes who should have been the first to be admitted to it. The Congress of 1904 agitated for the right to divide the Legislative Councils on all anancial matters coming before them and it also demanded that the strength of elected non otheral members be increased.

32 It was not, however, before Lord Morley became the State Secretary for India that the reform of the Legislative Councils was again taken in hand For about five years before, a great popular agitation had started the depths of the national mind, and the despotic administration of Lord Curzon had driven even peaceful and thoughtful minds into despair so that the people were persuaded that nothing but a policy of self help and severe alcofness from Government would enable them to effect national regeneration The Government of India was advised that their only, hope of keeping peace in the country remained in a large and bold scheme of political reform The new Liberal State Secretary also saw the wisdom of inaugurating a liberal policy, and the combined proposals of the Government of India and the Home Government had such a plausible appearance that the National Congress of 1808 was persuaded to think that they constituted "a large and liberal instalment of reforms needed to give the people of this country a substantial share in the management of their affairs and to bring the administration into closer touch with their wants and feelings The self congratulations of the Congress were, however, some what premature, for, once more was the working out of the details of the proposed reforms left to the Executive Governments, and once more did those Governments play their usual trick of nullifying the liberal spirit of the new policy by framing cramping rules and regulations Within four years since the Congress expressed its almost over-flowing gretitude for the new reforms, the Congress was driven in 1912, to record its keen sense of disappointment about the council regulations which were full of enomalies and inequalities, so that, even before the war broke out and opened altogether a new vista of hope and aspiration for the Indian people even the moderate section of Indian politicians was convinced that the new scheme of reforms, which they had fondly hoped would have the effect of soothing down popular discontent, and would give more than enough work to the non official members to familiarise themselves with their new powers and privileges and exercise them to the fullest extent of their capacity, were after all of very little practicel value, and far from edvising the people to tone down their demands, they were themselves foremost in demending that nothing but the essential rights of self government would satisfy the nation any longer In 1915 the Congress demanded an expansion and reform of the Legislative Councils so as to make them truly and edequately represents tive of all sections of the people and to give them an effective control over the acts of the executive government"

33 The war moreover had the effect of hringing England and India closer to each other then ever before If England realised the velue of India as a source of military strength, she realised even more the genuineness of Indian loyalty which allowed the Government to rule the country without the aid of a single European soldier. On the other hand, India realised that if England was capable of undergoing such enormous self sacrifice for maintaining the integrity and freedom of small insignificant nations like Belgium and Servia to whom she owed absolutely nothing, it should be a far easier matter for England to recognise the national aspirations of the people of India who were the divinely entrusted ward of Er gland and who had emptied their treasury and shed their blood for England England was driven day by day for the sake of herself and her allies to proclaim her disinterested solicitude for the liberties of even the smallest nations. and the Indian people felt, more than ever before, that these professions and procla mations of faith by England in the cause of liberty must be mere froth or wasted hreath if they could not stand the test on the Indian touchstone They were confidently conscious that they had carned and deserved a more effective voice in the administration of their own affairs but that the disposition of the bureaucracy had alone stood in their path so long But now that the English nation had vehemently declaimed against the bureaucratic rule in Germany and had openly expressed its sympathy with the Revolution in Russia which triumphantly trampled under its foot Russian despotism there remained nothing for them to get a wider measure of political liberty in their own country but only to earnestly demand it in the name of their nation The nation was thus completely awakened out of the enchantment of the bureaucracy, and it felt perfectly justified in making that large demand for the reform of the Legislative Councils which is now embodied in the Congress 21

scheme passed at Lucknow, and, which practically amounts to making the voice of the people supreme in the Provincial as well as in the Imperial Legislative Council, not only in matters of legislation and finance but also in that of enforcing reponsibility upon the executive government.

- 34 If, as Lord Morley's dispatch had anticipated, the reformed Councils had enabled the representatives of all classes of population to he in a position to take effective part in shaping the policy of government and to exert a real influence upon the actual work of administration and had really and effectively associated the people of India with the government in the work not only of occasional legislation but of actual every day administration, if as Mr Asquith, while speaking on the second reading of the India Councils Bill of 1909, had said that the people of India had really felt that the Legislative Councils were not mere automatoms, the wires of which were pulled by the official heirarchy or that the non official element in the Councils was really in the ascendent, subject of course to proper safeguards . or the legislation passing though the mills of the Legislative Councils had truly reflected the opinion of the community, a demand like the one now embodied in the scheme might have been delayed for sometime. But whatever may be the intentions of British statesmen like Lord Morley or Mr Asquith, the Indian people have realised that the Moriey Minto Reforms were after all a more hollow boast, and that practically a very insignificant measure of power has come down to their hands owing to the operation of a triple filter of bureaucracy which intercepts those intentione first, in interpreting the words of despatches, secondly, in framing inconvenient or reaction ary rules for working, and thirdly again in giving practical effect to those rules
- In the year 1909 Mr Surendranath Banery declared in the Congress as " It is no exeggeration to say that the rules and regulatione have follows practically wrecked the reform scheme as originally conceived with a beneficence of purpose and a statesmunlike grasp. Who wrecked the scheme? Who converted that promising experiment into a dismal failure? The responsibility rests upon the shoulders of the hureaucracy Is the hureaucracy having its revenge on us for the part we have played in securing these concessions?' So also the Hon Pandit Madan Mohan Maluviya declared that 'The conclusion is forced on our mind that those who have the power are unwilling to part with that power and unless we have n notent and determining voice in the adminstration of our country's affaire, there is not much hope for that progress which it is the birth-right of every civilised people to achieve' The Hon Mr Fazal-ul Hug of Bengal has declared "We are in theory the chosen representatives of the people, but in chaping the policy of the administration, our voice in the Councils of government is of hardly more weight and value than that of the man in the moon" The Hon Mr Subba Rao has declared that "the deep disappointment caused by the regulations and the narrow interpretation put upon them is writ large in the pages of the proceedings of the Legislativo Council and the columns of the Indian press The constitution of the Provincial Councils has been so manipulated that, even where an elected majority is given, the official continues to dominate ever the Council, and non official majority has in practice become a minority As the scheme is at present worked, nothing can be carried in our Provincial Councils against the will of the officials who, in combination with the elected European members and nominated non-official Indians. specially selected to keep up the power and prestige of the government, lord it over them and make the position of the elected representatives of the people helpless The elected members not infrequently try to save their face by accepting the suggestions or amendments of the Government, and proceed to vote or call for a division though certain of defest, to secure a moral victory, and show to the public the perversity of the attitude of the Government who would not, by the weight of numbers, and sometimes by the influence of the head of the government, allow even a recommendation be made to themselves. Important schemes of expenditure are shut out of discussion on the ground that the subject is under correspondence, and the powers of the Council with regard to the framing of the budget aro nil A feeling of he'plessness is felt by the elected members at every step and they are placed entirely at the mercy of the Government. The Hon Rao Babadur V K Ramanutacharger of Madras has declared as follows 'We see shackles placed on our

representations in regard to many points in which we are much interested. The Government have a practical majority in the Council Our resolutions are more recommendations, and there is no danger of Government being turned out of office I wish to point out that the cumulative effect is to discourage us in our attempt to work with Government in their every day administration which is the hasis of the Morley-Minto Reforms" The Hon Rao Bahadur M Ramchandra Rao of Madras also has made this well-informed criticism "In 1912, ten resolutions were brought forward, only one proposing a diversion of expenditure of about Rs 50,000 was found acceptable to the Government. In 1913, thirty-one resolutions were brought forward, none of them was agreeable to the Government, In 1914, there were twenty resolutions which raised various points of financial administration but not even one was carried in the Council In 1915, there were 23 resolutions, not a single one having been accepted. We have done our best to persuade Government to adopt a different financial policy, but we have not as yet succeeded in our attempt. The Hon Mr, N K Kelker of C P has remarked that," Unless the composition of the Councils themselves is first thoroughly overhauled, a good deal of the advantage which might be expected from the criticism of these Councils would be mostly of an illusory character" The Hon Pandit Bishan Narayan Dhar of the United Provinces has stated, 'Nearly every resolution moved by the non-official Indian members of the United Provinces Council has been rejected, and rejected by overwhelming majorities, for, besides some of the elected members, the nominated members were always ready to support the Government" The Hindu of Madras gives the following table of the financial resolutions moved in the different Legislative Councils during the Budget debates in one year together with the result of the debates on them

Legislative Council	No of Resolutions	Withdrawn	Rejected	Accepted
Supreme	3	2	1	9
Madras	32	26	6	0
Bengal	38	26	12	0
United Provinces	22	10	12	0
Behar and Orissa	5	5	0	0
Central Provinces	4	2	2	0

[&]quot;The result,' says the Hindu, "exhibits a deplorable lack, on the part of officials, of appreciation of public point of view of questions brought forward, uncommon imperviousness to non-official suggestions, and a mistaken faith in its own infallibility on the part of the Executive Government." The Bengat has remarked, "The prevailing note of the non-official Indian members is one of pessimism, and we fear that it is not confined to the Bengal Legislative Council Throughout the country, in every province with a Legislative Council, the feeling of the non official Indian members is that the measure of their performance is wholly inadequate to the measure of their efforts—there is much cry but there is little wool. In the position in which they now are, that is to say in the position of minority, even when there is a non-official majority, they feel that they can do very little, and there is a growing sense in the country, that these legislative assemblies are mere academic bodies which have to wait upon the "pleasure of the Government for carrying out the popular behests."

36 Great are the difficulties and pit-falls in the way of the popular elected members to make their voice effective in the Legislative Councils. To begin with, Government may disallow any question put to them, and even if they accept a question, they can either indefinitely delay or entirely refuse the information asked for on the broadest ground that it would not serve the public interest to give it or

on the very special prefext that Government do not feel justified to waste the time and energy of public servants to prepare or colline the facte and figures asked If they at all answer a question, they may emply give a curt monosyllabic categorical affirmative or negative in reply, and thus imply a kind of contempt through open discourtesy, or they may mystify the interpellator by giving misleading or vague information, so that, the powers of interpellation being limited, the opportunity may be lost and the scent of the question may disappear, unless the interpellator pursues his quest with an extra ordinary persistence have Government been found out to deny the truth of facts which the public postively know to be true But the limited power of interpellation cannot help the discomfited interpellator As regards Resolutions, these again may be rejected without any reasons being given, and even when they are taken up, the member moving it has scarcely any other satisfaction than that of having his say The general fate of Resolutions has already been described, but even if a Resolution he carried by a majority. Government may simply refuse to act upon it The right to introduce Bills in the Councils, is hedged round with peculiar limitations, and there is hardly any hope of a Bill being allowed for which an enormous spade-work has not already been done, and Government have not been, in one way or another, indirectly committed to its principles. The actual total number of days on which the business of the Legislative Councils is done are very limited, and important matters are either completely barred or huetled away for want of time. The number of nonofficial members in the Councils is at present very small when compared either with the present number of electors in the country, or with the importance of the interests which demand a discussion in the Councile. And ae for the epirit of obstruction which alone can sometimes bring the hureaucracy to the knees under the present circumstances, it is at present simply non existent yet the agenda papers in the different Legislative Councils are already so crowded that a good deal of business always remaine in arrears. All this, and much more else that we have left unnoticed for want of space, spells helplessness for the non official elected member of the Legislative Council and the Executive Government could legitimately hoast that notwithstanding the expanded and reformed Councils containing elected representatives of the people, their course of despotism has remained quite unaffected as before and that while they may flourish the Legislative Councils like guided tinsel ornaments in the face of the constitutional theorists, they do not feel any liking or respect for them in their mind, or. their slightest weight upon their own hody

37 Under the operation of the Morley-Minto Reforms the Legislative Councils were no doubt expanded and the total number of their members has been increased from 146 to 230. But this does not go as far as the needs of the case require. The ratio which the number of the members in the Indian Legislative Councils, taken all together to the total population of India 18 roughly 330, 330,000 000 or one member to every ten lakes of persons. The significance of this ratio can be well understood if we say that on this basis England should have a Parliament consisting of about 45 members for England Scotland Ireland and Wales all put together.

38 But that is not all. For out of these 330 members 169 are officials, 34 are not marked; e semi-official members while only about 127 members are elected so that the real ratio is still much lower; 'e about 1 to 30 lakes of people. Even if we take only the figures of the literate population of India which is 185 millions we get on that hasis the ratio of results, or 1½ to one lake in oil rates or 3 members to every two lakes of people. Going still higher up or lower down, as it may be regarded we have a fraction of ress's, or orbit one members for every 20000 people who could be called literate in English, i.e. who have received western education. And this is the portion of representative government which has been vouchsafed to the Indian people under these heasted reforms. In 1861 when the first Councils.' Act was passed Sir Charles Wood, the then Secretary of State for India remarked. I anticipate the introduction of intelligent Indian gentlemen into the Councils will bring to their deliberations a knowledge of the wishes and feelings of the native population which cannot fail to improve the laws

passed by the Councils by adapting them to the wants of the great mass of the population of India." What shall we say of the progress thus made within the last 55 years in the matter of the rapresentation of the Indian people in the Legislative Councils? And what can be the net amount of improvement in laws and administration through these Councils when one single member is supposed to represent a million of persons?

39 There is again a further consideration appertaining to the same line of thought. Out of 127, the total number of the elected representatives in the different Councils, 14 represent special constituencies whose membership is after all very small though they may be regarded as representing a somewhat important interest, as for example, Municipal Corporations in Presidency towns, Universities and Trusts. Then again there are 21 members representing special interests most of which do not concern the direct well-being of the Indian population, but are in a certain sense alien interests in the land, a g. European Chambers of Commerce and Planters. Out of the remaining, superior land holders in India have about 22 seats, and the interests of special classes are represented through special electorates by 13 members, so that practically about 52 seats fall to the share of the millions of the masses of the Indian population. That the distribution of seats in the Legislative Councils so made is unfair may he seen when we consider that the total number of voters, among whom all the seats except these 52 are distributed, comes approximately to only a few hundred.

40 And lastly we find that not only have Government not kept themselves above recognising distinctions of race and religion, which they themselves regard as anathema when seen in the minds of the Indian people, but they have not been able to hold the scales even as between the classes whom they affect to look down upon and the masses whom they profess to love While Government condamn racial distinctions between Hindus. Mahomedans and others, as showing the want of a spirit of common nationality, they themselves have succumbed either to the force of the Mahomedan demand for communal representation or to their own policy of encouraging a highest between the different sections of the Indian population pursued for a number of years before for other purposes. No doubt, the Mahomedans had pressed Government hard for a special recognition of their interests, but surely Government could have if they had willed it, resisted the demand, if they were really of ominion that the recognition of racial and religious distinctions in political matters was prejudicial to the hest interests of the country under their charge. It was for them and not for the Mahomedans, as it would not be admitted to be for the Hindus also, to decide what was the hest for the country as a whole They might have framed their own scheme according to their own lights after hearing what the Mahomedans had to say for themselves, and have left the scheme there leaving it to the Mahomedans as well as tha Hindus either to accept it or reject it would have been quite in keeping with their gameral policy which heeds not what the people have to, or may, say m any matters of administration The most perfect reasoning in the Legislative Council, or the fiercest agitation outside it, have, in 99 cases out of 100, left the Government unpurturbed in their determination to carry out any measure or policy on which they may have set their heart, and those. who know the strength of mind of the Government in other matters, may well be pardoned if similar suggestions occur to their mind in their attempt to explain the weakness of spirit which Government exhibited for once, and in this one, of all matters, viz the concession of communal representation to the Mahomedans, while ignoring the claims, in a most illogical manner, of other minorities and special interests of which there are admittedly so many in India The Mahomedans wanted a representation out of proportion to their number, but they did not insist upon communal electorates with the same chatinacy as they did upon disproportionate representation. Further, Lord Morley beld the view that though a concession might be made to the Mahomedans in respect of their demand for excessive representation. the demand for communal electorates might be avoided by a scheme of mixed electorate and electoral colleges The Hon Mr Jinnah for example, in a letter to the Times of India dated 20th February 1969, bad declared that "if the Mahomedans

scale of the rights of citizenship is concerned, but, when viewed from a broader point of view, this reign of Law entirely fails to give satisfaction and can hardly evoke any gratitude. And this for two reasons, for, in the first place the Indian Legislature is after all a mere subordinate legislature and the range of the external limits to its power is very wide indeed, and, secondly, the Reign of Law is absolutely larren in point of those constitutional rights which are after all the essence of a free and a living nation

46 Constitutionally-speaking, there is an internal as well as an external limit to the powers of the Indian Legislature, but this limit does not go farther than the limit which a human despot-perhaps an enlightened despot-may willingly set to his own despotism As Prof. Dicey says, Even a despot exercises his powers in accordance with his character which is itself moulded by the circumstances under which he lives, including under that head the moral feelings of the time and the society to which he belongs The Sultan could not, if he would, change the religion of the Mahomedan world but if he could do so it is in the very highest degree improbable that the head of Mahomedanism should wish to overthrow the religion of Mahomet, for the internal check on the exercise of the Sultan's power is, at loast, as strong as the external limitation. People sometimes ask the idle question why the Pope does not introduce this or that reform? The true answer is that a revolutionist is not the kind of man who becomes a Pope, and that the man who becomes a Pope has no wish to become a revolutionist Parliament could not pru dently tax the colonists but it is hardly conceiveable that a modern Parliament, with the history of the last century before its eyes, should wish to tar the colo-Or again as Leslie Stephen points out in the Science of Ethics 'The power of the legislature is limited from within, hecause the legislature is the product of a certain social condition and determined by whatever determines the Soolety If a legislature decided that all blue-eyed babies should be murdered, the preservation of blue eyed babies would be illegal, but legislatures must go mad before they could pass such a law, and subjects be idiotic bafore they could submit These theoretical external and internal limits more or less coincide in countries which enjoy a representative and responsible government, and to that extent, and for that reason, the internal limit to the powers of the Indian Legislature cannot be so far reaching as in self governing nations. But we shall gladly recognise that even in India the natural internal limit as described above by Prof Dicey. is realised to a certain extent. Thus even in India, though as we have seen, truly popular representation in the Councils is almost non existent and entirely ineffective so far as it exists a limit is discernible beyond which legislation will not go The limit has, of course, already been realised to be narrow enough to have enabled the Indian Legislative Council to pass a law like the Arms Act or the Press Act or the Seditious Meetings Act or the Revenue Jurisdiction Act But we may concede that it is almost inconceiveable even in conditions under which India exists at present that the legislature should pass an act ordaining that every Indian should fall on his knees before an Anglo Indian whenever he meets the latter in the street or that every Indian bouseholder shall be bound upon penalty a pay for and consume so many supees worth of English goods in twelve calender months or that no Indian shall hold in reserve or saving any amount of money exceeding one thousand rupees, or that particular surnames shall not be borne on the ground that they have a dismal sound or a revolutionary sense. The limits tions in these cases arise more from the enlightenment, which the Civilians possess or the reputation for civilisation which they have at stake. The eulogy, which we have already willingly bestowed upon the men of the Civil Service in another part of this hook, need not be here repeated The average Civilian is a man of education and culture and is also susceptible to the influence of the society which he governs He would not, therefore, he guilty of such antics even if the Reformed Legislative Council totally ceased to exist or the Law Courts were entirely abolished But our good opinion of the Civilian as a man cannot and ought not to blind us to the fact that when it comes to vital administrative or political questions the only internal limit, governing his action in the Legislative Council, becomes the measure-

ر

of his own political samity or the volume of his country's self interest, but never the opinion or the sentiment of the *Indian* people, however well reasoned or strong it may be

- 47 Even from this aspect of the Reign of Law, we find that the Colonial Legislatures stand in a much better position than the Indian Legislature, though Parliament has, as in the case of the Indian Legislature, certain powers of legislative verto over them, and thus makes them technically subordinate legislatures The conflict between the Colonial Legislatures and Parliament is real to a degree and has a meaning, whereas a conflict between the Indian Legislature and Parliament is almost unthinkable, for, the reason is that the Colonial Legislatures enjoy on the whole much greater powers than the Indian Legislature Moreover, the tendency of the Imperial Government is, as a matter of policy, to interfere less and less day by day with the action of the Colonias whether in the nature of law-making or otherwise
- 48 The Reign of Law no doubt prevails in India, but it is almost barren of nourishing results from the point of view of the Indian nation. The value of the oron alone can justify the cost of the hedging, and if it be true, as many eminent Indian and also other thinkers have beld, that the net result of the British rule in India has been an all-round emasculation of the Indian recoils, then they would be perfectly justified in refusing to regard that Reign of Law as a blessing of Providence or as a political dispensation for which they may feel grateful to the British Government A mere Reign of Law will be useless if it is not accompanied by the three P's which are the essentials of national life viz Peace, Plenty and Power Now we have the three 'P's, under British Rule, in a descanding Of the first viz Peace, we have quite an abundance, of Plenty we have less as has already been considered in a previous chapter. And as for Power, we have absolutely none as we have presently shown. The Indian people naturally aspire to get a full measure of Plenty and Power while retaining Peace But they cannot reasonably hope to materialise that aspiration so long as they bave not got Self Government Not only is it their birth right to have it as human beings, but it is also due to them, both by reason of the declared policy of the British Sovereign and the British nation, and also by reason of the services they bave rendered to the Empire The bonour of the Indian people and the duty of the British Government both point towards that same ideal, and the relations between England and India will not be justified so long as that ideal has not been realised



' Chapter VI

The Shibboleth of Unfitness

- Englishmen, who admit in a general way that Self Government is the only right form of Government for any nation, change their front at one and resort to special pleading as soon as they are brought face to face with the demand for Self-Government by the Indian people. It immediately dawns upon them that the Indian people are included among the oriental people, and that oriental people are as a rule unfit for Self-Government. But they have a further difficulty to encounter in this direction, for they know that some obscula people like those in Japan and China have now difinitely gone in for representative institutions. So confronted they sak us sapionly to wait and see the result of those rash experiments, in the expectation, if not the hope, that the experiments must turn out failures. And as for India, why, they must prevent even the experiment facelibeing made here for the simple reason that they are the masters of the situation.
 - 2 Even the strongest champions of the rule of the advanced over backward races admit the evil of despotism, yet there are despotisme and despotisms, and the British Imperialist pretends that British rule, though despotic, is much hetter for the Indians than the Native despotism which would be the only alternative Now even supposing that British despotism is hetter than Native despotism, why, we ask, should we be made to content ourselves with only British despotism, and not be allowed to wish for a desirable change in the form of Government as well as we had a definite change in the rulers? If every thing western has taken the place of everything eastern about us in all other matters, then why should not a representative and responsible Government be as well substituted for despotism? If our minds and intellects must be westernised by English education for one purpose, e q religion, and social life, why should not they be westernised as well for another purpose, viz politics? To be made to read Mill and Burke for our political philosophers and yet to be made to accept Machiavelli as our ruler is the height of inconsistency We must be hopelessly hrutalised or prostrated if, notwithstending the efficient thinking we have learnt through a perusal of the literature of liberalism, we could retain, even supposing we ever had it, a settled belief in the entire beneficence or the utter infallibility of our British rulers, or not acquire a desire to try our hand at our own Government
 - 3 Do not the British know that as rulers or administrators they are not infallible? Evidently they do, the very essence of the system of party government at home is the possibility of making mistakes. In England a change of Government 1 e, the going out of the party which has erred and the com ing in of the party which asserts that it can do better, or rather the party which has detected the mistake and hit the nail on the head is regarded as a sign of healthy, political, national life But it is quite the other thing when India is concerned For, here the Civilians, good bad or indifferent are not only all quite fit to administer the country, but even to monopolise the administration A change in Government is unthinkable for, there is no alternative set of capable administrators in the country! But this convenient scheme of aggrandisement cannot be allowed to pass unchallenged As Prof Robertson has put it, ' To exercise an absolute control over community or a congeries of communities in the helief that one is absolutely infallible, is to tread the path of insanity and to know that one is politically fallible and yet never to care for the opinion of those whom one may be at any moment misgoverning is to set conscience aside. Either way demoralisation or deterioration follows as inevitably for the rulers as for the ruled All history proclaims the lesson Whether we take ancient despots ruling empires through Satraps, or States playing the despot to other States, the sequence as infallibly evil Never is there any continuity of sound life. In the absence of control from the governed, the despotisms invariably grew corrupt and feeble On the substitution of despotic rule for self-rule, all the forces of civilisation began to

right upto the eastern end of Asia people have lived in close contact for thousands of years and if there have been variations in their character each type so developed has had its special qualities as well as special defects. But the sum total has been nearly even. The variation, however, has never resulted in absolutely unchangable characters and a slight change of environment has always been seen to evoke the inherent quality of adaptability so that the peculiar features of these characters fave often been exchanged. As the same writer has observed, 'The European races can only be understood by considering Europe as a small peninsular annexe to ancient India. There was constant coming and going hetween Asia Africa and Europe as centuries before the invasion of the Vandals, Hannibal sent his soldiers from Africa to Europe and from Europe to Africa and in the early middle agesthe African Mahomedains reighed in Western Europe for more than 500 years.

6 The interconnection and migration as between the supposed East and the West can be continuously traced to thousands of years not only through natural history but also through art and literature One type of development might be more refined and another may be less refined but if both are thorough bred or good types however they may differ one is not necessarily inferior to the other. Certain white men may be on a lower intellectual and moral level than certain coloured Africans Christanity which has covered Europe has an Asiatio birth, and the compliment is only being returned when Western civilisation is now epreading over Asia Cartain political ideas may appear to enjoy a luxurious life in one country rather than in another but political wisdom is not certainly the monopoly of any one of them. and approved forms of Government can be easily constructed and successfully worked on the broad and sound basis of this political wisdom. As Mr Israel Zangwil has put it in his paper on the Jewish race. In the treatment of dependent peoples and communities the modern conscience rejects as a fallacy-the claim of Western civilisation to a monopoly of the capacity of Self Government based on an indivisible interrelation between European descent Christianity and the eocalled white colour It recognises that while this interrelation has evolved a capaouty for Se'f Government in an appropriate environment a similar capacity has been evolved by an inter relation of other races creeds and colours appropriate to other environments. It maintains therefore that the conflict between the West and the East must be adjusted on the same principle that has adjusted the conflicts of race and creed in the West viz the principle of freedom, interpreted as liberty of person and conscience an equality of opportunity for all without distinction of race creed or colour, under a settled govern ment History reason and recent experience in Japan warn uethat the adjustment must be made not in the apart of the popular refrain. East in East and West in West but in the spirit of a nobier poetic formula, "God's is the occident, Dr Charles S Myers Professor of Experimental Psychology God s is the orient in the Cambridge University in his paper on the Permanence of Racial mental differences read before the Universal Races Congress affirmed the proposition that the mental characters of the majority of the peasant class throughout Europe are essentially the same as those of primitive communities and that as organism and environment is the ultimate cause of variation the possibility of the progressive development of all primitive peoples must be conceded if only the environment can be appropriately changed And if this could be said of primitive races there should be no hesitation in admitting that even supposing the eastern people were not familiar with exactly the present forms of popular Government before their present environment will enable them to be familiar with them in a very short time. Till recently, despotte rule was supposed to hall mark the east but today representative Government is clamoured for even in the few oriental countries cutside India where it did not exist already

7 The demand of the so called Esstern people for Self Government is merely regarding in the 20th century the story of Europe's emergence from an autocratic regime in the 19th century. The principle of representative Government was known in antiquity almost to all nations whether eastern or western. But its application in the olden time was not vivid in any of those nations. It was only

in the Middle Ages that the representative system developed, more particularly only among those countries even in Europe in which the states happened to be of a feudal character, so that the component parts of the State claimed a certain independence within the general hody The history of autocracy, however, extends over a much larger period in Europe than the history of representative Government Only in countries like England, Sweden and the Netherlands, representative Governments had a kind of a continuous life, but even here representative Government had a very chequered career After the fall of Napoleon in 1815, very few of the countries of Europe were found to have representative institutions. In countries like Hungary, Poland Finland there were constitutions, but they existed Most of the European States remained autocratic It was only in on'y on paper 1848 that the era of representative Government really dawned for the first time in Europe But the results of the popular revolutions in that year were obliterated within the next three years Germany was still divided under despotic rulers, Austria had a commanding position throughout Europe but was notorious as the high priest of absolutism Italy was only a motley collection of petty principalities under the heel of Austria and the stories of misrule and despotism in some of the Italian States in the middle of the 19th century could hardly be equalled in hatefulness by any stories that could be told of despotism of native rulers in India It was only when Austria was humbled in 1866 that real representative Government began to lift its head among the European nations

8 Russia is an admittedly European country, but oriental countries like Japan, China, Persia and Turkey had obtained constitutions and even Parliaments before the Russian neonle could make any impression upon the autocracy of the Tsar In 1905 once more did popular Government make an effort to assert itself The Russo Japanese War had the effect of stirring the embers of popular life in Russia and the Duma was established which is now soon going to he transformed into a full fledged national Parliament of the Russian Republic In 1906, a popular revolution forced the Shab of Persia to grant a constitution In 1908 the Young Turke put an end to the despotic rule of the Turkish Sultan and established not only a constitutional but a Parliamentary Government Within five years from this, the Chinese Emperor had to concede a constitution to his people and the Chinese Republic was not long in following The difference of from 50 to 100 years in the beginning of the popular unrest, on the ground of a desire for popular government, in Europe and in Asia cannot be regarded as being of material significance, nor can the impotance of the popular movement in Asia suffer by the allegation that the popular movement in Asia is an imitation of the popular movement in Europe Nothing in the political world is absolutely original and if the torch of the popular move ment in India has been really lighted directly from the torch which has burned so steadily and brightly for centuries in England one should only conclude that the popular movement in India would show all the qualities of the original model, especially as there is to be a continuous living connection hetween the representative institutions in India and the mother of Parliaments, and that the development of a democracy in India is to take place under the direct supervision of a liberty loving nation like England

9 People, who have had no political development whatever, may not be fit for representative institutions and reponsible government all at once. They may have to pass through a long period of apprenticeship. But such is not the case with the Indian people who, in the days immediately preceding British rule, carried on civil governments and were admittedly successful in that line. As the author of the Passing of Empire says. "Some hundreds of years ago there were in India kingdoms that were stable strong and free. The peoples were enterprising, active and intelligent, and a high degree of civilisation was common throughout all classes. I don't think it is generally realised that five or six hundred years ago India was ahead of Europe in most matters. And Englishmen themselves must admit that they have borrowed not a little from the administratative systems which were alr-ady in operation when they acame." The Land Revenue system under British Government was based on those of Todar Mul. in the north

and Malikamber in the south The recognition of the use of these pre British systems of administration has of course decreased in later times but what is bistorically true cannot be destroyed by mere convenient forgetfulness

IO Mr G W Forrest in his hiography of the Hon Mount Stuart E'ph astone Governor of Bombay who took over the administration of the Mahratha country from . the Maratbas says that Elphinstone's euccess as an administrator was chiefly due to the fact that he saw that political institutions and social usages which had lasted for centuries could not be entirely devoid of merit His endeavour in the Civil adminis tration was to show the people that they are to expect no change but in the better administration of their own former laws He wrote to the Governor General that even just government will not be a blessing if it is at variance with the habits and character of the people . The system of government introduced by Elphinstone did not essentially differ from the comparatively patriarchal scheme of management of Nana Fadanvis by which the agents of the Government directly settled with the people He ordered the Collectors to administer without the restraint of my regulations but those which they found established He did all that lay in his power to revive the public spirit which once animated the village communities-ancient institutions which had existed from time immemorial and which centuries of alternating tyranny and anarchy had never been able entirely to extinguish He preserved the influence of village officers for he knew what other English administrators have been ignorant of-that the task of really governing India down to the villages and the people is too great for a foreign government and can be done only through native agency and communal self government. He trusted the ad ministration of justice in small matters to the village panchayat or the assembly of the village elders And Elphinstone did not stand alone in this respect Munros Malcolms Metcalfes could not be said to have been less efficient rulers than the present Civilians yet their success was due to a respect for the old systems of administration which stood the test very well in their hands. And it is only because these time honoured and time tested systems were set aside by the latterday administrators that while there is more show than reality of effectency the administration has failed to be popular Says Mr Forrest Every centralised hureauoracy has been a failure, because it regards and treats men as things and not as persons One of the reasons why the English Ray has not won favour of the people is that there is too much of the powerful machine and too little of huma mity in us We try to be just and we are unjust and cruel hecause we believe our system of government to be adapted to all races and conditions of life. We have forgotten the principles which Elphinstone enforced in his report The success of the early British administrators who made use of the pre British systems of admi nistration thus contrasted with the failure of the later British administra ors who abandoned them affords in our opinion independent teatimony to the fitness of the pre British Indians to be rulers and administrators at least in their own country The testimony of Elphinstone himself to the indigenous system of the Police and Judicial administration is highly valuable for he says that with all the defects of these systems the Mahratta country flourished and the pe ple seem to have been exempt from some of the evils which exist under our more perfect government. There must therefore have been some advantages in the system to counter balance its obvious detects. The advantage was particularly felt in the lower orders who are most out of reach of their rulers and most apt to be neglected under all govern ments

II Even under the British Government it could not be said that the Natives have forgotten the art of government. For with the exception that while in the pre British days they did the work of administration on their own responsibility and as authoritative heads of department, they perform almost the same functions now under the British Government as more low paid inferiors or subordinates, there has been practically no break in the continuity of their touch with administrative business. The present day Shirzstadars and Read Clerks and Clerks of Courts are experienced administrators and if they could only get the chance they would ship discharge the du see of the very superiors whose orders they now seem to carry out. Most, of the detailed work in the original stage is done almost

to perfection by these men, and it is an almost open secret of the official chamber that the British superior often contents himself with putting to the final draft his initials or his signature as the case may require The valuable assistance rendered by the expert head assistants and deputies is, no doubt, sometimes rewarded by a patronizing smile or a certificate of merit by the superiors, but the former have never any chance of playing the roll of the superiors themselves The dwarfing of merit thus goes on indefinitely, while one raw superior succeeds another and utilises for his benefit the increasingly valuable assistance of the native deputies. It is sometimes very amusing to see how, in the executive line, a native Deputy Collector takes the place of a European Assistant Collector and is in due course again, replaced by him without any the slightest change for the worse in the administration, and yet involving an enormous difference in the amount of public money debited for the work done The same is true, and perhaps in a greater degree, of the judicial service. No service can be admittedly more efficient than the Suhordinate Judicial Service which almost wholly is manned by the natives of India, and not a single nots or minute of dissatisfaction with or disapproval of the work of that service can be found in the records of Government relating to that department. We may content ourselves in this respect with only one piece of testimony and that of a late Lord Chancellor, we mean the Earl of Selhorne. who was reported in the London Times of the 10th of April 1883, to have made these remarks "My Lords for some years I practised in Indian cases befor the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, and during those years there were few cases of any imperial importance in which I was not concerned I had considerable opportunities of observing the manner in which, in civil cases, the Native judges did their duty, and I have no hesitation in saying-and I know this was also the opinion of the judges * during that time-that the judgment of the Native judges here most favourable comparison, as a general rule, with the judgments of the English judges I should be sorry to say anything in disparagement of English judges, who, as a class are most anxious carefully to discharge their duty, but I repeat that I have no hesitation in saying that in every instance in respect of integrity, of learning, of knowledge, of the soundness and satisfactory character of the judgments arrived at, the Native judgments were quite as good as those of English judges."

12 The trick of the trade is to keep the native out of the higher and the responsible work so that he could not take the credit for the work to himself. and only to use him as an intellectual drudge. The Hon. Mr Golhale, during the course of a debate on the subject of the Public Services in the Supreme Legislative Council in the year 1911 practically bombed the Council with an extract from a report by one Colonel De Pree, the head at one time of the Survey Department. The report says "My numerous late inspections show me that the tendency of the European surveyors is to stand and look on while the natives are made to do the drawing and hand printing as if they thought themselves quite above that sort of thing This is a mistake and cannot be permitted for the future. Besides, it is suicidal for the European to admit that natives can do anything hetter than themselves They should craim to be superior in everything and only allow the natives to tale a secondary or subordinate part. In my old parties I never permitted a native to touch a theodolite or an original computation, on the principle that the triangulation or the scientific work was the prerogative of the bighly paid European, and this reservation of the scientific work was the only way by which I could keep a dictinction so as to justify the different figures respectively drawn by the two classes-the European in office time and the native who ran bim so close in all the office duties as well as in the field duties. Yet I see that natives commonly do the computation work and the Europeans some other infeior duties"

13 The natives, wherever appointed to higher posts, have always cone justice to the work and earned credit for the medium of the proof of the intelligence and ablition which they actually possess and the possibility of their expansion and development are inexorably limited by the paucity of opportunities which they get for distinguishing themselves in British India. On the other hand they invariably justify the opportunities they get in the Native States which afford a contrast to the British Government so far at least as fair opportunities for proving worth and earning

distinction are concerned. Indian Statesmen like Raja Dinkar Rao, Sir T. Madhar Rao, Diwan Bahadur R Rangnath Rao, Sir Salerjung, Diwan Purnayya Ranga Charlu, Sir Sheshadri Ayar could prove their capacity as administrators only because they were in Natives States The instructive example may in this connection be given of Sir M Vishweshwar Ayya who was not fit enough in British India to hold the post of the Superintending Engineer in charge of a Division, but discharged satisfactorily the duties of the Chief Engineer as soon as he was trans ferred to Mysore and has now proved himself to be one of the most capable and progressive Dewans of that State To give a concrete instance of the scope for professional distinction in a Native State, we may name the Kaveri Dam, which is under construction and which when completed will rank as the third greatest work of that kind in the world And yet, everything connected with this work, from the original conception of it to its actual execution in detail, has been done by natives, in fact humble graduates of Engineering who passed from the Poona Engineering College In most other Native States also there is just a sprinkling of Europeans, appointed more as a matter of patronage than anything else whereas all the highest offices are manned and satisfactorily discharged by natives. The words of Mr J E S Cotton echo the same sentiments and we quote them here "The case of Mysore is still stronger. The whole staff of English officials has been superseded by natives

For if Mysore prospers under nativo administration as Travancore has long Prospered why should English Collectors be necessary for the adjoining districts of the Madras Presidency. He further says Nothing is more commonly heard than that natives are undit to high executive office and that Englishmen cannot be expected to serve under natives. Both assertions may be disproved by history and by the contemporary experience of Native States. A people that has prodiced Todar Mal and Murshid Kuli Khan, Heidar Ali and Renjit Sing (not to mention hiving names) cannot be destitute of administrative talent. This titlent may not be the seme as that displayed by Europeans, but neither is the talent of all Europeans nations identical in Lind. A native administration can never be the same thing as an English administration. To wait for that to come about would be

to wait till the Ethiopian shall have changed his skin '

113 Coming back to the British Government we may note that since the era of the appointment of natives to higher councils was opened by Lord Morley in 1909, men like Sir K G Gupta Sir S P Sinha, Sir Syed Alli Imam, Hon Mr Kriibna Swami Alyer and others have given excellent account of themselves in their new unacoustomed office and have won the highest praise from Government Sir K G Gupta was one of the first to be appointed to the State Secretary a Council, and his work according to Mr Charles Roberts compelled Government to regard such a high appointment as no longer an experiment but an accomplished and undoubted success. The work of Sir S P Sinha reconciled even such an inveterate enemy of Indian supriations as Sir Valentine Chirol to the innovation of putting natives in the highest places. In S P Sinha, again, we have a man of whom it could be truly said that he underwent enormous self eacrifice in accepting the Law Membership of the Government of India And of Mr Krishnaswami Aiyer Sir Murrey Hammio said. His genius and sound judgment impressed us all. His mastery over the degals of complicated matters astonished every one.

15 And what applies to filling the higher posts of Government service, also applies to the claim of the Indian people for representative institutions. As observed by Mr Cotton, the people of Indian possess an institutive capacity for Self government which centuries of oppression have not eradicated. This is found even among the hill tribes to such an extent as to have caused students to doubt whether the village community be, after all, an 'Aryan' institution. It lies at the root of the custom of casts, and it has been adapted to found trade guides scarcely less influential than those in Europe during the middle ages. 'Even to day our Local Self Government, so far as really we have got it, is proved a success, though Government only graduagly admits the fact, as confession of that sort would irresistibly lead to the demand for the presidentialism of Municipalities and Local-Boards to be thrown open to elected non officials and the councils themselves to be almost wholly elective. Of the men collectively, who worked in the reformed Legislative

Councils, again Lord Hardinge remarked "I can say, from experience gained in different parts of the world, that this Council is second to none in the dignity of its proceedings and the good feeling that animates its members ' All this proves that the natives are hampered only by the want of suitable opportunities. As for Parliament, at least two Indians were found to be fit to be trusted with the suffrage of British constituencies And can any one doubt that a hundred people at any rate can not be found in India to day to be members of the British Parliament and prove themselves equals of the average member of that body, if it was not almost a hopeless business, even for the ahlest, the richest and the most enterprising men among the educated Indians, to maintain themselvee in England and to successfully woo a British constituency? But as things stand at present we have to content ourselves with only fancifully indulging in a profitless speculation as to the theoretical possibilities of the Charter Act of 1833 Instrict theory, by the force of this Act, an Indian may not only be a member of Parliament but even the Prime Minister himself, but when tested on the touchstone of practice, the Charter 16, in this respect, nothing better than a Dead Sea fruit The intentions embodied in the Act are a good horse in the stable but an arrant jade on a journey While in India we can neither enter Parliament nor vote for election to it, yet as observed by Mr George Yule as President of the 4th Indian National Congress, if thousands of Hindus, Mahomedaifs, Eurasians, Parsees and others in this country, were to transfer their persons to England for twelve moths or more, and pay certain rates, they would be qualified to enjoy all the rights and privileges of British subpots " If you and I go to England we are qualified If we return to India our character changes and we are not qualified. In England we should he trusted ottizens In India, well, the charitably minded among our opponents say that we are inci-. pient traitors l'

16 We may now turn to another aspect of this question. The history of constitutional reform in European and other countries shows that the equipment of either education, enlighteement or public spirit required for a valid claim to representative institutions and responsible popular government, was not necessarily of a higher standard than what is at present in India. And for the sake of convenience we will hriefly consider the question ninder the following sub-heads (1) education (2) racial and religious differences, (3) differences of language, manners and outsetoms and other elements of nationality, (4) public spirit (5) capacity for local selfgovernment, (6) efficiency of the representative system, and (7) general

17 Regarding the educational progress in England and the colonies, either immediately hefore or after the grant of self-government, we content ourselves with

quoting the following extracts

"In the first place it must be said that the only qualification (of a voter) ever known to the British constitution has been the possession of a stake, as it is called, in the country Educational qualification has never formed a test of fitness within the British dominions' (George Yule 1888 4th Indian National Congress)

About the state of education in England during the 18th century, Prof Thorold Rogers says in his book the British Citizen "I do not believe that 100 years ago more than one man in ten or one woman in twenty knew how to read and write Going another century or two hack, the people of England with the exception, of a mere handful were steeped in the grossest ignorance and yet there was a House of Commons."

Henry Craik in his State and Education says that "In the year 1845 only about one in six, even of the children at school, was found able to read scriptures with any ease Even for these the power of reading aften left them when they tried a secular hook"

About the teachers he says "The teaching of the schools was in the hands of men who had scarcely any training and who had often turned to the work because all other work had turned away from them 1'

He further says 'In some parts of the kingdom (and these by no means the most backward) it was calculated that (in 1845) shout δ per cent of the population only were at school

He gives some interesting statistics — In 1803 statistics had shown 1 boy in $17\frac{1}{2}$ at school, in 1833, 1 in $11\frac{1}{2}$, in 1851, 1 in 8 36, and in 1858, 1 in 7 7 '

From a Parliamentary estirm, published in February 1887, it appeared that, with a total recorded poil of 2,669,381 in the United Kingdom at the general election of the previous year, there were 80,145 illierate voters. In England and Wales there were 2416,272 voters and 38,587 illierate voters, in Scotland 358 115 and 4,836 and in Ireland 194,934 and 36,722 respectively

Canada has the same woeful story to tell about education just before she was granted self government "Lord Dunbam says in his report —"It is impossible to exaggerate the want of education among the babitants. No means of instruction have ever been provided for them and they are almost, and universally, destitute of the qualifications even of reading and writing. A great proportion of the teachers could neither read nor write. Bourinot says in his Canada — But, in 1838, there were only 24,000 children at school out of a total population of 400,000 ' is only 6 per cent.

In New South Wales (Australia), when the elective principle was introduced into the new constitution in 1842, there was not a single University in the whole of the Australian Commonwealth The first University was founded in 1848 it was softer the constitution was granted

Speaking about the condition of the United States of America before the revolution Webster says. — Education is sunk to a level with the most menial services. Will it be denied that before the war it was a frequent practice for gentlement to purchase convicts, who had been transported for their crimes, and employ them as private tutors in their families?"

From this it will be seen that literacy is not the test of a nation's fitness "for self government as Mr Cotton remarks "A nation must be judged by its leading class, especially when that class is in all important respects homogeneous with the rest "To wait until India shall have become English would be so put off her liberation to the Greek calends But men who speak better English than most Englishmen, who read Mill and Comte, Max Muller and Mesine who cooupy with distinction seate on the judicial bench, who administer the affairs of Native States with many millione of inhabitante, who manage cotton mills and conduct the boldest operations of commerce, who exit newspapers in English and correspond on equal terms with the scholars of Europe'—these, we say, can no longer be treated as unit for self government.

18 The Anglo Indian attempts to discount from the leadership of the educated classes in India only to usurp that place for himself. Himself a highly educated man and habitually neglectful of the proletariat in India, the Anglo Indian feels a sudden impulse to play the role of the real representative of the masses Despotic in his tendencies as a rule, the Anglo Indian Bureaucrat can, when it suits his purpose, excellently play the part of a demagogue and then he does not stop at any vulgarity As Graham Wallas remarks in his book on Human Nature in Politics the choice of the Civilian's means of Government on all questions involving popular opinion depends, even more completely than if he were a party politician at home, not on things as they are, but on things as they may be made to seem. The avowed tactics of our Empire in the East have therefore always been based by many of our high officials upon psychological and not logical considera-We hold Durbars and issue Proclamations we blow men from guns and insist stiffly on our own interpretation of our rights in dealing with neighbouring powers -all with reference to the 'moral effect upon the native mind' And if half of what is hinted at by some ultra imperialistic writers and talkers is true, racial and religious antipathy between Hundus and Mahomedans is some times welcomed, if not encouraged, by those who feel themselves bound at all costs to maintain our dominant position. It is when a Czar or a Bureaucracy find themselves forced to govern in opposition to a vague national feeling, which may at any moment create an overwhelming national purpose, that the facts of man's sublogical nature are most ruthlessly exploited. The autocrat then becomes the most

unscrupulous of demagogues, and stirs up religious or social hatred or the lust for foreign war with less scruple than does the proprietor of the worst newspaper in a The official hid in the claim of government heing hetter repredemocratic state sentatives of the people than the people themselves, is obviously one of these tactics of the Empire in the East It is pretended that the Indian people under the present alive hureaucracy are, or would for ever he, much better off than under the rule of a representative government, the reason assigned being that there exist religious. andracial differences among the different sections of the people But as pointed out of the Hon Mr Justice Abdur Rahim and Slr V B Chaubal in their dissenting minutes to the report of the Public Services Commission of 1913 this pretence is quite a hollow one Says Sir M B Chauhal "Ono cannot help being struck with the assumption that the capacity to represent the masses is taken for branted in the European and the Anglo Indian It is difficult to understand exactly what is intended to be conveyed by the word represent 'If it implies a knowledge of the conditions of his of the masses, their habits, their ways of living and thanking, their wants and grievances, the ability to enter into their thoughts and appreciate what is necessary to educate them. to give them higher ideas of life and make them realise their duties towards all about them, there ought to be no doubt that the educated Indian has all these in a far higher degree than any European or Anglo Indian can claim to have. The charge really is that the educated Indian has a class hias, a sort of clannishness, a tendency to favour his own class or community in the discharge of his official duties which detract from his usefulness in the higher service, and therefore the presence of the European in large numbers is necessary to hold the scales evenly hetween these few educated thousands and the dumb and the ignerant millions who would otherwise be oppressed by them. This is rather shallow pretence and I think it only fair to state that the class of educated Indians from which only the higher posts can be filled is singularly free from this narrowmindedness and class or caste bias. No instances of complaint on this score as against any of the Indian members of the Indian Civil Service would be available and the interests of the masses are likely to be far hetter understood and taken care of by the educated Indian than by the foreigner As a matter of fact all the mea sures proposed for the regeneration of the lower and the depressed classes have emanated from the educated Indians of the higher castes. The scheme for the free and compulsory education of the masses was proposed by an educated Indian of a high caste and supported mainly by the western educated classes. High souled and self sacrificing men are every day coming forward from this class to work wholeheartedly in improving the condition of the masses. Pathans the truth however unpalatable is that there are still a number of the average English officials in India who have a distrust and suspicion about the educated Indian.

19 Now let us 400 about (2) the racial and religious differences. These are not found in India alone Englishmen may now laugh at our castes and our sects and urge that as a sign of our unfitness for representative government But they forget that hardly two centuries ago as Macaulay says in his History of Lugland, they had so many sects among them that when a census was taken. nonulation was reckoned only by sects in religion and politics. Many of our readers must have read how the king of Brebdinging laughed at Gulliver a neith metic when the latter told him that the flumbers of the people of his country meaning England were counted by counting the people in different seets. Again so late as in 1577 in Ergland the number of all Churches and Chapels of the various dissening religious denominations was according to the 35th annual report of the Renistrar-General issued in that year, no less than 122 " (I negel per 'is Bri'anmed) The religious differences, especially between the Roman Catholics and Protestants were not very long age so keen that the former were regularly persecuted and disqualified from enjoying the equal rights of British citizenship. The story of their cruel treatment is too well snewn to the students of English history, and need not be told here

In Canada, things were no better before the grant of responsible government to that Colony Lord Durhain says in his report that he found there 'a struggle not

of principles but of races" He found "two nations warring in the bosom of a singlestate" 'It is scarcely possible," he says further in his report, "to conceive descendants of any of the great European nations more unlike each other in character and temperament, more totally separated from each other by language, laws and modes of life, or placed in circumstances more calculated to produce natural misunderstanding, lealousy or hatred than the English and the French "

If we turn to the history of the United States of America, we find that the colonists there, before the War of Independence, were not of one race. The histo rian Lecky says "Great bodies of Dutch, Germans, French, Swedes, Scots and Irigh, scattered among the descendants of the English, contributed to the hetero-Leneous character of the colonies and they comprised so many varieties of government, religious belief, commercial interest and social type that their union appeared to many incredible on the very eve of the revolution' Otis said in 1765, that "were these colonies left to themselves to morrow America would be a mere shambles of blood and confusion, before little petty states could be settled

Burnaby has pointedly remarked that 'Fire and water are not more heterogeneoue than the different colonies in North America and yet, in spite of all these differences the colonies could win and have been enjoying full Self Government

Woodrow Wilson in his book The State remarks that the "Swiss confederacy has, by clow processes of cautious federation, drawn togethor into a real union, commuraties the most diverse alike in point of race, of language and of institutions without destroying their individuality They (the Swise) went on to show the world how Germans, Frenchmen, and Italiane, if only they respect each the other's liberties as they would have their own respected, may by mutual helpfulness and forhearance huild up a union at once etable and free The same author again saye that 'a variety of races has been embraced within the domain of the Dual Monarchy First and most prominent is the three sided contrast between German, Slav and Magyar Again a Slav differs from Slav (as Hindu differs from a Hindu in India) by reason of many sharp divergencies of history, of speech, and of religion, and outside this classification there is added a miscollany of Italians, Croats Serbs, Roumanians Jows-men of almost every race and people of eastern Europe "

The enemies of Indian Self-Government who are fond of harping upon the socalled diversity of races and religions in India may take a lesson from this Those are little minded men who are unable to conceive that such a vast territory and population as in India can really he united in a common national feeling. It is not perhaps their fault, because nearer bome in Europe they see nothing but small fragments of humanity setting up as nations within small areas The Lilliputians could not look upon a man of averago stature except as a monster or an unnatural deformity of Nature They are either blinded by self-interest, or are deficient in imagination rather than in logic or ethics We are all familiar with the contemptuous saying of Metternich the Prince among Despots, that Italy was a mere geographical expression History has given us proof that even among apparently different communities, complete unity is effected as soon tha communities begin to feel that they are at liberty to do whatever they like with themselves, and that their lot is cast in one boat Enjoying the gift of responsible government French and British despite all historical quarrels and differences of religious belief, language and social structure have fused into the nation of Canada. India is notwithstanding her castes, certainly a more real nation to day than Germany only a century ago

23 Canada and South Africa are living axamples in the British Empire to show that (3) differences in languages, customs and manners and other elements of nationality do not stand in the way of getting or granting real self government French Canada, even to day, boasts of having its motto as nosins' ilutiones notre lunque it nos loss Bourinot says in his Canada that "At the present time the records and statutes of the Dominion are always given in two languages, French and English, and the same is true of all motions put by the speaker. In the legislature of the province of Quebec, French has almost excluded English In the supreme court of the Dominion, the arguments may be in French and the two Quebec Judges give their decisions in their own tongue. In the country in some remote communities English is never spoken and is understood only by the cure or notary."

About manners and customs, the same author says that "away from the St Lawrence, the French Canadians remain, relatively speaking, untouched by English customs'

Speaking about the United States of America, Lecky ways "Twenty one, years before New York fell into the hands of the English, it was computed that no less than eighteen different languages were spoken in or near the town "

The figures of Switzerland speak for themselves "Mrs. Hug and Mr. Stead in their book on Switzerland have quoted the following figures "Of the 2,934,037 people, 2,924,530 were German speaking, 637 972 Frunch speaking, 155,608 Italian speaking, 33,375 Romansch speaking, 8,574 were of other nationalities 1,724 937 were Protestants, 1,190,008 Catholies and 19,092 of other religions or none. And yet Switzerland had found no difficulty in gaining political rights from foreign overlords or from native autstocracies

21 In India it is supposed that very few persons have (4) public spirit and therefore she is said to be until for Home Rule. But this lack of public spirit is everywhere to be seen and can be seen more glaringly in the History of England itself. About the House of Lords Erskine May says in his Constitutional History of England that "The political weight of the House of Peers has been much affected by the passive indifference which it ordinarily displays to the business of legislation. Unless great party questions have been under discussion, the House has ordinarily presented the appearance of a select committee. Three peers may wield all the authority of the House.

About the masses in England after the passing of the Reform Bill of 1832, Mr Pollard says in his British Empire that "the landed class was the ruling class, the other classes had no continuous interest in politics and did not actively demand a franchise which they had not learned to value

Lord Bryos says 'Though it is usually assumed in platform speeches that the audience addressed are cutizens of the attmetive type everybody knows that in all communities, not only in Chicago hut even in Liverpool, let us say, or in Lyons or in Leipzig, a large proportion of the voters are so indifferent or so ignorant that it is necessary to rouse them, to drill them to hring them up to vote.

About the United States of America, before they won their independence Lecky says 'A country where so large a proportion of the inhabitants were recent immigrants, drawn from different nations, and professing different creeds and where the money making spirit was peculiarly intense was not likely to produce much patroitism or community of feeling

Adams says the same "Every way in the world is sought to get and save money, land jobbers, speculators in land, little generosity to the public, little public smirt.

22 Now coming to the head (5) of capacity for local self government we will for comparison take and examine the public life in England as exhibited in her various corporations, which were as much the seed beds of their political life and the nurseries of their civic freedom, as Parliament itself. Now theoretically every parish in England was, from times immemorial, and unippotheses warranted by the Common Law, an image and a reflection of the State The citizens in every parish were supposed to tax themselves on a voluntary basis, through their chosen representatives, and for local physets. But this theory of a representative government was a miserable fiction and completely belief in practice. For centures together, an exclusive system of local government prevailed, under which, a few self elected and irresponsible inhabitants imposed and administered taxes, and exercised local authority. The surpation was so long acquiseced in, that it became a custom, so as to create local exceptions to the Common Law of England. It was only in 1831 that the first attempt was made at municipal reform. The history of municipal government in England was, till a summinipal reform.

false democracy is as great in Spain, in Portugal, in Italy, may, in the last mentioned country it is perhaps greater"

The state of things in America, especially the United States, is no better, and Bryce's American Commonwealth teems with instances of corruption and jobbery We will quote here only a few sentences "Elections are entirely in the hands of party managers and the people have little to say in the matter" Politics in America, as Lilly puts it, is in fact 'a squabble over offices and jobs'

24 Anglo Indians always laugh at our social condition But what the social life of England was in the 17th century has been well described by Macaulay in his di England was in the says "Even at the end of the 17th century lawlessness was rampane throughout England, and even in London In some counties no one could was campain along and a wall. Men despaired of any protection from the state, and each town had to employ arified taen and ferocious dogs to protect them from robbers and bandits And huge stones and holling water were always kept ready by this garrison to crush and scald the plunderers Certain parts of England were, till the 19th century, inhabited by a race scarcely less savage than the Indians of California and half naked women chanted a wild measure while the men with brandished dirks danced a war dance In London itself darkness and danger ruled the roads at night with all the horrors of the Rome of Juvenal Oil Lamps flickered in some of the better streets, and link boys drove a busy trade in lighting belated wanderers to their homes saving them from perils of places where pavement was taken up or open sewere yawned The streets were infested by dangerous revellers who levied blackmail in defiance of law. In Covent Garden a noisy and filthy market was held close to the dwellings of the great Fruit women screamed, carters fought, and rotten apples were accumulated in heape at the thresholds of countessee The beggars were noisy and importunate St James Square was a receptacle for all the offal and the cindars, for all the dead cate and the dead dogs of Westminister The pavement of the city was detestable. The drainage was so bad that in rainy weather the gutters eoon became torrents. When the evening closed in, the difficulty and danger of walking about London became great indeed. The garret windows were opened and pails of dirty water were emptied upon passere by Streets were ill lighted There was one light before one house in ten, during a email part of one night in three And Londoners etrenously opposed all schemes of lighting The penny post was regarded as a popish contrivance The public conveyances were not numbered because no one could read them

As for the vices of Government, they were many The ministers were corrupt The public services were starved so that the courtiers and the favourites of ministers should be pampered Some of the Revenues were farmed and unjust exactions were scandslous Ministers took hribes and made illegal gains enough to support dukedoms '

'The squire or a gentleman of the time was little better than a rustic miller or an alchouse Leeper His accomplishments were no better than of a clown He hved very shabbily and the litter of a farm yard gatherd under the windows of his bed chamber and cabbages and bushes grew close to his hall door '

The English have been ridiculously conservative and opposed to change even when the change was obviously and unmistakably for the better. According to Synge, the author of History of Social life in England, "one of the most striking points in the study of material progress in England is the sturdy opposition experienced in every age to inevitable advance Thus in 1571 Holinshed, a cultured author, groaned over the new-fashioned chimney because it sent smoke up a given channel thstead of allowing it to escape through any chance crack in the roof."

"English people bitterly opposed the introduction of steam They abused railway trains revolted against gas lighting, and opposed the motor car"

With regard to the general argument that Europe is after all more civilised than India, it is indeed very difficult to meet it. But meeting general argument by a general reply we may say that the word civilisation has a number of meanings, and that the same fact of alleged civilisation is likely to be alternately pronounced to be good or evil, if we present it to the judgment of a number of people with varying cultures or temperaments. A situation may lend itself to a number of phases. none of which could be pronounced definituely to be superior or inferior to another. or hetter or worse than another If civilisation means a mode of practical happiness or comfort, views about civilisation must vary exactly in the same proportion as the number of theories about comfort and happiness. To give a few concrete instances We all say that while the outlook of the European is generally strictly secular, that of the Indian is tinged with spirituality. Europeans exhibit a taste for complexity of life, whereas the Indiana lean towards simplicate In Europe "not only the mental atmosphere but the social life are based upon the idea that a man who wishes for a pleasant life will shew energy in its pursuit, will take endless small trouble will not feel exertion of mind and will, any more than the piston of a steam engine feels rising and falling ' That, however, says Meridith Townsend, is not the hasis of society in Asia, where the root idea is that those who have not to live by labour are to enloy a certain exemption from worry, to do as they please, and, while respecting certain immutable but few and definite laws, are to be released in a great measure from the atmospherio pressure of opinion. Mr Senior has pitbily remarked about the difference of life in Paris and Constantinople "My Liberal friends here complain of the want of political liberty What I complain of ie the want of social liberty it is far the more important. Few people suffer from the despotism of a Government, and those suffer only occasionally But this cocial despotiem, this despotism of salone, this code of arbitrary little reglements, observances prohibitions and exigencies affects everyhody, every day and every hour' Standards of comfort may easily vary The European cannot do without furniture, for example, but an Indian very well may If the European wastes hie money on drees, the Indian wastes his on ornaments Again, as observed by Mr Meredith Townsend, "To raise the Indian's standard of comfort and so fill all India with discontent in order that furniture-dealers and clothiers and confectioners may make great husineesthis effort to revolutionise the habits of a continent seems hardly worth while "

25 Lastly, it is sometimes urged that India is incapable of self government as should not defend herself against foreign invasions. But Mr. George Bernard shaw has given a cruching regly to this argument in the columns of the Commonteed. He sage: "The truth is, all nations have heen conquered and all peoples have submitted to tyrannies which would provide sheep or spaniels to insurrection. I know nothing in the history of India that cannot be paralleled from the histories of Europe The Pole, whitest, handsomest, most operatically heroic of Europeans, has eaten dirt in the East, as the equally remainte Irishman has in the West. Germany has given such exhibitions of helpless political disintegration accompanied by every atrocity or intermectine warfare as India at her worst can never hope to surpass. If India is incapable of Self Goverment, all nations are incapable of it for the syndency of history is the same everywhere."

26 It is absurd to fix a deductive ideal standard of fitness for liberty would be so bigh that no human society in history would be found to have reached it before attaining liberty. Liberty is a birth right and it can not be allowed to be made conditional upon any arhitrary qualification. As Gladstone had said 'It is liberty alone that fits men for liberty ' And political liberty is the fulcrum on which man's improvementhangs-improvement almost in every direction. As Mr Fielding-Hall has remarked in The Passing of Empire 'For an individual to reform, his whole environment must be reformed as welt For example, take widow remarrisge-How can widows remarry in comfort till the whole structure of Hindu convention is changed? Not one individual, nor a million individuals can break a convention There is a strong feeling, as we know, amongst Hindus against this and many other conventions that stiffe, but every effort to break these chains has failed Why? Because to hreak fetters bound upon society by religion or convention takes the combined effort of society, and even then it is difficult But we have not allowed the collectiva instinct any opportunity of develop-There are no nuclei, there is nothing to draw the people together 17

Only self-governing institutions do tend to remove the differences created by races, religions, castes. In the village communal life they are to a considerable extent ignored. The organism of the village, when healthy and free, forces men to disregard artificial barriers of this sort and meet on common ground for common business Solidarity comes from the sense of the necessity for solidarity in order to get on No other influence can do it. History shows this clearly It was this influence in England that rendered Catholic emancipation possible and brought creeds politically together Did we in England live still under an aristocracy as we did a hundred years ago, the divisions between Catholic and Protestant Churchmen and Dissenters, Christian and Agnostic, would still be as sharp as they were These artificial barriers of creed and race give way only under the pressure of a stream of national life That is beginning already to flow in India, ba ours the task to help it flow in true and widening channels so that it may become a great river, fertilising all things. And now for those who express doubts as to the success of a democracy in oriental countries we shall reply in the following words of Prof Hobhouse -"The success of democracy depends on the response of the voters to the opportunities given them But convserely the opportunities must be given in order to call forth the response The exercise of popular government is itself an education Enfranchisement itself may precisely be the stimulus needed to awaken interest. The hallot alone effectively liberates the quiet eltizen from the tyranny of the shouter and the wire-puller An impression of existing inertness alone is not a sufficient reason for withholding responsible government or restricting the area of the suffrage That which is most apt to frighten a governing class or rece a clamour on the part of an unenfranchised people for political rights-is to the democrat precisely the strongest reason that he, can have for helieving them fit for the exercise of civic responsibility. Nothing has been more encouraging to the Liberalism of Western Europe in recent years than the eigne of political awakening in the East. Until yesterdey, it seemed as though it would in the end be impossible to reaist the ultimate destiny of the white races to be masters of the rest of the world. The awakening of the orient from Constantinople to Psking is the greatest and most hopeful fact of our time "



Chapter VII

India and the Empire

We have attempted so far to show that the grant of self government by England to India is necessitated by the following considerations viz. (1) The fitness of the Indian people for self government by reason of their great and ancient civilisation, and even their present education and capacity for administration, (2). The declared policy of the British Sovereign and the British Parliament. (3) The complete failure of Parliamentary control and of the India Government to do full justice to that policy and to the national ambition of the Indian people But there is yet perhaps a far more important and transcendental reason why India must be immediately put on a self containing, self governing and self-respecting footing. This reason is derived from the position of England as well as India in the world-empire; and in order to be able to understand and appreciate that reason we must briefly advert to the trend of the world-politics as we now see it developing

- . 2 The insular position of England is its most important feature. And that insular position was a point more of strength than weakness to England in the early neriod of her history As Freeman has said, "In all ages, and among all changes of inhabitants, the insular character of Britain has been one of the ruling facts of its history Its people of whatever race or speech, whatever their political condition at home or their political relation to other countries, have been shove all things. 'In the third and fourth century, Tutonic tribes, themselves free from Romanic influence, invaded and settled in England, and for fourteen hundred years afterwards, the development of England as a nation went on uninterrupted by foreigners, owing to its secluded and protected position she enjoyed hehind the shelter of the weter walls that surrounded her on all sides social and political life, indeed, changed from inherent causes from time to time. but it never completely exchanged itselffor any other system. It indeed horrowed now and then from foreign services, but it only assimilated what it borrowed with its It often changed its outward form, but its substance remained un touched.' The Norman invaders, though foreigners in one sense, permenently cettled in the land, and only helped to accomplish thet national unity in England which the Saxons had failed to establish The light of the Reformation indeed reached England from outside, its seeds were sown by the six thousand copies of the New Testament sent by Luther to the shores of England, but its development and complete fruition was brought about in England by her own efforts and without any interference by foreign political powers Henry VIII claimed to be supreme in religious as well as political government, and he accomplished the Reformation with the same masterful hand as he accomplished the apotheosis of personal rule or autocracy by King as a Divine deputy on the earth
- 3 The Seven Year's War proved a turning point in the history of England. The war was senicely ended before a spirit of resileasness became visible in English sea men and the consciousness began to dawn upon England that the was destined to be a great sea-power English sea men began to penetrate into far off seas. By the year 1768, while the foundation of territorial sovereignty was established in India by the grant of the Dewan to the E I Company by the Great Mogul, the Atlantic had alroady become a mere strait within the British Empire, the first fruit of English Colonisation in America had before to ripe and henvy to be sustained any longer on the elender branch of statutary connection between the Americans and the British sovereign, and Captain Cook had travered the Pholifo from end to ond, claiming the soil for the Crown at every place he touched in New Zoalmd and Australis, and thus opened a second new world for the expansion of the English race. In the words of Edmund Burke:
- "The Parliament of Britain begin to claim an imperial cheracter in which as from the throne of Heaven, she superintends nil the several inferior legislatures and guides and controls them all, without annihilating any

- The general drift or goal of English history since the 18th century has been territorial expansion and the foundation of Greator Britain though the English people were not sufficiently conscious of this fact. The Little Englander, who could think of Englishmen as nothing but a race inhabiting an is land off the northern coast of the continent of Europe, was in very much evalence till late in the 19th century But he is now fast disappearing The Great Englander has taken his place, and also the Imperial Englander Englishman has now definitely discovered that he was 'talking prose all the while' in the matter of expansion of England without knowing it While the expansion itself was going on he was unconscious of the process. Like a somnambulist he was moving and acting in sleep, and like a somnambulist also he was wonder struck to sec, when he woke, the results of his own movements and actions But the Englishman is certainly not willing to give up the gains made by himself in sleep or absent mindedness And he is right. Why should be give up these gains? Not only that they are legitimately his own, but what is of more consequence is that he sorely needs them in the present hour of hie difficulty, and will need them even more sorely forever afterwards because times threaten to be more and more anxious and perilous for him. If what is now made could possibly be wholly unmade, and the Englishman were given a fresh option to choose, he might conceivably refuse to accept the expansion of England in the colonies and in the Indian Empire. burdened if it must be with such enormous cares and responsibilities. But what has lfappened is irrevocable
 - Not only has the actual fact of expansion been accomplished, hut also most of its intellectual and moral consequences. As Prof Scoly observes, 'People cannot change their abodes mass from an island into a continent from the 50th degree of . north latitude to the tropics or the Southern Hemisphero, from an ancient community to a new colony, from yest manufacturing cities to sugar plantations or to lonely sheen walks in countries where aboriginal savage tribes still wander, without changing their ideas and habits and ways of thinking, nay, without somewhat modifying their physical type ' And similarly with reference to the Indian Empire also we can say that people cannot found empires and rule and exploit alien races and countries without building up political habits material interests and moral prestige which eventually become vital, so that an Imperial set back must mean national death. If England had cared only for the growth of her own civilisation and her own liberty or liberties, she couldcortainly have accomplished that growth by remaining cooped up within England itself She might not then have caused jealousy or heart burning to any continental nation and might have been more free from the fear of forcign invasion without any effort than she is now in spite of her supreme efforts and preparations But Englishmen were ambitious, and not self contained. They felt an inner prompting that to live in stagnation, in a small island like England, was not to do justice to their full capacity as merchants, as administrators as soldier- as statesmen and as (lod s own human agents for the purpose of spreading civilisation, enlightenment and political freedom upon the surface of this wide world. They, therefore, entere upon a quest of expansion or self-realization, which, though the means used by them in the process have been more than often questionable, has certainly ended in results which are really wonderful and do them immense credit
 - 6 In hor pursuit of a colonial empire, Englard begon into but the soon outstripped all her rivals She had no originality and merely limitated herneighbours but she proved that genius is nothing but a capacity for taking labinity pains. Even at the end of the 16th century the Inglish were quite beginners in the maritime career whereas, the Spanish had already carvedout Viceroy allies in the American continent and Spanish missionneries had visited Japan France can onlier Spain and Portugal, but she was superior to England in marritime enterprise. Till the time of Chirabeth England was behind her neighboure also in point of manufacturing shill or knowledge 'She was being reddom fatigued with had labour, she led a life, (like india) meto spiritual than refuncd. Business had than its centro in central Europe, in Italy and Gerraay 'She was simply despized in the chief commercial centres. Il was only in the eighteenth century that England made headway, and

she has since then maintained successfully the lead that she got The opening of the Atlantic ocean and the insular position of England combined to give her peculiar advantages over her rivals. Like France or Spain, England was not involved in European politics, like Holland and Portugal, she was not torm by internal conflicts. The result was that, in the end out of the five states which competed for the New World, success fell to only one viz, England, which did not show the strongest vocation for colonisation and did not surpass others in daring invention or energy. The progress of England in colonisation proved, in the end, so swift and sure that though, at the end of the 18th century, she lost such a great colonial engine as the United States of America, she immediately founded another in Canada and Australia And today, England stands first among her peers in point of the extent and the value of her colonial possessions

- 7 The dimensions of the present British Empire are simply astounding There is no integral part of the world in which, England has not a possession of her own The total area of the British Empires 13,153,742 square miles while she has, under direct or indirect rule, a total population of 435 millions. The revenue of the Empire comes to about £ 340,750 000, the greatest that falls to the lot of any nation of the world of the modern or ancient times
- But to keep and maintain an empire is perhaps a more serious task than to acquire it For, while the keeping of it requires almost a superhuman effort, the loss of an empire like this is bound to result in a harmful reaction which would go farther than the mere loss of the accretion, and involve a dwindling of the original body of the British Commonwealth. It is almost too terrible for words to say what the loss of the Empire would mean to England Even looking at the situation merely from a material point of view we find that the stake of England in the Empire is enor mou. It is computed that a capital of some 1 thousand millions of pounds has been locked up by England in India Canada Australia and the other parts of the Em-The loss of this capital alone is calculated to ruin England considerably, though we know that her resources are apppreciably great. On the other hand, the danger, in the path of England already great enough, are sure to increase in Writing in the year 1890, the late Sir Charles Dilke in his Problems course of time of Greater Britain observed as follows - The enormous forces of European militarism may crush the old country and destroy the integrity of our Empire before the growth of the newer communities that it contains, has made it too strong for the attack. It is conceivable that within the next few years, Great Britain might be drawn into war, and receive in that war, at the hands of a coalition n blow from which she would not recover, and one of the consequences of which would be the loss of Canada and of India and the proclamation of Australian independence as are our military resources for a prolonged conflict, they are inadequate to meet the unprecedented necessities of a sudden war. We import half of our food we import the immense masses of our raw materials which are essential to our indus try The vulnerability of the United Kingdom has become greater with the exten sion of her trade, and by the universal admission of her naval authorities it would be either difficult or impossible to defend that trade against a sudden attack by France aided by another considerable naval power. Our enormous resources would be almost useless in the case of such a sudden attack because we should not have time to call them forth Dilke has proved practically to be a true prophet For though the danger anticipated by him has come not from France or Russia but from the central continental powers and though England has been able to rally her resources of strength quite in time still we may remember two things (I) that the danger pointed by Dilke has been realised to be true and not merely imaginary, and (2) that political alliances of nations are fleeting and fitful things, so that the allies of to day may become the enemies of to morrow During the last twenty or thirty years, the possibility of England having to go to war with America, France and Russia was ever adverted to, though all these nations are now fighting on the side of England But who knows what the whirlgig of time may bring duting the next twenty or thirty years? Political Expediency is a master juggler who holds in bis hand a magic kaleidoscope, and takes malicious delight in making and breaking

110 combinations and even sworn alliances The protection of the Empire is therefore, an eternal problem so far as Fugland is concerned though her enemies may change from time to time according to the pazzle of circumstances Englandwill therefore, for all time in future need the friendship and the assistance of the Coloniee as well as India

- 9 To secure this end British statesmen have already been moving during the last twenty or twenty five years as the records of the Imperial Conference will show The Imperial Conference somewhat academic in its discussions before the war has begun to be more practical since that event The problem hefore the Con ference has been how to secure for the members of the British Empire the closer union described by the President of 1911 Conference in the words hut units in a greater Unity The exact form of the relations between England and the other members of the Imperial hody can not be yet said to have been final ly decided hut a radical change has heen definitely introduced into the old rela tions under which the Imperial Conference was regarded as a mere advisory hody An Imperial Cahinet has taken the place of an Imperial Conference the represen tatives of the Colonies no longer merely advise but actually vote on questions of Imperial policy and lovers of the Commonwealth are devising schemes by which imperial taxation may be levied in order that the imperial tax payers may be the more entitled to claim not only due representation hut an effective control in the management of the relations of England with the other nations of the world The trustee ship of the Empire over the Commonwealth including India and other dependencies is to he a more real and more living thing and the principle of the responsibility of the Cabinet is to he extended to the external as well as the internal affairs of the Empire
 - 10 We have thus indicated the general trend of the new policy which has been mangurated for the better protection or the Empire But we need not dwell upon the relations between the Colonies and England for the reason that the Colonists them selves have taken the problem in their own hands most earnestly and may be trusted to take care of their husiness The case of India however stands on a different footing in many respects and we propose therefore to specially consider the post tion of India in relation to England in view of the dangers which threaten the Empire The interests of both these countries are mutual and the chiect of any in quiry into their future relations must be to estimate the consequences to either of them arising from a hreak up of the Empire
 - 11 That Ind a should pass away from British rule is simply unthinkable from the point of view of India herself The plain fact must be admitted that India if left to herself in the present state of world politics will not be able to protect herself to nersul it the places of the nation though it is in a process of unification is against foreign invasion. The nation though it is in a process of unification is not yet completely united. And even supposing it were completely united in mind. and action it will not be able to take such concerted military measures as will enable it to succeasfully oppose the invading army of a powerful nation if the latter succeeds in securing a naval or a land hase in India As for naval defence India has no Navy not even a sufficient number of ateamers for the purpose of carrying on its own coasting trade and passenger traffic The oldahip huilding industry in India hae heen destroyed since the advent of the Bretish rule and no sailing ship above a few tons and adapted to anything but carrying ordinary cargo can he built at any of the few Indian ship yards The British Government has maintained no Navy for India and there is now not a single Indian who may be said to have even anidea of what a practical naval hattle operation means. In the times of the Marathas 1 e in the 18th century the people on the western coast of India knew how to fight on the sea. But all that is gone during the last hundred years England has always expected that she would be able to protect ludia with the aid of the British Navy thousands of miles away hut under the hypothetical conditions of British rulers shandoning - that is to say having to abandon India by necessitythis possession India has to be at the mercy of any foreign naval power that may choose to invade her provided she is abla to take care of England or has no reason to fear from England owing to the circumstances of the time

12 As for a land-battle, India is of course in a much better condition. She has outte an abundance of martial material Under, British rule, Indians have forgotten what it is to command on a hattle field, as the entire duty of command has been reserved for British officers. But as soldiers, they can still render a good account of themselves, as the battle fields, famous in the present war in any of its theatres, can testify But it must also be remembered that soldiers can not fight without arms have been, however, conspicous by their disappearance in India since the Mutiny, Except men who have had the good fortune to serve in the Indian army, no Indian can now claim, thanks to the Arms Act and its drastic operation any acquaintance with arms of any kind. If, under the above mentioned hypothesis, British rule were to disappear and anarchy were to prevail, or a provisional government for the defence of India were to take its place, arms might be imported from foreign countries. But it would not be possible to import these in sufficient qualitities to equip the large garrison that will have to be raised to oppose an invading army Then, again, the quality of arms is a great factor in these times Every military nation in the world has its own factories of arms, and every such nation has made some arm or another its speciality, so that it possesses secrets of arms manufacture which are not known to other countries. Presumbably, therefore, a hastily raised army of defence, armed with such weapons as it may get, will not be able to hold its own against a determined invader who may be expected to arm his drilled men with the latest and the best arms In olden times, when only the numbers of fighting men decided battles, India could hope to, and often did, defend herself against invaders. So also in the days of the Mogul rule, it became possihle for the Marathas, who were armed with swords and spears to successfully fight the Mogul armies, because, it was then a question not of superior arms but of ., the mere strength of numbers and the personal bravery and skill of individual soldiers But they were not able to defend themselves against the British army in the ninet-enth century, because of the superior arms, also and the superior military organisation, of the British The halcyon primitive days are gone when the Indian peasant, called upon to fight in the cause of his king or his country, would walk straight from his agricultural field to the battlefield and show his valour with such rustic arme as might come handy. The Indian peasant is still ready, when occasion calls, to beat his plough shear into the sword, but he knows he has not now much chance to win, as he had in the good old days, against a foreign invader if the latter bears superior arms. He may be willing to give up his life, but hie life can hardly bring a commensurate return. He is ready to take his place in the improvised patriotic garrison, but a whole garrison may now be swept off the field by a single machine gun

13 The only chance of defence for an Indian army, under the hypothesis, remains in a guerilla warfare when the enemy has penetrated into the land. But guerilla warfare has its limitations Millions of Indians, if fired and roused up by patriotism can be depended upon for doing a good deal by way of harassing the invader and carrying on a pitiless war of attrition. But that can after all come to very little The Marathas did nearly the whole of their warfare in their struggle for independence after the death of Shivaji and often times even in his own days, by these very guerilia methods But their success was due more to the in. capacity of the Mogul armies, and even this success took long in crowning their Then again the guerille warfare was not always an uninterrupted story of success. Even the Marathas had to give up forts after forts when hard pressed by the determined commanders of the Mogul army and the struggle eventually ended in favour of the Marathas, mainly because the Mogul aimies on the whole, were either hereft of good leadership or because they themselves became corrupt and degenerate The Boers in South Africa resorted to guerilla warfare when they had to retreat before the superior numbers of the British armies armed with powerful artillery, and they did wonders indeed with this kind of warfare But they had eventually to surrender and accept defeat. In short the chances of India defending herself successfully against the armies of a modern nation, armed with modern arms of destruction, are very small indeed. And under our hypothesis, there is no other conclusion but that if the British withdrew from

India, she must eventually be prepared to lie low at the foot of a foreign invador who has kept his own nation on a war-footing, and is possessed of sufficient naval strength to effect a landing and establish a base on the Indian shore

14 And what will the substitution of any other rule for British rule mean to India? The answer is a simple one It will mean absolute ruin. The first results after a change of rulers are invariably injustice, oppression, confiscation, and carnage on a colossal scale The twilight of revolution is a temptation for hold and adventurous spirits who move out to try their hands at risings and rebellions But these, if unsuccessful rebound with a vengeance, as has been witnessed even in the present war. And then think of the weary process of pacification and settlement! A change of rulers necessarily means a change of policy, and a change of the administrative machinery, and it must be a long time again before an established civil government begins to operate like a clock work. The whole of the old order must change, involving a destruction of the institutions which had perhaps taken centuries to take root in the country,-institutions, again, which, in some cases at any rate had taken root so deep as to touch the very heart of the people, so that the uprooting of them must mean almost an uprooting of the nation's heart And then, who can say what sort of rulers the new ones may be? And herein lies the crux of the whole question. The British rulers have been bad enough from some points of view, as we have already attempted to show But what guarantee is there that the new rulers under the hypothesis, will not be worse? Invaders never invade for seeking Their first object is material gain for themselves and their apiritual salvation And when we know that India has been already so impoverished, kith and kin can we not imagine what it will mean for India to he subjected to the thirst for wealth in a newcomer? We speak frankly, and perhaps also bluntly when we say, that it is already enough for India to have been subjected to the bleeding process of foreign exploitation for the last one or two centuries Can she stand the operation again at the hands of another nation? If England has exploited India, she has wa think by now done it to her heart's content. If for one thing her hunger is satisted to a degree her duty on the other hand has also called a halt and she has already commenced to take measures which may replenish the economic blood in Indias veins in course of time But a newcomer will come with a fresh hunger without any sense of a moral duty towards India and it is likely that the gruesome scenes of Spanish rapacity in Mexico or the Belgian atrocities in the Congo, may he reenacted in India on only a larger scale

15 But there is yet another consideration in the matter of this hypothetical change of rulers And it is in our opinion the greatest of them all India now knows pretty well though at second hand the political opinions and creeds of most of the leading nations of the world and she is absolutely convinced that she can not possibly fare better under any other rulers Asiatic or European except perhaps the French and the Americans The Americans had so far no great dependencies under them and their treatment of the Filipinoes is reported to be very fair and just on the whole The freedom-loving people of the far West are credited with an instinctive repulsion against being concerned with the government of backward communities But in India the United states may perhaps find a people more congenial to their temper and in greater harmony with their political impulses Itis, therefore, possible that supposing India were to pass under the rule of the Americans she might possibly make even swifter political progress than she has so far made under the British rule Similarly the French have by this time, their republican tendencies consolidated and confirmed and indging by the treatment which they have given to the Indian subjects in the tiny remnants of territorial possessions to which they still cling with tenacity in India, the French may make of India sooner or later a self governing pariner But the evidence of the treatment by the I rench of the people in their dependencies in other parts of the world is not of an unmixed character For in Algeria and Morocco they have not been free from an imperial bias, and their critics can legitimately say that even the people, who were the first in the world to raise the standard of Equality, Liberty and Fraternity have in practice two different standards of humanity, one for themselves and another for

some of the coloured people under their rule. But the possibility of either America or France invading and conquering India may be safely laid aside as beyond the pale of the practical. The maritime power of France is neither in the ascendent at the present moments or likely to augment appreciably in thenear future. And as for America, she has only just begun to put on the naval armour, and the power that she may acquire in a reasonable time will probably all be needed for her own defensive purposes. The Monroe Doctrine has the character more of a symptom of the consciousness that America is lable to foreign interference and invasion than of an empty propestion in the philosophy of the territorial or national integrity Moreover, America has ample elbow-room for expansion in her own tyrritory; and by way of development of her own resources, and in the maintenance of the lead in peaceful commerce which she has, in certain respects, shready secured she has enough and more to do to keep her bury for generalplos to come

16 The only other ambitious nations that we can think of in this respect are Germany, Russia, Japan or China But the mere mention of these names is enough to convince any well-rend Indian that under any of these, India cannot possibly hope to get that national liberty or attain that national greatness which she may hope to have under the British rule England may be slower in these matters than America. or less impulsive than France But we may be confident that, judging by the present signs England is not going to turn her face back from the goal which she has laid before her eyes-the goal of making India a self governing nation under the wais of British rule, and n partner on an equal footing with the Colonies in the great British Empire It is impossible to think of a reaction in this matter. and the tide of self-government is bound to flow on, though individual waves may seem to recede after reaching the shore or even break down before reaching it. It is difficult to sny what destiny may have actually in store either " for England or India, but assuming that England is not wiped off from the surface of the globe by a physical cataclysm, or that India is not conquered by some other poworful nation after defeating England, we can see no other picture than that of India hoing a living nation, great as she was great before, and an equal partner in the Empire For these rensons Indians can have no other wish to entertain, or no other prayer to offer to the Almighty, than that the connection between England and India should never cease, and that under British rule itself India should, for all Practical purposes, get national independence

17 We have seen so far how the severance of the connection between England and India is almost unthinkable from the point of view of India herself England is indispensable to India but is not India equally indispensable to England? Can Englishmen sarenely contemplate a state of things in which India has been dismembered from the Empire? Or, to put it in another way, can the British Empire itself be said to be an Empire without India, or can it even endure as an Empire, for the matter of that, without India? If India is proudly characterised as the hrightest lowel in the British Crown, how will that crown look when that lewel has been knocked off from it? Also, will the material prosperity of England remain what it is if she has been deprived of the advantages of commerce with India and the strength of the resources derived from India? And first, with regard to these material considerations we have to point out that, even apart from the wealth which England has already exploited from India, her present commerce with India is in itself a highly valuable British asset. In this respectit may be claimed that India in nearly twice as valuable to England as any of her two great colonies put together The following, figures, quoted from the S'alis'ical Abstract, of Imports and Exports to and from the United Kingdom for the year 1912 la typical year before the war) will prove the truth of this observation

Countries.	d mano as from the United		Superio / Esperio
Total Br 1th Possessions India	36 121 "37 36 121 "37 36,150,130	175,314 ~59 37 548,6*3 33 548,6*3 33 544,7;1 23,511,311 10,350,318	23 17 20 17

- 18 We are aware that England could conceivably carry on her commerce with India even if she were dismembered from the Empire But it is almost a selfevident truth that England's commerce with India must necessarily be far greater when she is within the Empire than if she be out of it Of course the maxim that 'trade follows the flag must be taken to be true only in a limited sense, for there can be trade and commercial intercourse between independent nations who can not tolerate the appearance of each other's flag in their own country But the maxim is certainly true in this special sense that the flag bas an infinitely greater power of attraction for trade than anything else, for the obvious reason that the flagcarries with it a tacit assurance of protection for trade. There are also other considerations in this matter Trade and commerce between independent nations are limited by the action and reaction of rivalry or jealousy between them, and readers of the history of tariff wars that have been waged in the world hitherto need not be told that trade and commerce often meet with dead walls, which they cannot either pull down or climb over, and thus receive a definite set back. Similarly also it has been proved by experience that between friendly nations, and much more so between members of the same nation or empire, tariff schedules may be so framed as to afford preference to selected constituents and thus give an artificial stimulus or encouragement to the mutual trade and commerce between themselves... Protection and preference in some form or other have had, after all, a longer lafebistory than free trade in the economic chronicles of the world and though it is often a difficult problem to completely reconcile the somewhat conflicting interests between different members of the same nation or empire, still the community of their political interests never fails to suggest a practical solution. If sacrifices have to be made for bringing about this reconciliation, they have, at least the consolation that the sacrifices are made willingly and consciously for the well being of . the state as a whole But the point is perhaps so obvious that we need not elaborate it any more
 - 19 Adverting to the special case of the trade relations between India and England, one finds from a reference to the import and export tablesthat England draws its supply of food drink and tobacco from India more than from Canada, and that the British Indian supply in this respect is at least equal to the supply afforded by Australia and New Zealand together But the umportance of India stands out very clearly when we advert to the supply of raw materials and articles partly manufactured. In 1912 while this supply from India was valued at £ 13 millions that from Canada came to only £ 4 millions and that from Australia and New Zealand came to £7 and 6 millions respectively So that here again we find that India singly is equal to two of the great British Colonies Then again we know that England has irrevocably assumed the character of a manufacturing country and that ber textile and other industries are the soul of her industrial prosperity, and for this reason she has largely to depend for raw materials. required by these industries upon India To take only one example, India supplies to England the largest quantity of raw cotton (excepting of course U S A and Egypt which are however foreign countries) The second in rank among the British possessions supplying raw cotton, is British East Africa but its supply comes to less than 25 per cent of the supply from British India But raw cotton is not the only valuable raw material supplied by India For India's fibres oils and seeds. indigo are all playing a daily increasing part in the export trade of India England also has to depend upon India to a large extent for wheat and tea coffee, cinchona and such other valuable agricultural products
 - 20 Notis Andia less important to England as a market for English manufactures and other merchandise. In the year 1913, India imported from England merchandise valued at Rs. 1,275 millions which nearly equals the value of all the merchandise put logisther which she imported from all other countries. She imported it erchandises valued at only 126 millions of rupees from Germany which comes second in tank. Then follow. Java with 107, United States. 47, Japan 47, Austria 48, Belgium 42-all millions of rup-es-and so on. The growth of imports from highand into India has been great indeed during the last few years. And yet

this growth is by no means yet finished. At present the Indian population is. England's customer to the extent of about 4 rupees per h ad per year. But with the growth of the prosperity of the Indian people their, buying capacity is bound togrow, and so also the demand for England's manufactures and merchandise

21 But when we advert to the fact that the Eastern policy of England is an integral part of her world policy, and that India occupies a highly strategical position in the East then only we see that the value of India to England from this point of view easily dwarfs any importance she may have from the point of view of trade and commerce Sir Charles Dilke has observed in his Problems of Greater Britain that there are dreamers in England who appear to think that Englishmen should leave India to itself and that they would be content to face the loss of trade that may be caused to England by the possible adoption of a protectionist policy in Figure To them he replies by saying that Besides trade there is the interest upon capital and India remits so much money for various purposes to England that in this sense too a peaceful and friendly India seems almost necessary for our existence Further by way of illustrating what he calls the higher evils resulting from the loss of India he says I would hid them reflect upon the hopeless insularity that would overtake the British people if deprived of the remantic interest that the possession of India lends to our national life. There can be no two opinions among reasonable men'as to the necessities of every kind that force us to link our fate to our continued domination throughout India A more eloquent exponent in this matter is Lord Curzon In his book The Problems of the Far East Lord Curzon (then Mr Within the horders of India may be studied every one of the pro Curzon) says blems with which the East of Asia challenges our concern But her central and commanding position is nowhere better than in the political influence which she exercises over the destinies of her neighbours near and far and the extent to which their fortunes revolve upon an Indian axis The independence of Afganistan the continued national existence of Persia the maintenance of Turkish rule at Bandad are, one and all dependent upon Calcutta Nay the radiating circle of her influence overlaps the adjoining continents and effects alike the fate of the Bosphorus and the destinies of Egypt. Nor is the effect less remarkable if examined upon the eastern side. It is from the jealousy of India and to impair the position which India gives to Great Britain in the Fur East that France has again embarked upon an Asiatic career It is novancing from the South East with steps that faithfully correspond with those of Russia upon the North West Heritage of the Indian Empire has within the last 10 years made us the land neighbours of China and has inultiplied three fold the area of our diplomacy at Pekin. Even the futures of remote Korea are in a manner bound up with the politics of Hindustan seeing that it is by the same foe that in the last resert both are threatened and that the tactics which aim at the appropriation of the smaller unit have us their ulterior object the detri ment of the greater. Such and so supreme is the position emoved in the Asian continent by the Empire of the Kaiser I Hind. Towards her or into her orbit a cen tripetal force which none appear able to resist draws every wandering star Just as it may be said that the Eastern question in Europe turns upon the dismemberment of Turkey so the Eastern question in Asia turns upon the continued solidarity of Hindustan

more, of a navy sufficiently powerful to sweep the seas, she sees that England has retained that unique and commanding position in the west which was won for us by the industry and force of character of our people, by the universal wealth of these islands, by the stability of our government, and by the colonising genius of our sons By similar methods Japan hopes to arrive at n more modest edition of the same result in the east. Like the English, her people are stubborn fighters and horn sailors If she can but intimidate any would be enemy from attempting a landing upon her shores, and can fly an nuchallenged flag over the surrounding waters, while from her own reasources she provides occupation, sustenance, clothing and wages for her people, she will fulfill her role in the international politics of tho future "

- 23 It was formerly supposed that China would be the foremost rival and enemy of England in the Far East. The disparity however, since discovered, between the progress made by China and Japan, shows that China a place in this respect has been definitely taken by Japan Lord Curzon, when he wrote his hook in 1896, seemed to doubt that Japan would be the triumphant hearer of the yellow flag, which she had torn from the hands of China in the impending campaign against the white ensign in the Asiatic tropics though Japan would, as, he admitted play the role of England in the far east But indications and omens are changing The Angio Japanese alliance was a great event, but who knowe that Anglo Japanese hostilities might not be a greater event still? By this alliance, Japan successfully eliminated Russia and Germany from China But if Japan finds temorrow i e after the expiry of the present alliance, that her national interests would be better served by not continuing it, she will claim the right not to hamper herself by renswing that alliance A Japanese friend of India writing in this years February number of the Modern Review, testifies that the Japanese people to day regard Great Britain as their first rival in Asia 'Hundreds of articles are said to have been published in Japan, even during the present war, by responsible persons expressing the opinion that the Anglo-Japanese alliance should not be continued after its expiry And some of them have gone so far as to demand its abrogation even during this war. Many Japanese think, that a Russo Japanese alliance is now more suited to their interest for the obvious reason perhaps, that as Japan eliminated Russia from China with the help of England, so would she now he able to eliminate England from China with the aid of Russia in her turn. Already does Russia seem to have forgotten her humiliation at Japan s hands, and Japan, too, on hor part, has been making amends by standing in good stead to Russia in her present struggle and liberally supplying her with the munitions of war It is useless to speculate whether Rus sia will again so far recover as to he able to take up the threads of her aggressive policy towards India , but if she does, it seems to he granted by those who should know that Japan will rather side with Russia than England in that enterprise"
 - 27 Nor is this all This Japaness friend of India further suggests even more torrible happenings 'Tho other day,' he says, "we heard from Prof Munsterberg of Harvard that after this war is over, there will be a German Russsian-Japanesealliance Let us hope that this will never come about But it is absolutely desir able for the people of India to prepare for the worst viz. a combination of Japan Russia and Germany with hor adjuncts Austria and Turkey on the one hand, and, the British Empire with her allies Italy and France and probably U S A on the other In this case would India he safe ? Imperial interests demand that the Indian leaders and the British Indian Government should wake up to the necessity of a thorough going preparedness for the future, so that the people of India may not have to repent and say' Ah lit is too late' If ever it be too late to carry out a programme of defence by taking the Indian people into equal confidence, as it has been done in the case of Canada, Australia, and South Africa, then the fate of the Indian people would be disastrous viz a changing of the voke, and the fato of the giorious British Empire may be its dislntegration."
 - 25 In the same connection, the following summary, appearing in the September number of the Review of Reviews, of an article on 'Japan and Germany' in the August issue of Nord and Sud by Dr Paul Ostwald, will be found interesting "Dr Ostwaid maintains that Japan, notwithstanding her alliance with England, is politically

27 India is admittedly the pivot of the British Empire in the east. But that pivot can not stand the combined strain of foreign complications against England and the prevalence of deep political discontent in India If contented and self governing, India, on the other hand, can be a source of infinite strength to England, military or otherwise As observed by Sir Charles Dilke in his Problems of Gitater Britain, "India, under a hetter organisation of internal defence, would become the eastern centre of defence from which our garrisons in half the world would be raised, and upon which rather than upon home arsenals. they would depend for their supplies On a logical system of Imperial defence, India would possess the dock yards and the attends of the British East, and the creation of an eastern Woolwich is an Imperial need" In an earlier chapter we have given certain facts about the strength of the armies which certain Hindu kings could lead into the field. The martial spirit and the tradition of military service can ovidently be preserved in India, for, after the lapse of about 1500 years native kings there is evidence to helieve, could again lead similarly large armies to hattle Numz, who is supposed to have been present at the Hindu camp during the seige and the battle of Raichur, in the year 1521 A D, has recorded that the Vuyanagar army consisted of 703,000 foot, 32,600 horse, and 551 elephants, besides camp followers, merchants etc We share Mr Robert Sowell a moredulity in accepting this as n corroct figure, but what Mr Sewell remarks in criticising Nuniz in this connection can be easily accepted viz that 'large armies seem to have always been the rule in India ' Testimony is almost insistent in indicating that the Vijayanagar kings could always command onormous forces In Scott's History of the Decean, we find from a translation of a journal kept by a Bundola officer who served the Mogul government under Auranzeb in 16°0 that the Hindu kings of Vijayanagar kept an army of 30,000 horso and ' a million of infantry Conts, who was in Indianhout n century carlior than the military event referred to above, has recorded that the Vijayanagar army consisted of a million of men and upwards One Abdur Razak, writing in 1442, puts the number at 11 lakes of men and 1000 elephants Writing in 1462, one Nikitin states that the Mahemedan forces on the other hand, belonging to the Gulherga king marching to attack the Hindus, amounted to 900 000 foot 119 000 horse, and 575 elephants Going farther back we find Lord Egerton of Tatton stating in his Hand but of Indian irms that an army of Hindu confederated states mustered for the defence of northern India against the Mnhomedan invasion in 1192 A D amounted, according to the most moderate estimate to 3,00 000 horse, 3,000 elephants and a great number of infantry In 1259 n Mogul embassy in said to have been received at Delhi by an escort of 30 000 horse and was led past lines of infantry numbering as many as 200 000 in their ranks According to Ferista Mahomed Taglakh had raised an army of 3 70,000 men for the conquest of Persia Shahbuddin has declared that Mahomed Taghlakh had in all an army of 900 000 horse Feria Do Souza writing in the seventeeth century estimated that the forces of Bahadur, king of Cambay in 1534 were 100 003 horse, 4 15 000 foot and 600 elephants The figures in the above estimates must, of course to subjected to a reasonable discount But even after su h a deduction it could not be unreasonable to suppose that large armics had been the order of the day in India throughout, whether the kings concorned were Hindus or Mahomedans

28 Nor is there any reason to suppose that even at the present day, enormous ar nice could not be raised in India, if there was need for them, and if there was organisation and encouragement like that which, in the old days, made the Indian soil everywhere shristle with soliders. In his book Asia and Europe Meredith Townsend in the course of pessimistic remarks in speculating as to whether England would retain India or not, has observed as follows -

'The figi ting peoples of India, whose males are as big as ourselves, as brave as ourselves, and more regardless of death than ourselves, number at least a hundred and twenty millions, equal to Gibbon's calculation of the population of the Rottan Lupire There are 400 000 trained brown soliders in native service and at least 2 ruilions of men who think their proper profession is arms, who would live by arms if they could, and of whom we in England never hear a word. If the Prussian conscription were applied in India, we should, without counting reserves or landwehr or any force not summoned in times 9f peace, have 2 and a half millions of soliders actually in harracks, with 8,00000 recruits coming up every year—a force with which not only Asia but the world might be subdued."

That the Indian soldiers should lend themselves to the ambition of a foreign usurper for a world conquest and the deprivation of the liberties of other nations, is an uncomfortable thought But the fact remains that what Meredith Townsendsays about the military capacity of the Indian nation, is perfectly true If France, which has apopulation somewhat less than that of Bengal, can raise over 3 millions of soliders, if Italy, which has a population almost equal to that of Behar and Orissa. can raise over 3 millions of soliders, if the united kingdom which has a population less than that of the United Provinces can raise an almy of 4 millions, if Russia with a population equal to that of the Indian people speaking only the three Indian languages viz Hindi. Bengale and the Punjabi,or in other words the people inhabiting only the northern India, can raise an army of 5 millions and be regarded as the mulitary terror of the world, surely India can supply, under proper conditions, an army of 10 millions, even assuming, that whereas 10 per cent of the population in European countries can be mobilised for bearing arms, only 3 per cent are called upon to do that duty in India. With an army of 10 million people either on the field or in reserve. England can defy not only any single nation but even a strong combination of the nations of the world, in any military venture. With such an army in the background. England can confidently rely upon a peaceful preservation of her Asiatic Empire and may cheerfully face any eventualities in Europe The only condition, of course of all this coming true is that the Indian people must be made to feel and realise that the British Empire belongs as much to them as they now belong to the Empire, and that in shedding their blood for England they are really serving their own national cause

- 29 The grant of self government to India will decidedly heasfit England berself even more than India by putting a stop to the double life which England has been living as a champion of liberty in Europe and notonly not a champion but the most cynical macticer of despotism in Asia. The hest among the British Liberals bave never been able to conceal the shame they felt, when at the beginning of the present war, England began to eject magical platitudes of the national liberty of peoples from her mouth which was stuffed with the ruins of popular liberties in India Was it not a feat of hypocrisy, they must have said to themselves, that England should wax so eloquent and rightecusly indignant over the temporary subjuga tion of Belgium by Germany when she herself has kept under her heel a country whose area is 164 times and whose population is 40 times, as much as that of Belgium? Why should the liberties of Belgium be regarded as more sacred than those of gium India? The glaring contradiction between the growth of democracy in England and the deliberate maintenance of despotism in India was always regarded by the attentive student of the British political constitution as a standing menace to domestic freedom 'The Assyrian conqueror Mr Herbert Spencer has said, 'who is depicted in the bass reliefs as leading his captive by a chord is bound with that He forfeits his freedom as long as he retains his power chord himself England going to liberate herself by liberating India?
- 30 England must remember that the day of the impulsent and optimistic Imperialist was a short lived day. The well known creed of the Imperialist was "The Englishman was the horn ruler of the world. He might bold out a hand of friendship to the German and the American whom he recognised as his lindred and who lived within the law. The rest of the world was peopled by dying nations whose mainfest destiny was to be 'administered by the coming races and exploited by their commercial syndicates." But as pointed out hy Prof. Hobbouse in his book on Liberalism, "this mood of optimism did not survive the South African. War It received its death blow at Colenso and Magersfoutein, and, within a few years, fear had definitely taken the place of ambition as the main spring of the movement to national and imperial consolidation. The Tariff reform movement was largely

inspired by a sense of insecurity in our commercial position. The half patronising friendship for Germany rapidly gave way first to commercial jealousy and then to unconcealed alarm for our national safety All the powers of society were bent on layish paval expenditure and on imposing the idea of compulsory service upon a reluctant people. The disciplined nation was needed no longer to dominate the World hut to maintain its own territory" Professor Hohhouse's book was written before the year 1914, and the lesson of his remarks has been only grievously accentuated by the present war, which may be taken to have given a quietus to the dream of dominating British Imperialism There are certain military successes which are moral defeats, and though England may possibly win the war she will take years to recover, if she may at all completely recover, from the nervous tremor which has set in as the result of the first stunning impact with the mailed fist of Germany Every victory that England won with the aid of Indian or colonial troops has been a Colenso in disguise, it has revealed to England how helpless she would be Without the aid of others Conciliation and not domination must therefore be the essence of England's colonial policy in future. She can no longer afford to sourn the military assistance and co operation of sincere friends, simply because there is just a little more pigment in their blood than in their own The false pride of race has been already humbled, why should not England then at least make a virtue of the naceseity, which is now unavoidable, of giving a great and a helpful people like those in India, righte of self government, when England knows that from their exercising those rights no harm will result to the larger valid interests of the Empire?

31 No doubt a few pampered gluttone who have fed fat on India's cuve blood, and India e wealth might magnify the prospect of the immediate loss to themselves Thus it is alleged that if India were given self government the interest of British capitalists would be endangered. Nothing can be more unifue. And the allegation was most effectively refuted at a recent public meeting held in Bomhay where Mr S R Bomani, himself an India merchant, remarked as follows.

'Coming to the question of English capital invested in India, it was, according to Sir George Paish, £ 365 millions Against this sum there was the £ 40 millione Ourrency reserve and £30 millions gold standard reserve there were also about £30 millions invested by Indians during the last three years in Treasury Bills, exchequer honds etc., altogether adout £100 millione This left a balance of £265 millions England had invested £3,200 millions all over the world, even in Chili, Paraguay, Uruguay and such other countries they had invested £ 620 millions where nohody could say the administration was British Had they got any guarantees there? Coming to the great steamship companies of P and O and the British India the first could not be he absolutely called an organisation working in India, and the latter had made all its colossal pile in the coasting trade, although lately they had vessels running to China and other countries The British India Company was started with a capital of six hundred thousand pounds and to day their fleet was worth, at the current price, about £20 millions which together with their investments hade about £ 25 to 30 millions That was the value of their investment to day All the money they had made was out of Indians and he would ask them whether they had a h gher paid Indian officer than R; 70 per month -the head serang He wanted to ask the Company whether they could not find a single Incian during these 50 or 60 years to whom to give the post of a Covenanted servant. With regard to the Question of the Exchange Banks the National Bank's shares were to day worth three times their original value and they had not a single Indian who had been appointed as a Covenanted officer during these so many years Jute mill shares were to day worth six times their original value "

32 Solong as the question of granting of self government to India is not solved to the satisfaction of the Indian people, there can be no abiding peace in this country, using of course that word in the large sense. Agitation, and even violent political agitation such as was witnessed in India during the last few years, must unhappily be the order of the day. And even when there may be a temporary hull in agitation, under currents of rectlessness will be working silently for a coming storm.

It is bootless to expect that the other thousand and one points of contact between Englishmen and Indians would come to the rescue in this matter. No doubt the routine husiness of the country will, in the meanwhile, go on . the departments of administration will follow their clock-work system; the agriculturist will sow and reap, the mechanic will hammer away, the mills will keep up their whir, the railways and the steam ships will ply their lucrative trade, the European and Indian merchants will meet on the Exchange and in the market, shake hands. and transact profitable business, the good work of giving and receiving useful instruction in Schools, Colleges and Universities will proceed without impediment. the man of research in the library as well as the laboratory will discover new truths and forge new bonds of a towledge and mutual literary sympathy, and the functions in Government Houses and the exchange of amonities among Englishmen and Indians, as private friends, will otherwise Leep social intercourse between them in full swing But behind and beyond all this, the political struggle in all its keeness will remain unshated. The Indians would no doubt all along be conscious that they owe a deht of gratitude to Englishmen for what the latter have done for them in the past, and may be expected to do even in the future by way of education in civilisation and practical help in their own uplift. But even gratitude cannot put a damper on national aspirations. As the great Irish patriot had remarked. 'No man need be grateful at the expense of his honour, no woman need be grateful at the expense of her chastity, and no nation need be grateful at the expense of its political liberty The only correct angle of vision from which we can look at Englishmen in India is not that which shows us their non-political deeds and achievements here or any where else in the world, but only that which reveals to us their political attitude towards India As the Hon Mr Gokhale has pithily remarked 'Wrong in the one thing rare, what mattered it to the Indians what Englishmen did or how they con ducted themselves in other respects? The question how to promote the most friendly feelings between the East and West in India resolves itself largely intohow England may assist India a political advancement

3.3 And we shall quote here to extense the sentiments of Mr Fielding Hait the author of the Passing of Empire, as evidence coming from a stranger viewing the situation from the vantage ground of detachment. "You cannot hold India by force alone. Without the acquisescence of Indians we could have done nothing. This must be thoroughly realised, for it an an essential truth. Anyone can see it for himself. Given any superiority you like to assume of Englishman over Indian, could a handful of English officials and seventy thousand or less British troops conquer and rule three hundred and fifty millions of people living in a climate suitable to them but deadly to us, against their will? It is impossible incredible, about?

"There has been always a tacit and generally an active consent. Now that consent is disappearing. Why? And what is to be done?

"The English government is more foreign than the Mogul domination Like the Mogul system it is a government from above It hangs, as if suspended from the Viceroy and Council It has no roots in the soil of India It is not indigenous in any way Its vitality is derived from England, transmitted through the Secretary of State and the Viceroy The Government of India has no existence apart from England It is only Indian'in assuch as it governs India, not that it proceeds from India or is composed of Indians. The truth by which it lives is that it is purely rightsh. The whole system of the Government of India down to the last detail is alten, is exotic.

"The Indian people accepted the English government because they wanted peace For two centuries or more they had been for a with wars, with insurrections, with internal snarchy, and with their consequences. They "anderd east to plough, to sow, to reap to trade in peace. We gave them that "They wanted courts, criminal and civil, that were not corrupt. We gave them lonest judges they wanted facilities for trade—roads, posts and such things—which we provided They could, therefore expand and use some of their energies. But the field was a narrow one. Men are not horn to sow and reap and trade alone. They have other

emotions which seek for outlet, other energies which require a vent Man is gregarious and he is so made that he cannot fully develop himself except in larges and again larger communities. To reach his full stature in any way he must develop in all ways. He must feel hindself part of ever greater organisms, the village first he district and the nation, finally of humanity. But in India all the is impossible Except the village there is no community that exists even in name, and the Govern ment has injured, almost destroyed even that Thus an Indian has no means of growth. He cannot he a citizen of anything at all. Half his sympatines and abilities he entirely fallow, therefore he cannot fully develop the other half. A man is a complete organism, and if you keep half in inaction you affect the other half too. A man is not a worse but a better merchant, or lawyer, or landowner, or soldier, because he is interested in his locality, his community, his nation. It gives him wider views, males him more tolerant, more humane, more wise But in India there is no nation, no community at all, eave very weakened communities. As far as the Indian is concerned no larger community exists."

It is the slowly growing consciousness of an energy that has no outlet, of a desire for advance in every direction, that causes the unrest. In some ways the educated classes feel it most. Everywere they see men of their class cultivating patriotism, increasing that sense of heing and working for others, of being valuable to the world at large, showing espacity for leading, ruling, thinking advaning in a thousand ways, while none of it is for them. They want to exprese the geniue of their races in wider forms than mere individuality, but they are not able to do so. They want a national science and literature and law they cannot have it. No individual as an individual can achieve anything. Not till he feels he is a cell in a greater and more enduring life can be develop. But this is not for India."

"It see a piece of advice often addressed to India when she expresses her desire for some share in her government that she should flat reform herself socially and intellectually. The status of women in zenanas and harems, infant marriage, the sad condition of widowe, the degradation of castle polygamy, the fanatioism of religions are, she is told, to be mended before she can show herself fit for self-government in any form. Only to a free people it is east, can self government be after the intellect, and she is so wrapped up in prejudice and ignorance that she is until for any freedom 'Mend your divisions first, reform yourself and we will see what we can do 'Such advice comes from ignorance alone

34 Again as Mr J E S Cotton observes in his book on Colonies and Dependencies—

"Down to Lord Dalhousse's time the received theory, even of the most enlightened administrators, was—eterything for the people, nothing by them The English standard of good government was held infallihle and native opinion was assumed to be either non existent or perverse. In this case it was the Muliny that caused the awakening By that terrible shock the lesson was taught that a nace habituated to centuries of subjection still relains some national aspirations, which are dangerous if they do not find a peaceful vent."

Empire in the West and the establishment of the Indian Empire in the East were begin nearly at the same time and that they have wooderfully progressed on parallol lines in point of time. But what a great contrast do the two Empires present to even the most current observer of things! The first charter to the East India Company was given in 1600 A. D by Queen Elizabeth. Only 28 years later King Charles. I gave a similar charter to the Massachusets Company for trading with America. We have already seen the vissetitudes of fortune through which the East India Company passed. The Massachusets Company also passed through vissetitudes of another kind. Its charter was replaced by another charter in 1691 which was of a less commercial and more political character and which is said to have eventually indicanced the Convention of American colonists in preparing the federal constitution of the Offited States in 1787. As Sir Courting Hierotheavers.

"The two charters were thus to have different destinies, for while the charter of the Massachusets Company led to the foundation of an independent republic in the West, the charter of the East India Company led to the foundation of a dependent. Empire in the East 'Already, about 135 years ago, the American colonists declared their complete independence of British Rule 'To-day the Indian people seek only to get a limited form of self government; e power within certain limits to legislate for themselves and to exercise a limited control over those entrusted with the duty of carrying out laws and carrying on the administration generally. But even this is being denied to them.

36 The Anglo Indian Civilian, now threatened with deprivation of power, is holding out threats in return, much in the same manner as the East India Company did when threatened with the deprivation of their power and patronage To use the language of Mr Henry St George Tucker, "His sgotism lies veiled under a very finney mock humility." Deprive me of power, the Civilian says, and the country will be ruined. He alone is the repository of administrative knowledge, he alone can he safely trusted with India, he alone has done every thing for India. His intentions are the purest, his deeds the most benevolent, his reforming action the most rapid in the world. He is so beloved by the poor 170 that Heaven alone know what will be the consequence of abolishing his rule. The 'taking bird and the 'golden water' are in safe custody of a terrible wirard on the top of a perileus mountain, all those who attempt to reach them as in the story in the Arabian Night's, shall be turned into black stones! There are plenty of .nocking voices it is true, but a few bave at last stuffed their ears with cotton and will succeed?

37 Time presses and we must now conclude this pamphlet, which is intended only as a general introduction to the Congress-League Soberne We shall, therefore, very briefly summarise the whole situation and forge what is called 'the apear point of the argument'. And in doing so we shall be as frank as possible

India is grateful for what England has done for her so far But India believes in definition of gratifude as 'a lively expectation of favours yet to come. And she is determined that she will not berself take rest nor allow rest to England so long as she hae not obtained her heart's desire viz Self-Government within the British Empire and equal partnership with the self-governing Colonies in the British Common wealth. Her aspiration has been definitely formulated and there can be now no going back from it. India will not, and cannot, accept any half way house to her ambition, and if that ambition is not to be realised in full, she will rather prefer to be as she was in true orenial fashion?

The East bowed before the blast In patient deep disdain, She let the legions thunder past Then plunged in thought again

Why should India care who rules her if she is not to bave under him a selfrespectful status as a nation? She refuses to regard her present position of a milch cow to the British empire as dignified. She cannot appreciate the argument that she has got what she had not got before, viz internal peace and contact with a civilised nation like England There is no glory in deing a bondsman though attached to the retinue of the most illustrious master in the world If India had internecine wars. she was living in an atmosphere which favoured the fullest growth of at least individual persons and communities The clash of arms is much better for mankind than emasculating peace Erring but responsible manhood is perferable to a life long. though secure, tutelage The rugged national life, the uneven social surface in pre British times, was certainly preferable to the dead level and the dull uniformity, established by the steam roller of British Rule, in which first class eminence is impossible and aspiring tall poppies are always in danger of being cut down In pre British times there was ever room at the top for whomsoever that possessed eminent qualities A shepherd like Malharrao Holkar, who in his childhood spun wool and tended the flock in the field, could carve out, hy his sword and statesmanship, a principality worth a erore and a half by the time he reached the

middle age Mabadan Scindia, who was for twenty years the successful rival of the English at the Imperial Court of Delhi in the last quarter of the 18th century, was the son of a mere page who took care of the Peshva's slippers Under the British rule for over 150 years there has not arisen a man who was deemed able or distinguished enough to he n Revenue Commissioner in Givil service or a second Lieutenant in the army Even a Shivan could not, as was once observed by Babu Surendranath Banery, hope under the British rulers to rise above the rank of a Subbedar-Major What has British rule, it may ngain he asked, done for the agriculturist either beyond ensuring peace so that he may reap the corn be bus sown? The rural population under British ruln has been deprived of even such self government as it empyed in pre-British days

Evidently this could not have gone on for a long time, even if the great war had not come But now that the war has come, now that the British Government has realised the possibilities of the strength to be derived from a contented and self-governing India to the empire in the future, and has also declared itself to be the natural guardian of the political freedom of nations in the world, small or great, India is inclined to put point-blank the challenging question to England "Are you or are you not going to give political freedom to India just as you say you are going to win it hy war for Belgium or Serhia? Has or has not India any claims upon you in that respect? If yes, then what is the scheme by which you are going to restoro political froedom to this great nation? Despairing of a constructivo polick and an honest schome spontaneously emanating from you, the best brains in India have voluntarily framed a schome of their own, and the Indian nation now demands that it should be at once put into operation as affording a wide enough workable basis for n fair experiment of nation-making in this country, and embodying a minimum of political reforms, a minimum, that is to say of political power which the country thinks and feels is unreservedly or unconditionally duo to her, a mininum which can not be divided into parts without destroying its desired officacy or without disappointing the people to such an extent as in make them lose faith in And England would be judged by the response which she Brittelt stateemanship may give to this challenge

The Congress League Scheme, reserving as it does to the Government of India the fullest measure of control and administration in most of the great imperial depart ments, cannot itself to valued at more than eight annas in the whole rupee of national self government such as the British Colonies at present actually enjoy That scheme therefore, does not admit of any more cheese paring economy or instalments State Secretary for India would entirely misunderstand his mission to this land, if he thought that his presence was required only to arhitrate between a close fisted huroaucracy and an extravagant educated class as regards a claim for decentralisation of mero administrativo husiness Mr Montagu ie not looked to hy India as a shrewd umpire who knows how host to settle a small hargain hetween two petty dealers. His task is not to apply the differential calculus an skillfully as to seem to be giving to the Indian people something without really taking away anything of value from the bureaucracy He would be doing injustice to blmself if he did not regard blmself in as responsible a position with regard to India as Lord Durham was with regard to Canada or Sir Henry Campbell Bannerman with regard to South Africa. Here were instances in which political discontent was as deep as it is now in India, and in which the grant of full responsible government was recognised as the only real and abiding solution of the problem of pacifying the netion. There the theory of instalments was regarded as simply out of the question, and the same must be the case with India ilso. The minimum as embedded in the Congress League Scheme is the minimum that India must receive if she should begin to feel that she is really a partner in the Empire and not a montal drudge, if she should rise to the required pitch of enthusiasm to tut forth ill her enemy and resources for the cause of the I'mpire and share. tu her own right, in the slory of the Empire The minimum embodied in the Schene is that portion of the edifice of self-government which can not admit of being done in parts. It contains just that measure, and no more, of the power of the purse and of the contril of administration, which is recessary to inspire India with respect for herself and love for the Empire II the

bureaucracy does not possess imagination enough to perceive, it is our husiness to teach it to them, that the mere establishment of a barren peace, and the mechanical consolidation of territorial acquisitions, can not entitle any rulers to the esteem, much less to the love, of a people who had a glorious political past and who legitimately aspire to a glorious political future. With a liberated and a loving India England may overcome any dangers that threaten the British Empire But in a discontented and emasculated India England may find only a mill stone round her neck, heavy enough in its turn to drown her in the seething and surging waters of an international struggle, for, as was once observed by the late Sir Pherozshah Mehta a policy of force and despotism can not fail to bring on its attending Nemesis land a experience of discontented Ireland has not been pleasant enough . and India. if not conclusted by the grant of self government, is sure to prove another Ireland. A saper politician than Dr Rash Behari Ghose cannot be imagined and yet he could not help saying in the course of his speech as Chairman of the Reception Committee of the 22nd IN Congress held at Calcutta 'The pinch beck Imperialism in England is made up of harharous ambitions, passions and sentiments wholly alien to the culture and civilisation of the 20th century To those hrummagem imperialists I would Do not misread the signs of the times Do not he deluded by theories of racial inferiority. The choice lies before you between a contented people, proud to be the citizens of the greatest empire the world has ever seen and another Ireland in the East, or-I am uttering no idle threat I am not speaking at random, for I knew something of the present temper of the rising generation in Bengal-perhaps another Russia" A situation like this can be adequately dealt with only by the sympa thetic imagination of a generous statesman, who can think in large dimensions, and not by the subtlety of a pettifogging politician whose skill lies only in investigating mathematical or evolutionary minima in political concessions. May we not home that Mr Montagu will rise to the occassion and transform India from a dangerously discontented dependent into a cordial co operator and a faithful friend of the British Empire?

A SCHEME OF REFORMS

PASSED BY

THE INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS

On December 29th, 1916

AND BY

THE ALL-INDIA MUSLIM LEAGUE

On December 31st, 1918

CONGRESS RESOLUTION XII

(a) That having regard to the fact that the great communities of India are the interitors of ancient civillations and have shown great capacity for government and administration, and to the progress in advention and public spirit made by them during a century of British Rule, and further having regard to the fact that the present system of Government does not satisfy the legitimate aspirations of the people and has hecome unsuited to the existing conditions and requirements, this Congress is of opinion that the time has come when His Majesty the King-Emperor should be pleased to issue a proclamation announcing that it is the sim and intention if British policy to confer self government on India at an early date

(b) That this Congress demands that a definite step should be taken towards call government by granting the reforms contained in the scheme prepared by the All India Congress Committee an enjointed that the Reform Committee appointed

by the All India Muelim League (detailed below)

(c) That in the re-construction of the Empire India shall be lifted from the position of a Dependency to that of an equal partner in the Empira with the self governing Dominions.

RESOLUTION XIII.

That this Congress urges the Congress Committees, Home Rule Leagues and other Associations which have as their object the attainment of Self Government within the Empire, to carry on through the year an educative propagands on lawabiding and constitutional lines in support of the reforms put forward by the Indian National Congress and the Muelim League

Reform Scheme.

I-PROVINCIAL LEGISLATIVE COUNCILS.

1 Provincial Legislative Conneils shall consist of four fifths elected and of one fifth nominated members

2 Their etrength shall be not less than 125 members in the major provinces,

and from 50 to 75 in the minor provinces

3 The members of Councils should be elected directly by the people on as broad a franchise as possible

4 Adequate provision should ha made for the representation of important minorities by election, and the Muhammadans should be represented through special alectorates on the Provincial Legislative Councils in the following proportions—

PUNJAB-One half of the slected Indian Memhers.

[•] This scheme has been adopted by both the Home Rule Learnes, now working in India, as embodying an irreducible minimum of political reforms which must be immediately granted to India in faill, as a first instalment of self government or Home Rule which is declared to be the goal of British palley in the country

Provided that no Muhemmadan shall participate in any of the other elections to the Imperial or Provincial Legislativa Councils, save and except those by electorates representing special interests.

Provided further that nn Bill, thir any clause thereof, nor a resolution induced by a non official member affecting one or the other community, which question is to be determined by the members of that community in the Legislative Council concerned, shall be proceeded with, if three fourths of the members of that community in the particular Council, Imperial or Provincial, oppose the Bill or any clause thereof or the resolution

- 5 The head of the Provincial Government should not be the President of the Legislative Council but the Council should have the right of electing its President.
- 6 The right of asking abplementary questions should not be restricted to the member putting the original question, but should he allowed to be exercised by any other member.
- 7 (a) Except customs post, telegraph, mint, selt, opium, railways, army mid navy, and tributes from Indian States, all other sources of revenue should be Provincial.
- . (b) There should be no divided heads of revenue. The Government of India should be provided with fixed contributions from the Provincial Governments, such fixed contributions boing liable to revision when extraordinary and unforeseen contingencies rander such revision necessary.
- (c) The Provincial Council should have full authority to deal with all matters affecting the internal administration of the Province, including the power to raise loans to impose and alter taxation and to vote on the Budget. All it tome of exponditure, and all proposals concerning ways and meets for raising the necessery revenue should be embodied in Bills and submitted to the Provincial Council for dototion.
- (d) Resolutions on all matters within the purviow of the Provincial Government should be allowed for discussion in accordance with rules made in the behalf by the Council itself
- (e) A rosolution pessed by the Provincial Legislative Councial chall be binding on the Executive Government, unloss vetoed by the Governor in Council, provided, however that if the resolution is again pessed by the Council after an Interval of not less than one year It must be given effect to
- (f) A motion for adjournment may be brought forward for the discussion of a definite matter of urgent public importance, if supported by not less than one sighth of the members present.
- 8 A special meeting of the Provincial Council may be summoned on a resulsition by not less than one eighth of the mombers
- 9 A Bill other then a Money Bill, may be introduced in Council in accordance with rules mide in that behalf by the Council liself, and the consent of the Government should not be required therefor
- 10 All Bills passed by Provincial Legislatures shell have to receive the assent of the Governor before they become law, but may be veteed by the Governor General
 - 11 The term of office of the members shall be five years

II -PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS

- I The leaf of every Provincial Government shall be a Governor who shall not ordinary y belong to the Indian Civil Service or any of the permenent corvices
- 2 There shall be in every Private an Executive Council which, with the Governor shall constitute the Executive Government of the Province
- Members of the Indian Civil Service shall not ordinerly be appointed to the Executive Councils.
- 4 NV less than one half of the members of the Executive Council all consists of all names to be elected by the elected members of the Provincial Legislative Council
 - 5 The term of office of the members shall be five years